

Original Article Psychiatry & Psychology





Received: May 22, 2017 Accepted: Nov 6, 2017

Address for Correspondence:

Un Sun Chung, MD, PhD

Department of Psychiatry, Kyungpook National University Children's Hospital, 807 Hoguk-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu 41404, Korea. E-mail: unsunchung@gmail.com

© 2018 The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Tae Ho Kim (D)

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1083-9139 Doug Hyun Han

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8314-0767 Seo Koo Yoo (D)

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2023-5416 Jung Jae Lee (D)

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0828-3557

Ji Eun Kim (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3227-0107 Un Sun Chung (D)

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3871-1425

Funding

This research was supported by the Student Mental Research Center project through Korea Brain Research Institute funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2231-415, KBRI-20130007).

Validation Study of a Korean Version of the Abbreviated University of California, Los Angeles, PTSD Reaction Index (Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI) for Adolescents

Tae Ho Kim , 1 Doug Hyun Han , 2 Seo Koo Yoo , 3 Jung Jae Lee , 4 Ji Eun Kim , 5 and Un Sun Chung , 5.6

¹Department of Psychiatry, Konkuk University Chungju Hospital, Chungju, Korea

²Department of Psychiatry, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

³School of Social Welfare, Soongsil University, Seoul, Korea

⁴Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, Dankook University, Cheonan, Korea

⁵Department of Psychiatry, Kyungpook National University Children's Hospital, Daegu, Korea

⁶Korean Brain Research Institute - Student Mental Health Research Center, Daegu, Korea

ABSTRACT

Background: We aimed to verify a Korean version of the Abbreviated University of California, Los Angeles, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version) among Korean adolescents to assess post-traumatic stress in this population.

Methods: We recruited 1,254 adolescents who completed the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version scale, the Child Report of Post-Traumatic Symptoms (CROPS), the Lifetime Incidence of Traumatic Events (LITE), and the Children's Depression Inventory (CDI). Test-retest reliability was computed for a randomly selected 314 participants. Among all the students, 765 were included in the analysis because 143 students were classified in the normative group (LITE 1) and 622 were in the traumatized group (LITE 4).

Results: The internal consistencies of the nine-item and eight-item versions of the Abb-UCLA PTSD RI-Korean version were found to be high (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.843$ and 0.842, respectively). The criterion-related validity was based on comparison of the Abb-UCLA PTSD RI total scores between the normative and trauma groups. For the eight-item version, the normative group (4.6 ± 4.6) and the traumatized group (8.8 ± 6.0) demonstrated better criterion-related validity than those in the nine-item version (4.5 ± 4.3) and (4.5 ± 4.3) and (4.5

Conclusion: Our results indicate that the eight-item Abb-UCLA PTSD RI-Korean version is a useful screening tool for post-traumatic stress in Korean adolescents.

Keywords: Post-traumatic Stress; Adolescent; Screening; Validity; Confirmatory Factor Analysis; Internal Consistency; Test-retest Reliability



Disclosure

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Han DH, Lee JJ, Chung US. Data curation: Chung US. Investigation: Han DH, Yoo SK, Lee JJ, Chung US. Writing - original draft: Kim TH, Han DH, Kim JE, Chung US. Writing - review & editing: Kim TH, Kim JE, Chung US.

INTRODUCTION

Generally, traumas associated with clinical symptoms include death and loss of significant people, abuse, violence, natural disaster, and accidents. ¹⁻⁴ The experience of trauma is thought to lead to clinical symptoms such as re-experience of trauma, sleep disturbance, extreme fear, depression, and severe anxiety. ^{2,3} Especially, the experience of serious trauma in the adolescent period has been reported to be an important predictive factor of behavior disturbance, school life maladjustment, and suicide attempts. ⁵ During their lifetimes, 25% of adolescents have experienced trauma and show psychological symptoms of grief, depressed mood, and agitation. ⁶ In a six-month follow-up study of Korean elementary school students who had experienced a single traumatic incident, 65.5% of the participants were reported to have one or more anxiety or depression symptoms six months after the trauma. ⁷ Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) can occur at any age, beginning after the first year of life. Symptoms usually begin within the first 3 months after the trauma. ⁸ Therefore, recognizing the experience of trauma and assessing post-traumatic symptoms in adolescents earlier within 3 months after trauma appear to be important in the prevention of mental illness in later life.

