

Effect of stocking density and sex on growth performance, meat quality, and intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens

D. Goo, J. H. Kim, H. S. Choi, G. H. Park, G. P. Han, and D. Y. Kil¹

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong-si, Gyeonggi-do 17546, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT The objective of the current experiment was to investigate the effect of stocking density and sex on growth performance, meat quality, and intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement consisting of sex and four different stocking densities in battery cages. A total of 540 1-d-old Ross 308 broiler chickens were allotted to one of eight treatments with five replicates. Within each sex, birds were raised at four different stocking densities of 15.2, 20.2, 25.3, or 30.4 birds/m² from 1 to 28 d of age. Different stocking densities were achieved by raising a different number of birds per battery cage with identical floor size (0.76 m × 0.78 m). At the end of the experiment, two birds per replicate were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation to collect tissue samples for further analyses. Results indicated that no interactions between sex and stocking density were observed for all measurements except for serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

concentrations. Increasing stocking density decreased (linear, $P < 0.01$) body weight gain and feed intake, but had no negative effects on meat quality. Trans-epithelial electrical resistance values, a measure of intestinal permeability, were decreased (linear, $P < 0.01$) with increasing stocking density, regardless of sex. Accordingly, serum LPS concentrations were increased (linear, $P < 0.01$) with increasing stocking density. However, increasing stocking density increased serum LPS concentrations in male broiler chickens, but had no effects on female broiler chickens, showing an interaction ($P < 0.01$). The expression of zonula occludens-1 (*ZO-1*) and junctional adhesion molecule B (*JAM-2*) was decreased (linear, $P < 0.05$) with increasing stocking density. In conclusion, increasing stocking density decreases broiler performance regardless of sex and this negative effect is likely associated with decreased intestinal barrier function.

Key words: broiler chicken, intestinal barrier function, sex, stocking density, tight junction-related gene expression

2019 Poultry Science 98:1153–1160
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pey491>

INTRODUCTION

Stocking density is an important issue in the poultry industry because it is highly related to the outcome of poultry productivity as well as animal welfare issues (Zhang et al., 2013). Stocking density for broiler chickens is defined as the number of birds or the total live weight of birds in a fixed space (Estevez, 2007). Therefore, high stocking density increases profitability due to a higher production of chicken meat per space (Puron et al., 1995). However, if stocking density exceeds over the proper range, the productivity is rather decreased because of increased health problems and decreased performance of broiler chickens (Estevez, 2007). Likewise, it has been documented that high stocking density decreased body weight (**BW**), feed intake (**FI**), and feed efficiency (**FE**) in broiler chickens (Zuowei

et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; Cengiz et al., 2015). Moreover, high stocking density was reported to decrease the absorptive capacity by impairing villus structures of the small intestine in broiler chickens (Shakeri et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017). However, physiological alterations in the gastrointestinal tract (**GIT**) of broiler chickens due to high stocking density in relation to productive performance have not been examined.

Intestinal barrier plays a key role in maintaining the health of animals because the GIT is always exposed to harmful environmental agents (Elphick and Mahida, 2005). For intestinal barrier, intestinal mucosa layers work as the first line of defense against the invasion of pathogenic microorganisms and antigens in the lumen of the GIT (Elphick and Mahida, 2005). The defensive mechanisms of intestinal mucosa layers include mucous as a chemical defense and tight junction as a mechanical defense (Song et al., 2017). Recently, the barrier function of tight junction in the GIT has gained increasing attention for its role in the health of animals because if tight junction barrier is impaired,

© 2018 Poultry Science Association Inc.

Received July 19, 2018.

Accepted September 20, 2018.

¹Corresponding author: dongyong@cau.ac.kr

inflammatory responses are increased in the GIT, which subsequently decreases animal health and productivity (Lambert, 2009). It has been reported that decreased health and production of animals exposed to heat stress is highly associated with impaired barrier function of tight junction (Lambert, 2009; Song et al., 2014; Shin et al., 2018). However, few data are available regarding the effects of stocking density on intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens.

Male broiler chickens are assumed to require more space than female broiler chickens at the same age because male chickens grow faster than female chickens (Puron et al., 1995). However, Estevez (2007) reported that the negative effects of stocking density were similar between sexes. On the contrary, Zuowei et al. (2011) reported that female chickens required more space than male chickens at a similar BW near to marketing age. Although the relationship between sex and stocking density is controversial, the effects of stocking density for broiler chickens on intestinal barrier function may depend on the sex. However, this hypothesis has not been tested previously.