Measures used to assess post-traumatic symptoms in children and adolescents have included the Child PTSD Symptom Scale, the Child Reaction to Traumatic Events Scale, and the Traumatic Symptom Checklist for Children. In response to increasing concern about the impact of trauma on children and adolescents, several assessment and screening tools have been developed in recent decades. The University of California, Los Angeles, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (UCLA-PTSD RI) is a 22-item self-report questionnaire that assesses post-traumatic stress in children and adolescents. He is items were designed to reflect the PTSD symptoms described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV (DSM-IV). This scale has excellent internal consistency, with a Cronbach's α ranging from 0.85 to 0.90.12,13 For urgent assessment of PTSD in a large population of children and adolescents, the Abbreviated UCLA-PTSD RI (Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI) has been suggested, which is simplified to only nine items and is recommended for children and adolescents aged eight years or older.4

We aimed to verify our hypothesis that the Korean version of Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI (Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version) among Korean adolescents is useful for assessing post-traumatic stress.

METHODS

Participants

We selected one elementary school, one middle school, and one high school in Daegu for study using the random sampling service of the Korean Educational Statistics Service. 14

We gained informed consents from principals, parents, and students. A total of 1,254 students were recruited, representing a response rate of 97.2% (1,254/1,290). There were no differences in sex or age distribution between participants and non-participants. Missing data values were input using an expectation-maximization algorithm.³ Among 1,254 samples, 143 students had been classified in the normative group with Lifetime Incidence of Traumatic Events (LITE) 1 and 622 were in the traumatized group with LITE 4 (**Table 1**).



Table 1. Demographic characteristics

Demographic parameters	Total (n = 765)	Normative group (n = 143)	Traumatized group (n = 622)	Statistics
Age, yr	15.2 ± 1.7	15.0 ± 1.7	15.2 ± 1.9	t = 2.47, P = 0.11
Sex (M/F)	411/354	87/56	324/298	$\chi^2 = 3.58$, $P = 0.06$
Years of education	9.8 ± 1.5	9.7 ± 1.4	9.8 ± 1.2	t = 1.46, P = 0.41
Socioeconomic status				$\chi^2 = 2.00$, $P = 0.16$
Low	11 (1.4)	3 (2.1)	8 (1.3)	
Mid-low	86 (11.2)	5 (3.5)	81 (13.0)	
Middle	448 (58.6)	93 (65.0)	355 (57.1)	
Mid-high	198 (25.9)	37 (25.9)	161 (25.9)	
High	22 (2.9)	5 (3.5)	17 (2.7)	
CROPS	13.8 ± 7.9	9.5 ± 6.9	14.9 ± 7.8	t = 7.42, P < 0.01
CDI	10.80 ± 6.70	8.14 ± 5.89	11.43 ± 6.77	t = 5.29, P < 0.01
Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI	9.46 ± 6.53	5.80 ± 5.14	10.30 ± 6.53	t = 7.70, P < 0.01

Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or number of patients (%).

CROPS = Child Report of Post-Traumatic Symptoms, CDI = Children's Depression Inventory, Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI = Abbreviated University of California, Los Angeles, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index.

Measures

Demographic characteristics including age, sex, and years of education were collected. We also collected subjective status of socioeconomic status and each student marked one among low, mid-low, middle, mid-high, and high. Participants were also asked to complete the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version, the Child Report of Post-Traumatic Symptoms (CROPS), the LITE, and the Children's Depression Inventory (CDI). The nine-item Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI scale is a self-report measure assessing the severity of post-traumatic stress symptoms.⁴ Each of the nine items is rated using a five-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to 4 (severe). It was modified from the 22 items of the UCLA-PTSD RI scale used to screen and monitor the response to treatment in children and adolescents aged 7 to 18 years. ^{4,11} The CROPS is a 26-item self-report scale measuring the severity of post-traumatic symptoms in children and adolescents aged 6 to 18 years. 15 Each of the 26 items is rated using a three-point Likert scale from 0 (none) to 1 (some) to 2 (lots). The total score is calculated by summing the responses of the 26 items. Higher scores reflect more severe symptoms of post-traumatic stress. The scale has reasonable internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.89-0.92$). 15,16 The LITE is a checklist assessing trauma or losses that a child or adolescent might have experienced. The LITE assesses the age at which the trauma occurred, how many times the trauma has occurred, and the severity of the child's experience of the trauma. 15 The items of the LITE investigate car accidents, house fires, death of a family member, exposure to threats, sexual assaults, witness to violence, and other potentially upsetting events. The test-retest reliability of the LITE is relatively high (r = 0.80; P < 0.001). Once a child completes the LITE measure, the responses are reviewed by a clinician, and the child is rated as 1 (no trauma or loss), 2 (possible trauma/loss), 3 (probable trauma/loss), or 4 (definite trauma/loss). 15 A person with LITE 1 has no trauma experience and a person with LITE 4 has definite trauma history. The CDI is a self-report scale for assessing the severity of depressive or dysthymic symptoms in children and adolescents aged 7 to 17 years. 17 It consists of 27 items regarding negative mood, interpersonal problems, ineffectiveness, anhedonia, and negative self-esteem. The internal consistency of the CDI is indicated by Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.71-0.89$. A total CDI score of 36 or higher indicates severe depression.

Data analysis

The 143 students in the normative group with LITE 1 had no trauma experiences and 622 students in the traumatized group with LITE 4 had clear trauma experiences. We included these 765 students in the analysis. The differences in demographic characteristics between



the traumatized group and normative group were analyzed with χ^2 test and independent Student's t-test. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was performed using AMOS (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and indicated acceptable model-fit (Comparative Fit Index [CFI] > 0.95, Tucker-Lewis Index [TLI] > 0.90, and root mean square error of approximation [RMSEA] < 0.08) and standardized root mean square residual [SRMR] < 0.05).18 To identify the best model, we performed an analysis with only the items with a factor loading greater than 0.4. As a rule of thumb, variable should have a rotated factor loading of at least 0.4 onto one of the factors in order to be considered important.19

The two-factor model was found to have the best model-fit (CFI > 0.95, TLI > 0.90, and RMSEA < 0.08). All analysis was performed using IBM AMOS SPSS Statistics version 18.0 (IBM Corp.).

Ethics statement

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Korea National Institute for Bioethics Policy (approval No. P01-201304-SB-13). Informed consent was confirmed by the board and obtained by the participants.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics

Of the 1,254 student participants, 143 (11.4%) had a score of LITE 1, 299 (23.8%) had a score of LITE 2, 190 (15.2%) had a score of LITE 3, and 622 (49.6%) had a score of LITE 4. Considering the purpose of the current research, we recruited the 765 students whose scores were LITE 1 or LITE 4. The mean age of the 765 participants was 15.2 ± 1.7 years (**Table 1**).

Reliability, validity, and descriptive statistics

The internal consistencies of the nine-item and eight-item versions of the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean were found to be high (Cronbach's α = 0.843 and 0.842, respectively). The test-retest reliability of the nine-item version was r = 0.77 (P < 0.001), and that of the eight-item version was r = 0.85 (P < 0.001). The criterion-related validity was based on comparison of the UCLA-PTSD RI total scores between the normative and the traumatized groups. For the nine-item version, the normative group (4.5 ± 4.3) and the traumatized group (8.5 ± 5.0) scores demonstrated good criterion-related validity (t = 7.92; P < 0.001). For the eight-item version, the normative (4.6 ± 4.6) and traumatized groups (8.8 ± 6.0) demonstrated even better criterion-related validity (t = 9.26; P < 0.001) (Table 1).

Factor analysis

Using CFA, we analyzed three models to determine the best-fit model for the clinical sample. Model 1 was a one-factor model with nine items. Model 2 was a one-factor model with eight items. Model 3 was a two-factor model with eight items: two items regarding sleep composed the first factor, and the other six-item made up the second factor. The fit indices for Model 1 were χ^2 = 222.0, P < 0.001, SRMR = 0.054, RMSEA = 0.097, TLI = 0.884, and CFI = 0.913. The factor loadings were greater than 0.4 for all items in Model 1 except item 6, which had a factor loading of 0.385. The fit indices for Model 2 were χ^2 = 162.9, P < 0.001, SRMR = 0.050, RMSEA = 0.096, TLI = 0.904, and CFI = 0.931. All factor loadings in Models 2 and 3 were greater than 0.4 for all items. Model 3 obtained the best-fit to the data: χ^2 = 90.0, P < 0.001, SRMR = 0.037, RMSEA = 0.078, TLI = 0.936, and CFI = 0.957 (**Table 2**).