The objective of the current experiment, therefore, was to investigate the effect of stocking density and sex on growth performance, meat quality, and intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds, Experimental Design, and Management

The protocol for this experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Chung-Ang University. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with a 2×4 factorial arrangement with sex and four different stocking densities in battery cages. A total of 540 1-d-old male and female Ross 308 broiler chickens were allotted to one of eight treatments with five replicates. Within each sex, four different stocking densities were achieved by raising a different number of birds per battery cage with identical floor size (0.76 m \times 0.78 m). Four different stocking densities included 15.2 birds/m² (9 birds/cage), 20.2 birds/m² (12 birds/cage), 25.3 birds/m² (15 birds/cage), or 30.4 birds/m² (18 birds/cage). Birds were raised from 1 to 28 d of age. In addition, extra cages were used to maintain the designed stocking density. If decreased birds were found, extra birds with the similar BW to the deceased birds were used as a replacement to maintain the stocking density until 21 d of the experimental period. Replaced birds were identified with a leg band, and therefore, those birds were not used for sample collections. Two galvanized iron troughs (0.76 m long \times 0.12 m wide \times 0.08 m depth) hanging each outside of the cage were used for a feeder and a drinker. Therefore, the space of the feeder and drinker was not included to calculate the stocking density. Two-tiered battery cages with

wire floors were used. All battery cages were placed in an environmentally controlled room. Each replicate was randomly allotted to 1 of 40 battery cages. Room temperature was maintained at 32°C during the first wk and reduced gradually until a constant temperature of 24°C was achieved. A 24-h lighting schedule was used during the entire experiment. The same commercial diet (AME_n, 3130 kcal/kg; CP, 21.0%; Ca, 0.90%; available P, 0.38%; Lys, 1.33%; Met + Cys, 0.98%) was fed to all birds throughout the experiment. The diet and water were provided ad libitum for 28 d. The body weight gain (BWG) and FI were recorded at the end of the experiment. The uniformity of BW was calculated based on the CV of the final BW. The FE was calculated as BWG divided by FI after correcting for mortality (Kim et al., 2017).

Sample Collection and Chemical Analysis

At the end of the experiment, 2 birds per replicate with BW close to the average of each cage were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation. One bird was used for analyzing meat quality, serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and jejunal tight junction-related gene expression, whereas the other bird was used for analyzing intestinal permeability using the Ussing chamber.

Blood samples were collected by heart puncture using a 6.0 ml BD Vacutainer serum tube (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Blood samples were then centrifuged at $3,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 20 min to separate the serum and were stored at -20°C before LPS analysis. The serum LPS concentrations were analyzed using a Microplate Reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, CA) and a commercial chicken LPS ELISA Kit (MyBiosource, San Diego, CA). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent antibody assay procedure was carried out according to the protocol of the manufacturer, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm. Mucosal samples from the jejunum of the small intestine were collected by the gentle scraping, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -85°C until gene expression was analyzed. For meat quality, the right portion of breast meat was used for analyzing pH at 1 and 24 h postmortem using a pH meter (Hanna Instruments, Nufalau, Romania) and meat color at 24 h postmortem according to the CIE color scale (Minolta Chroma Meter CR-400, Osaka, Japan) for lightness (L*), redness (a*), and yellowness (b*). The left portion of the breast meat was used to measure water holding capacity (WHC) at 24 h postmortem and the thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) value at 7 d after storage at 4°C as described by Kim et al. (2012).

The analysis of tight junction-related gene expression in the jejunal mucosa followed the method of Shin et al. (2018). In short, total RNA was extracted from the jejunal mucosa using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression was examined for zonula occludens-1

Table 1. Primers used for quantitative RT-PCR.

Primer name ¹	Primer sequence ² 5'-3'	T _m ³ , °C	Product size, bp	GenBank accession number
<i>GAPDH</i>	F: TGCTGCCCAAGACATCATCC R: ACGGCAGGTCAGGTCAACAA	50.0 - 65.0	142	NM.204305
<i>ZO-1</i>	F: AATACCTGACTGTCTTGCAG R: TAAAGAAGGCTTTCCCTGAC	58.3	145	XM.015278975.1
<i>OCNL</i>	F: TCGTGCTGTGCATCGCCATC R: CGCTGGTTCACCCCTCCGTA	60.0	178	NM.205128.1
<i>CLDN-1</i>	F: CAGACTCTAGGTTTTGCCTT R: AATCTTTCCAGTGGCGATAC	58.3	149	NM.001013611.2
<i>JAM-2</i>	F: GTGAATTTACAGTTCCTCCC R: TCCTGTCTTTTCCAGTAAGG	53.9	158	NM.0.010,06257.1

¹*GAPDH*, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; *ZO-1*, zonula occludens-1; *OCNL*, occludin; *CLDN-1*, claudin-1; *JAM-2*, junctional adhesion molecules-B.

²F, forward; R, reverse.

³T_m, melting temperature.

(*ZO-1*), occludin (*OCNL*), claudin-1 (*CLDN-1*), and junctional adhesion molecule B (*JAM-2*). A quantitative RT-PCR was performed based on the general RT-PCR method (LightCycler 96 system, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Gene-specific primers for target genes were designed using NCBI/Primer-BLAST and the specificity of the primers was confirmed by PCR amplification as demonstrated by Aznar and Alarcón (2002). The primer sequences and amplification temperatures are listed in Table 1. The relative quantification of gene-specific expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method after normalization to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*; Thomsen et al., 2010).

Intestinal permeability was determined by trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TER) value in a dual-channel self-contained Ussing chamber system (U2500, Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT). The mid-jejunal tissue samples (e.g., between the end of duodenal loop and Meckel's diverticulum) were immediately excised from the birds and placed into chilled and aerated Krebs-Henseleit buffer at pH 7.4. The jejunum was cut into sections of 3 to 5 cm, and adhering fat and mesenteries were removed. The jejunal samples were subsequently placed vertically between the two halves of Ussing chamber as described in detail by Ruhnke et al. (2013). When the samples were clamped in the Ussing chamber, the samples were continuously aerated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ mixture, and completely immersed in Krebs buffer. The buffer was continuously stirred and heated to 38°C to 39°C. After the stabilization process (about 10 min) of the Ussing chamber and samples, short-circuit currents and epithelial voltages were recorded about every 10 min. The TER values were expressed as Ω/cm^2 . After 20 min of operation, TER values were calculated by averaging the current during the second 10 min of tissue stabilization according to Ohm's law (Gabler et al., 2007).

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed by 2-way analysis of variance in a completely randomized design with the MIXED

procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC). The replicate was considered as an experimental unit for all analyses. The model included the effects of sex, stocking density, and their interaction. Outliers were checked using the UNIVARIATE procedure of SAS. Preplanned orthogonal polynomial contrast test was performed to examine linear and quadratic effects of increasing stocking density on all measurements, regardless of sex (Seo et al., 2018). The one-slope broken-line also was conducted to predict the upper limits of stocking density in broiler chickens (Robbins et al., 2006); however, no breaking points were generated for all measurements. Moreover, an additional model with only stocking density as a class variable was used to identify critical stocking density by comparing means using PDIFF option of SAS (Buijs et al., 2009). Significance for statistical test was set at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Growth Performance

No interaction between sex and stocking density for growth performance was observed (Table 2). During the overall experimental period, however, male broiler chickens had greater ($P < 0.05$) FE and CV of BW (i.e., low uniformity of BW) compared with female broiler chickens. Regardless of sex, increasing stocking density decreased (linear, $P < 0.01$) BWG and FI of broiler chickens. In particular, birds raised at the stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² had less ($P < 0.05$) BWG than those raised at other stocking densities.

Breast Meat Quality

There was no interaction for breast meat quality between sex and stocking density (Table 3). However, female broiler chickens had greater ($P < 0.05$) breast meat yield than male broiler chickens. However, other measurements including pH, WHC, meat color, and TBARS were not affected by sex and stocking density.

Table 2. Effects of stocking density and sex on growth performance of broiler chickens.

Item	SD ²	Growth performance ¹			
		BWG, g	FI, g	FE, g/g	CV of final BW, %
Sex					
Male	15.2	1,418	2,057	689	14.4
	20.2	1,294	1,878	689	17.2
	25.3	1,402	2,011	697	16.4
	30.4	1,271	1,838	693	16.5
Female	15.2	1,348	1,969	685	14.0
	20.2	1,310	1,944	674	12.0
	25.3	1,345	1,961	685	12.0
	30.4	1,168	1,773	659	13.9
SEM (n = 5)		39.5	53.3	11.0	1.70
Main effect					
Sex					
Male		1,346	1,946	691	16.1
Female		1,293	1,912	676	13.0
SEM (n = 20)		19.8	26.6	5.5	0.85
SD					
15.2		1,383 ^a	2,013 ^a	687	14.2
20.2		1,302 ^b	1,911 ^{a,b}	681	14.6
25.3		1,373 ^{a,b}	1,986 ^a	691	14.2
30.4		1,219 ^c	1,805 ^b	676	15.2
SEM (n = 10)		27.9	37.7	7.8	1.20
Effect (<i>P</i> -value)	df				
Sex	1	0.066	0.372	0.049	0.013
SD	3	<0.001	0.002	0.531	0.923
Sex × SD	3	0.501	0.482	0.574	0.526
SD (linear) ³	1	0.002	0.003	0.490	0.637
SD (quadratic) ³	1	0.202	0.306	0.537	0.802

^{a-c}Means in the same column with different superscripts are different ($P < 0.05$).

¹BWG, body weight gain; FI, feed intake; FE, feed efficiency (BWG: FI); CV, coefficients of variation.

²SD, stocking density (birds/m²).

³Linear and quadratic effects of increasing stocking density with the pooled data from male and female chickens.

Intestinal Permeability and Serum LPS Concentration

No interaction between sex and stocking density for intestinal permeability measured by the Ussing chamber was observed (Table 4). The TER values were not different between sexes but increasing stocking density decreased (linear, $P < 0.01$) TER values, which indicates that intestinal permeability was increased by increasing stocking density. Likewise, increasing stocking density increased (linear, $P < 0.01$) serum LPS concentrations, which is known to be increased when intestinal permeability is increased. However, there was an interaction ($P < 0.01$) for serum LPS concentrations between sex and stocking density because increasing stocking density had no effects on serum LPS concentrations in female broiler chickens, but increased serum LPS concentrations in male broiler chickens. The TER value for birds raised at the stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² was less ($P < 0.05$) than those raised at the stocking density of 15.2 or 20.2 birds/m². Likewise, birds raised at the stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² had greater ($P < 0.05$) serum LPS concentrations than those raised at other stocking densities.

Tight Junction-related Gene Expression

The interaction between sex and stocking density was not significant for selected tight junction-related gene expression (Table 5). However, increasing stocking density decreased the expression of *ZO-1* (linear, $P < 0.05$) and *JAM-2* (linear, $P < 0.01$). The expression of *JAM-2* for birds raised at the stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² was less ($P < 0.05$) than those raised at the stocking density of 15.2 birds/m². However, the expression of *OCLN* and *CLDN-1* was not influenced by sex and stocking density.

DISCUSSION

Stocking density for broiler chickens is defined as the number of birds or the total live weight of birds in a fixed space (Estevez, 2007). Most of previous experiments created different stocking densities by raising a different number of birds in pens or cages of an identical floor space. However, this experimental procedure can have a limitation because the effects of stocking density are confused with the effects of group size (Buijs et al., 2009). As an alternative way, it is possible to produce different stocking densities by raising the same number of birds in different floor spaces, which can exclude the effects of group size. However, this alternative procedure appeared to be less applicable to the commercial situation. Therefore, from a practical standpoint, different stocking densities were generated in the current experiment by raising a different number of birds in battery cages with an identical floor space.

Increasing stocking density decreased BWG and FI in the current experiment. This result agrees with previous experiments that reported high stocking density decreased growth performance of broiler chickens compared with low stocking density (Dozier et al., 2006; Shakeri et al., 2014; Cengiz et al., 2015). The reason for these observations has been associated with various environmental and behavioral factors. High stocking density disturbs the birds' movements in a given space, and therefore, the birds raised at a high stocking density have more difficulty accessing to feeders and drinkers (Cengiz et al., 2015). In addition, Feddes et al. (2002) reported that birds raised at a high stocking density experienced with moderate heat stress because of a reduction in heat exchange as a result of crowding. Moreover, high stocking density also decreased the floor litter quality, leading to a foodpad and hock problem, although the problem is not often observed in battery cages. Our results indicate that the stocking density of up to 25.3 birds/m² may have no detrimental effects on growth performance of broiler chickens. It is likely, however, that the stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² decreases growth performance of broiler chickens raised in battery cages. This result disagrees with previous experiments reporting that high stocking density of over 16 or 19 birds/m² in

Table 3. Effects of stocking density and sex on breast meat quality of broiler chickens.

Item	Breast meat quality ¹								
	Yield, %	pH, 1h	pH, 24h	WHC, %	L*	a*	b*	TBARS	
Sex	SD ²								
Male	15.2	17.5	6.43	5.79	78.8	49.4	6.2	9.3	0.234
	20.2	17.6	6.63	5.80	79.2	50.8	5.0	10.0	0.220
	25.3	17.8	6.67	5.93	83.8	49.0	4.1	8.3	0.211
	30.4	16.8	6.52	5.85	78.0	50.7	5.3	9.9	0.197
Female	15.2	19.1	6.66	5.91	83.3	48.9	5.3	9.4	0.182
	20.2	18.5	6.54	5.87	80.7	49.7	4.7	9.0	0.195
	25.3	18.4	6.59	5.84	78.5	49.4	4.8	9.8	0.210
	30.4	17.6	6.73	5.82	80.5	51.5	5.0	10.2	0.196
SEM (n = 5)	0.54	0.077	0.063	1.96	0.99	0.57	0.54	0.018	
Main effect									
Sex									
Male	17.5	6.56	5.84	80.0	50.0	5.2	9.4	0.215	
Female	18.4	6.63	5.86	80.7	49.9	4.9	9.6	0.196	
SEM (n = 20)	0.27	0.038	0.031	0.98	0.50	0.28	0.27	0.009	
SD									
15.2	18.3	6.54	5.85	81.1	49.1	5.7	9.3	0.208	
20.2	18.1	6.59	5.84	79.9	50.3	4.8	9.5	0.208	
25.3	18.1	6.63	5.89	81.1	49.2	4.5	9.1	0.210	
30.4	17.2	6.62	5.83	79.3	51.1	5.2	10.0	0.196	
SEM (n = 10)	0.38	0.054	0.044	1.38	0.70	0.40	0.38	0.013	
Effect (<i>P</i> -value)	df								
Sex	1	0.018	0.211	0.656	0.574	0.882	0.606	0.636	0.146
SD	3	0.213	0.642	0.808	0.736	0.172	0.191	0.354	0.862
Sex × SD	3	0.808	0.066	0.324	0.090	0.749	0.555	0.161	0.484
SD (linear) ³	1	0.073	0.243	0.952	0.510	0.130	0.270	0.331	0.578
SD (quadratic) ³	1	0.359	0.634	0.656	0.792	0.611	0.063	0.288	0.606

¹WHC, water holding capacity; L*, lightness; a*, redness; b*, yellowness; TBARS, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances.

²SD, stocking density (birds/m²).

³Linear and quadratic effects of increasing stocking density with the pooled data from male and female chickens.

battery cages had a negative effect on broiler performance (Houshmand et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013). The variation in the upper limits of high stocking density among previous experiments may be a consequence of the differences in the experimental design such as environmental conditions, feeding periods, and gradations of stocking densities (Buijs et al., 2009).

For the effects of sex on growth performance, male broiler chickens had a greater FE than female broiler chickens. Similar improvements in FE were observed in previous experiments (Lippens et al., 2000; Zuowei et al., 2011). In addition, male broiler chickens had a greater CV of the final BW (i.e., less uniformity) than female broiler chickens in the current experiment, which accorded with Peak et al. (2000) who observed that male broiler chickens had less uniformity than female broiler chickens. No interaction between sex and stocking density was observed in this experiment, which indicates that the difference in growth performance due to sex was independent of stocking density. This result agreed with Madilindi et al. (2018) who observed a similar negative effect of increasing stocking density on growth performance between sexes. However, Zuowei et al. (2011) reported that growth depression in female chickens was more observable by high stocking density than male chickens at the marketing age. Variation in BW at different stocking densities and environmental

conditions among experiments may be responsible for these inconsistent results.

As observed in previous experiments (Havenstein et al., 1994; Corzo et al., 2005; Zuowei et al., 2011), female broiler chickens had a greater breast meat yield compared with male broiler chickens. Corzo et al. (2005) reported that female broiler chickens had a larger proportion of breast, tender, and abdominal fat than male broiler chickens, whereas male broiler chickens had a larger proportion of drumsticks and saddle than female broiler chickens. Therefore, inherent differences in carcass characteristics between sexes may be the reason for the different breast meat yield. The observation that high stocking density had no effects on breast meat quality in the current experiment agreed with previous experiments (Bilgili and Hess, 1995; Feddes et al., 2002; Zuowei et al., 2011).

Impairments in intestinal barrier function have been considered the potential reason for decreased productive performance and increased incidences of health problems for animals (Lambert, 2009; Hu et al., 2013). Therefore, the effects of various nutritional and environmental factors on intestinal barrier function in animals have been determined, mainly based on intestinal permeability measured by either TER values using the Ussing chamber (Pearce et al., 2013; Song et al., 2014) or LPS concentrations in the blood (Lambert, 2009) as

Table 4. Effects of stocking density and sex on intestinal permeability of broiler chickens.

Item	SD ²	Intestinal barrier permeability ¹			
		PD, mV	Isc, $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$	TER, Ω/cm^2	LPS, EU/ml
Sex	SD ²				
Male	15.2	124	0.36	345	25.7 ^c
	20.2	145	0.36	447	27.2 ^c
	25.3	104	0.42	274	38.3 ^{b,c}
	30.4	83	0.42	214	94.7 ^a
Female	15.2	161	0.38	451	35.3 ^{b,c}
	20.2	187	0.48	450	46.6 ^{b,c}
	25.3	140	0.32	420	52.9 ^b
	30.4	119	0.75	227	39.1 ^{b,c}
SEM (n = 5)		32.3	0.133	64.4	8.42
Main effect					
Sex					
Male		114	0.39	320	46.5
Female		152	0.48	387	43.5
SEM (n = 20)		16.2	0.067	32.2	4.21
SD					
15.2		143	0.37	398 ^a	30.5 ^b
20.2		166	0.42	449 ^a	36.9 ^b
25.3		122	0.37	347 ^{a,b}	45.6 ^b
30.4		101	0.58	221 ^b	66.9 ^a
SEM (n = 10)		22.9	0.094	45.6	5.96
Effect (<i>P</i> -value)	df				
Sex	1	0.108	0.339	0.151	0.614
SD	3	0.238	0.337	0.009	<0.001
Sex × SD	3	0.999	0.438	0.626	<0.001
SD (linear) ³	1	0.108	0.171	0.004	<0.001
SD (quadratic) ³	1	0.337	0.382	0.061	0.221

^{a-c}Means in the same column with different superscripts are different ($P < 0.05$).

¹PD, trans-epithelial voltage; Isc, short circuit current; TER, trans-epithelial electrical resistance; LPS, serum lipopolysaccharide (EU, endotoxin units/ml).

²SD, stocking density (birds/m²).

³Linear and quadratic effects of increasing stocking density with the pooled data from male and female chickens.

well as selective tight junction-related gene and protein expression in the GIT (Zhang and Guo, 2009; Shin et al., 2018).

The decreased TER values and increased serum LPS concentrations by increasing stocking density in broiler chickens were observed in the current experiment. Therefore, our observation indicates that high stocking density can increase intestinal permeability as an indicative of decreased intestinal barrier function. This is likely one of reasons why high stocking density decreased growth performance of broiler chickens in the current experiment. Decreased intestinal barrier function by high stocking density appears to be related to elevated stress of broiler chickens. Lambert (2009) suggested that various stressful conditions including psychological stress, severe exercise, and heat stress result in decreased intestinal blood flow, which damages intestinal barrier functions. Interestingly, although there was a linear decrease in TER values but a linear increase in serum LPS concentrations with increasing stocking density, stocking density of less than 25.3 birds/m² had no negative effects on TER values and serum LPS concentrations. This result accorded with the observation for growth performance in this experiment. As a result, it can be suggested that the negative effect of stock-

Table 5. Effects of stocking density and sex on tight junction-related gene expression in the jejunal mucosa of broiler chickens.

Item	SD ²	Gene expression ¹			
		<i>ZO-1</i>	<i>OCNL</i>	<i>CLDN-1</i>	<i>JAM-2</i>
Sex	SD ²				
Male	15.2	2.31	1.08	1.07	1.72
	20.2	1.34	1.01	1.16	1.00
	25.3	1.09	1.11	0.83	0.99
	30.4	0.97	0.99	0.76	0.70
Female	15.2	1.04	1.39	0.74	0.86
	20.2	1.15	1.18	0.99	1.02
	25.3	0.87	1.42	1.01	0.77
	30.4	0.67	0.69	0.80	0.55
SEM (n = 5)		0.416	0.328	0.237	0.205
Main effect					
Sex					
Male		1.43	1.05	0.96	1.10
Female		0.93	1.17	0.88	0.80
SEM (n = 20)		0.208	0.164	0.126	0.102
SD					
15.2		1.67	1.23	0.90	1.29 ^a
20.2		1.25	1.10	1.08	1.01 ^{a,b}
25.3		0.98	1.26	0.92	0.88 ^{a,b}
30.4		0.82	0.84	0.78	0.63 ^b
SEM (n = 10)		0.294	0.232	0.168	0.145
Effect (<i>P</i> -value)	df				
Sex	1	0.112	0.610	0.684	0.053
SD	3	0.226	0.605	0.678	0.030
Sex × SD	3	0.535	0.786	0.737	0.171
SD (linear) ³	1	0.045	0.350	0.496	0.004
SD (quadratic) ³	1	0.670	0.559	0.362	0.942

^{a,b}Means in the same column with different superscripts are different ($P < 0.05$).

¹*ZO-1*, zonula occludens-1; *OCNL*, occludin; *CLDN-1*, claudin-1; *JAM-2*, junctional adhesion molecule B.

²SD, stocking density (birds/m²).

³Linear and quadratic effects of increasing stocking density with the pooled data from male and female chickens.

ing density in broiler chickens is highly associated with impaired intestinal barrier function.

No differences in TER values were observed between male and female chickens, regardless of stocking density. However, there was an interaction for serum LPS concentrations between sex and stocking density because increasing stocking density did not affect serum LPS concentrations in female broiler chickens, but increased serum LPS concentrations in male broiler chickens with male broiler chickens raised at stocking density of 30.4 birds/m² showing the greatest LPS concentrations. This result is difficult to be explained because there was no interaction between sex and stocking density for TER values, which are highly correlated with serum LPS concentrations as observed in the current experiment.

Intestinal barrier function is often determined by tight junction integrity of epithelial layers because tight junction plays an important role in sealing the apical gaps of enterocytes in the intestinal epithelium (Moretó and Pérez-Bosque, 2009). The decreased expression of tight junction-related genes and proteins has been considered a molecular evidence for impaired intestinal barrier functions (Moretó and Pérez-Bosque, 2009; Gilani et al., 2017). Therefore, we measured selected tight junction-related gene expression in the jejunal

mucosa. The *OCLN*, *CLDN-1*, and *JAM-2* are transmembrane proteins of the tight junction, which are associated with maintaining the integrity of the tight junction and regulating its barrier function (Ulluwishewa et al., 2011). The *ZO-1* is an important scaffolding protein connecting transmembrane proteins to intracellular cytoskeletons (Ulluwishewa et al., 2011).

There were no interactions between stocking density and sex, and no main effects of sex on those selected gene expression was observed. This result may indicate that tight junction-related gene expression is not different between sexes, and furthermore, the effects of sex were similar, irrespective of stocking density. However, a linear decrease in the expression of *ZO-1* and *JAM-2* was observed as stocking density was increased in this experiment, which demonstrated that increasing stocking density decreased the integrity of tight junction in the jejunal mucosa. This result also was coincident with our observation for decreased TER values and increased serum LPS concentrations by high stocking density. On the other hand, the expression of *OCLN* and *CLDN-1* was not influenced by stocking density although those genes also are known to play a role in tight junction integrity. Likewise, Shin et al. (2018) reported that dietary supplementation of betaine selectively modified jejunal tight junction-related gene expression in laying hens exposed to heat stress. The possible reason for these selective changes in tight junction-related gene expression is not clear because of limited data pertaining to the association between intestinal barrier function and tight junction-related gene expression in animals. Therefore, further molecular studies are required to elucidate the effects of stocking density and sex on tight junction-related gene expression in broiler chickens and their association with intestinal barrier function.

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing stocking density decreases BWG of broiler chickens raised in battery cages, which is potentially due to a decreased FI with increasing stocking density. In addition, the decreased BWG is likely associated with impaired intestinal barrier function characterized by increased intestinal permeability and selective modification of intestinal tight junction-related gene expression. Therefore, dietary regimens and managements to improve intestinal barrier function may aid in ameliorating the adverse effect of high stocking density on growth performance and health of broiler chickens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (NRF-2016R1C1B1009323).

REFERENCES

- Aznar, R., and B. Alarcón. 2002. On the specificity of PCR detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food: A comparison of published primers. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 25:109–119.
- Bilgili, S. F., and J. B. Hess. 1995. Placement density influences broiler carcass grade and meat yields. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 4:384–389.
- Buijs, S., L. Keeling, S. Rettenbacher, E. van Poucke, and F. A. M. Tuytens. 2009. Stocking density effects on broiler welfare: Identifying sensitive ranges for different indicators. *Poult. Sci.* 88:1536–1543.
- Cengiz, Ö., B. H. Köksal, O. Tath, Ö. Sevim, U. Ahsan, A. G. Üner, P. A. Ulutaş, D. Beyaz, S. Büyükyörük, A. Yakan, and A. G. Önel. 2015. Effect of dietary probiotic and high stocking density on the performance, carcass yield, gut microflora, and stress indicators of broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 94:2395–2403.
- Corzo, A., M. T. Kidd, J. P. Thaxton, and B. J. Kerr. 2005. Dietary tryptophan effects on growth and stress responses of male broiler chicks. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 46:478–484.
- Dozier, W. A., J. P. Thaxton, J. L. Purswell, H. A. Olanrewaju, S. L. Branton, and W. B. Roush. 2006. Stocking density effects on male broilers grown to 1.8 kilograms of body weight. *Poult. Sci.* 85:344–351.
- Elphick, D. A., and Y. R. Mahida. 2005. Paneth cells: Their role in innate immunity and inflammatory disease. *Gut* 54:1802–1809.
- Estevez, I. 2007. Density allowances for broilers: Where to set the limits? *Poult. Sci.* 86:1265–1272.
- Feddes, J. J. R., E. J. Emmanuel, and M. J. Zuidhof. 2002. Broiler performance, body weight variance, feed and water intake, and carcass quality at different stocking densities. *Poult. Sci.* 81:774–779.
- Gabler, N. K., J. D. Spencer, D. M. Webel, and M. E. Spurlock. 2007. In utero and postnatal exposure to long chain (n-3) PUFA enhances intestinal glucose absorption and energy stores in weanling pigs. *J. Nutr.* 137:2351–2358.
- Gilani, S., G. S. Howarth, G. Natrass, S. M. Kitessa, R. Berekatani, R. E. A. Forder, C. D. Tran, and R. J. Hughes. 2018. Gene expression and morphological changes in the intestinal mucosa associated with increased permeability induced by short-term fasting in chickens. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 102:e653–e661.
- Havenstein, G. B., P. R. Ferket, S. E. Scheideler, and D. V. Rives. 1994. Carcass composition and yield of 1991 vs 1957 broilers when fed "typical" 1957 and 1991 broiler diets. *Poult. Sci.* 73:1795–1804.
- Houshmand, M., K. Azhar, I. Zulkifli, M. H. Bejo, and A. Kamyab. 2012. Effects of prebiotic, protein level, and stocking density on performance, immunity, and stress indicators of broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 91:393–401.
- Hu, C. H., Z. C. Qian, J. Song, Z. S. Luan, and A. Y. Zuo. 2013. Effects of zinc oxide-montmorillonite hybrid on growth performance, intestinal structure, and function of broiler chicken. *Poult. Sci.* 92:143–150.
- Kim, C. H., G.-B. Kim, M. B. Chang, G. S. Bae, I. K. Paik, and D. Y. Kil. 2012. Effect of dietary supplementation of *Lactobacillus* fermented *Artemisia princeps* on growth performance, meat lipid peroxidation, and intestinal microflora in Hy-line brown male chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 91:2845–2851.
- Kim, J. H., H. Jung, F. M. Pitargue, G. P. Han, H. S. Choi, and D. Y. Kil. 2017. Effect of dietary calcium concentrations in low non-phytate phosphorus diets containing phytase on growth performance, bone mineralization, litter quality, and footpad dermatitis incidence in growing broiler chickens. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 30:979–984.
- Lambert, G. P. 2009. Stress-induced gastrointestinal barrier dysfunction and its inflammatory effects. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87:E101–E108.
- Li, J., Z. Miao, W. Tian, Y. Yang, J. Wang, and Y. Yang. 2017. Effects of different rearing systems on growth, small intestinal morphology and selected indices of fermentation status in broilers. *Anim. Sci. J.* 88:900–908.
- Lippens, M., G. Room, G. De Groote, and E. Decuyper. 2000. Early and temporary quantitative food restriction of broiler chickens. 1.

- Effects on performance characteristics, mortality and meat quality. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41:343–354.
- Madilindi, M. A., A. Mokobane, P. B. Letwaba, T. S. Tshilate, C. B. Banga, M. D. Rambau, E. Bhebhe, and K. Benyi. 2018. Effects of sex and stocking density on the performance of broiler chickens in a sub-tropical environment. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 48:459–468.
- Moretó, M., and A. Pérez-Bosque. 2009. Dietary plasma proteins, the intestinal immune system, and the barrier functions of the intestinal mucosa. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87:E92–E100.
- Peak, S. D., T. J. Walsh, C. E. Benton, and J. Brake. 2000. Effects of two planes of nutrition on performance and uniformity of four strains of broiler chicks. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 9:185–194.
- Pearce, S. C., V. Mani, R. L. Boddicker, J. S. Johnson, T. E. Weber, J. W. Ross, R. P. Rhoads, L. H. Baumgard, and N. K. Gabler. 2013. Heat stress reduces intestinal barrier integrity and favors intestinal glucose transport in growing pigs. *PLoS One* 8: e70215.
- Puron, D., R. Santamaria, J. C. Segura, and J. L. Alamilla. 1995. Broiler performance at different stocking densities. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 4:55–60.
- Robbins, K. R., A. M. Saxton, and L. L. Southern. 2006. Estimation of nutrient requirements using broken-line regression analysis. *J. Anim. Sci.* 84:E155–E165.
- Ruhnke, I., I. Röhe, W. Meyer, S. Kröger, K. Neumann, and J. Zentek. 2013. Method for the preparation of mucosal flaps from the jejunum of laying hens for transporter studies in ussing chambers. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 67:161–168.
- Seo, S., S. Jeon, and J. K. Ha. 2018. Guidelines for experimental design and statistical analyses in animal studies submitted for publication in the Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 31:1381–1386.
- Shakeri, M., I. Zulkifli, A. F. Soleimani, E. L. O'Reilly, P. D. Eckersall, A. A. Anna, S. Kumari, and F. F. J. Abdullah. 2014. Response to dietary supplementation of L-glutamine and L-glutamate in broiler chickens reared at different stocking densities under hot, humid tropical conditions. *Poult. Sci.* 93:2700–2708.
- Shin, J. E., J. H. Kim, D. Goo, G. P. Han, F. M. Pitargue, H. K. Kang, and D. Y. Kil. 2018. Effect of dietary supplementation of betaine on productive performance, egg quality and jejunal tight junction-related gene expression in laying hens raised under hot environmental conditions. *Livest. Sci.* 214:79–82.
- Song, B., H. Li, Y. Wu, W. Zhen, Z. Wang, Z. Xia, and Y. Guo. 2017. Effect of microencapsulated sodium butyrate dietary supplementation on growth performance and intestinal barrier function of broiler chickens infected with necrotic enteritis. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 232:6–15.
- Song, J., K. Xiao, Y. L. Ke, L. F. Jiao, C. H. Hu, Q. Y. Diao, B. Shi, and X. T. Zou. 2014. Effect of a probiotic mixture on intestinal microflora, morphology, and barrier integrity of broilers subjected to heat stress. *Poult. Sci.* 93:581–588.
- Sun, Z. W., L. Yan, Y. Y. G, J. P. Zhao, H. Lin, and Y. M. Guo. 2013. Increasing dietary vitamin D₃ improves the walking ability and welfare status of broiler chickens reared at high stocking densities. *Poult. Sci.* 92:3071–3079.
- Thomsen, R., C. A. E. Solvsten, T. E. Linnet, J. Blechingberg, and A. L. Nielsen. 2010. Analysis of qPCR data by converting exponentially related Ct values into linearly related X0 values. *J. Bioinform. Comput. Biol.* 8:885–900.
- Ulluwishewa, D., R. C. Anderson, W. C. McNabb, P. J. Moughan, J. M. Wells, and N. C. Roy. 2011. Regulation of tight junction permeability by intestinal bacteria and dietary components. *J. Nutr.* 141:769–776.
- Zhang, B., and Y. Guo. 2009. Supplemental zinc reduced intestinal permeability by enhancing occludin and zonula occludens protein-1 (ZO-1) expression in weaning piglets. *Br. J. Nutr.* 102:687–693.
- Zhang, H. Y., X. S. Piao, Q. Zhang, P. Li, J. Q. Yi, J. D. Liu, Q. Y. Li, and G. Q. Wang. 2013. The effects of *Forsythia suspensa* extract and berberine on growth performance, immunity, antioxidant activities, and intestinal microbiota in broilers under high stocking density. *Poult. Sci.* 92:1981–1988.
- Zuowei, S., L. Yan, L. Yuan, H. Jiao, Z. Song, Y. Guo, and H. Lin. 2011. Stocking density affects the growth performance of broilers in a sex-dependent fashion. *Poult. Sci.* 90:1406–1415.