Table 2. Factor loadings for the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version

Items	Resp (%)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
1 Being upset, afraid, or sad when ~a	71.6	0.761	0.768	0.774
2 Being upset with unintended thoughts or ~a	66.4	0.795	0.803	0.804
3 Feeling grouchy or ~a	67.6	0.608	0.592	0.594
4 Not wanting to talk about, think about, or~ a	62.0	0.669	0.671	0.672
6 Trouble concentrating or paying attention	15.0	0.385	-	-
7 Trying to stay away from people, places, or ~a	44.8	0.721	0.721	0.720
9 Feeling alone and not close to ~a	46.0	0.597	0.571	0.587
5 Trouble going to sleep or waking often during the night	47.8	0.416	0.407	< 0.001
8 Bad dreams, including dreams about what happened	27.5	0.490	0.493	< 0.001
χ^2	-	222.0	162.9	90.0
df	-	27	20	19
TLI	-	0.884	0.904	0.936
CFI	-	0.913	0.931	0.957
RMSEA	-	0.097	0.096	0.078
SRMR	-	0.054	0.050	0.037

Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version = Korean version of the Abbreviated University of California, Los Angeles, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index, Resp (%) = response rate of more than "few", Model 1 = nine-item, one-factor model, Model 2 = eight-item, one-factor model, Model 3 = eight-item, two-factor model, df = degree of freedom, TLI = Tucker-Lewis Index, CFI = Comparative Fit Index, RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation, SRMR = standardized root mean square residual.

DISCUSSION

Using a sample of 765 Korean adolescents aged 11–19 years, the current study assessed the psychometric properties of the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version, including its reliability and validity. Our findings suggest that the eight-item version of this scale has better internal consistency and validity than the nine-item version.

The internal consistency of the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version was good (Cronbach's α = 0.84), similar to that of the original UCLA-PTSD RI (Cronbach's α = 0.85). ¹² The test-retest reliability of the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version was r = 0.85 (P < 0.001), higher than the 0.70 required for acceptance. ¹ The criterion-related validity was assessed by comparing the normative and traumatized groups and was also acceptable (t = 7.92; P = 0.001).

As shown in **Table 2**, Korean adolescents appear to experience post-traumatic stress in terms of being upset, afraid, or sad when thinking about a trauma; being upset with unintended thoughts or pictures of a trauma; feeling grouchy or angry and not wanting to talk about, think about, or have feelings about a trauma. The first step in supporting youth with post-traumatic stress might be to detect the signs and symptoms of being upset or angry and encouraging verbal expression.²⁰ Our identification of the four most common factors can be helpful for assessing and managing the care of adolescents in Korean society.

The nine-item Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version did not have good fit according to the CFA. Murray and colleagues reported a good CFA fit with a one-factor model of children with post-traumatic stress. ²¹ The eight-item Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version achieved acceptable factor loading scores except for item Q6, "Trouble concentrating or paying attention" (0.385), and had higher internal consistency than the nine-item version. However, the eight-item Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version did not satisfy the good model-fit requirements of CFI > 0.95 and RMSEA < 0.8. When a two-factor model was applied to the current data, the CFA showed good model-fit indices of TLI, CFI, SRMR, and RMSEA. The first factor of this model comprised items representing sleep, and the second factor

^aFull sentence of each item has not been written to protect copyright of Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-English version.



comprised the rest of the items representing other symptoms. In the tripartite DSM-IV PTSD model, Q5, "Trouble going to sleep or waking often during the night" is associated with criterion B, re-experiencing symptoms; and Q8, "Bad dreams, including dreams about what happened" is associated with criterion D, hyperarousal symptoms. 22 However, in the eightitem Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version, these two questions regarding sleep are merged into one. In addition, the differences between the studies of these two scales are probably due to the different numbers of items, different traumas (sexual violence vs. variable trauma), and different numbers of subjects (352 vs. 765).

There are several limitations of the current research. First, the number of items in the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version is fewer than in the original UCLA-PTSD RI, so the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version might not fully reflect the meaning of all the items in the original UCLA-PTSD RI. Second, self-report instruments can lead to over- or underestimation in response to questions. Children with trauma are thought to under-report their traumatic symptoms due to their desire to avoid talking about the trauma event, particularly if the events are associated with secrecy or shame, for example child abuse or domestic violence. Based on our results, we conclude that the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version is a useful tool for screening post-traumatic stress in Korean adolescents. Moreover, the eightitem version of the Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version showed greater validity than the nine-item version. We suggest that the eight-item Abb-UCLA-PTSD RI-Korean version would be useful for assessing post-traumatic stress syndrome in Korean adolescents.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anastasi A, Urbina S. Psychological Testing.7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentic Hall; 1997.
- Besser A, Neria Y. PTSD symptoms, satisfaction with life, and prejudicial attitudes toward the adversary among Israeli civilians exposed to ongoing missile attacks. J Trauma Stress 2009;22(4):268-75.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 3. Bunting BP, Adamson G, Mulhall PK. A Monte Carlo examination of an MTMM model with planned incomplete data structures. *Struct Equ Modeling* 2002;9(3):369-89.
- Cohen JA, Bukstein O, Walter H, Benson SR, Chrisman A, Farchione TR, et al. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2010;49(4):414-30.
- Cohen JA, Scheeringa MS. Post-traumatic stress disorder diagnosis in children: challenges and promises. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2009;11(1):91-9.
- Thienkrua W, Cardozo BL, Chakkraband ML, Guadamuz TE, Pengjuntr W, Tantipiwatanaskul P, et al. Symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression among children in tsunami-affected areas in southern Thailand. *JAMA* 2006;296(5):549-59.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 7. Kim BN, Kim JW, Kim HW, Shin MS, Cho SC, Choi NH, et al. A 6-month follow-up study of posttraumatic stress and anxiety/depressive symptoms in Korean children after direct or indirect exposure to a single incident of trauma. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2009;70(8):1148-54.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 8. Trauma- and stressor-related disorders. In: American Psychiatric Association, editor. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®)*. 5th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association; 2013, 265-90.
- Child and adolescent trauma measures: a review. https://calio.dspacedirect.org/handle/11212/1771. Updated 2006. Accessed January 30, 2017.



- Strand VC, Sarmiento TL, Pasquale LE. Assessment and screening tools for trauma in children and adolescents: a review. *Trauma Violence Abuse* 2005;6(1):55-78.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Steinberg AM, Brymer MJ, Decker KB, Pynoos RS. The University of California at Los Angeles posttraumatic stress disorder reaction index. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2004;6(2):96-100.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 12. Jensen TK, Dyb G, Nygaard E. A longitudinal study of posttraumatic stress reactions in Norwegian children and adolescents exposed to the 2004 tsunami. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2009;163(9):856-61.

 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Steinberg AM, Brymer MJ, Kim S, Briggs EC, Ippen CG, Ostrowski SA, et al. Psychometric properties of the UCLA PTSD reaction index: part I. J Trauma Stress 2013;26(1):1-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 14. Korean educational statistics service. http://cesi.kedi.re.kr/index. Accessed April 27, 2014.
- Greenwald R, Rubin A. Assessment of posttraumatic symptoms in children: development and preliminary validation of parent and child scales. Res Soc Work Pract 1999;9(1):61-75.
- de Roos C, Greenwald R, den Hollander-Gijsman M, Noorthoorn E, van Buuren S, de Jongh A. A randomised comparison of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR) in disaster-exposed children. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2011;2:5694.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 17. Kovacs M. Children's Depression Inventory. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems, Inc; 1992.
- Hu L, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Struct Equ Modeling 1999;6(1):1-55.

 CROSSREF
- 19. Factor analysis: a short introduction, part 5–dropping unimportant variables from your analysis. http://www.theanalysisfactor.com/factor-analysis-5/. Updated 2015. Accessed October 27, 2017.
- Negrao C 2nd, Bonanno GA, Noll JG, Putnam FW, Trickett PK. Shame, humiliation, and childhood sexual abuse: distinct contributions and emotional coherence. *Child Maltreat* 2005;10(4):350-63.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Murray LK, Bass J, Chomba E, Imasiku M, Thea D, Semrau K, et al. Validation of the UCLA child post traumatic stress disorder-reaction index in Zambia. Int J Ment Health Syst 2011;5(1):24.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Cohen JA, Kelleher KJ, Mannarino AP. Identifying, treating, and referring traumatized children: the role
 of pediatric providers. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2008;162(5):447-52.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF