Effectiveness of prealbumin as an indicator of growth in neonates

Dong Hyun Kim, MD[®], Na Mi Lee, MD, PhD^{*}, Su Yeong Kim, MD, PhD, Dae Yong Yi, MD, PhD, Sin Weon Yun, MD, PhD, Soo Ahn Chae, MD, PhD, In Seok Lim, MD, PhD

Abstract

We designed this study to assess the effectiveness of prealburnin as an indicator of growth as well as a nutritional marker in neonates. Between March 2017 and June 2019, we measured serum prealburnin concentrations of 80 neonates in neonatal intensive care unit at birth, postnatal day 14 and 28, and classified them into 3 groups (early preterm, late preterm, and term infants). And we examined correlation among prealburnin levels, nutritional intake, and anthropometric measurements (weight, length, and head circumference) in neonates.

Prealbumin measured on the 14th postnatal day in early preterm infants showed significant correlations with the length, weight, and head circumference z-scores. Prealbumin levels increased with time in the late preterm and term groups. At birth, prealbumin levels were the lowest in late preterm babies, implying that they are nutritionally deficient and need nutritional support. At postnatal day 28, the prealbumin levels of many preterm infants did not reach those seen in term babies at birth, suggesting the presence of extrauterine growth restriction.

Prealbumin can be considered as an indicator of sufficient growth in early preterm infants.

Abbreviations: BUN = blood urea nitrogen, CRP = C-reactive protein, EUGR = extrauterine growth restriction, GA = gestational age, NICU = neonatal intensive care unit, RDS = respiratory distress syndrome, TPN = total parenteral nutrition.

Keywords: anthropometrics, extrauterine growth restriction, prealbumin, preterm, protein-calory status

1. Introduction

In neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), it is important to assess the nutritional state and growth of neonates.^[1–3] Generally, anthropometric measurements are considered the easiest method to assess neonatal growth, but some clinicians prefer objective or laboratory markers: hemoglobin and red blood cell indices, electrolytes, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), vitamins, minerals, albumin, and prealbumin.^[2,4]

Prealbumin is an unglycosylated plasma protein synthesized in the liver, which transports thyroxine and vitamin A.^[5] Prealbumin levels decrease in cases of acute phase response, malnutrition, liver disease, thyroid disease, and protein-losing

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Kim DH, Lee NM, Kim SY, Yi DY, Yun SW, Chae SA, Lim IS. Effectiveness of prealbumin as an indicator of growth in neonates. Medicine 2021;100:42(e27603).

Received: 13 June 2021 / Received in final form: 24 August 2021 / Accepted: 7 October 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000027603

diseases of the kidneys and intestine^[5-7]; they increase with the administration of exogenous corticosteroids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and in the presence of chronic renal failure.^[5] The half-life of prealbumin is about 2 days, so it reflects changes in protein synthesis and catabolism.^[5] Poor dietary intake decreases the serum prealbumin concentration, and proper nutritional management normalizes it. For these reasons, prealbumin is used to assess the nutritional status of patients with protein depletion and the effectiveness of nutritional support. To investigate the possible uses of prealbumin as a biomarker of conditions other than nutrition, we focused on growth in neonates. Therefore, we designed this study to assess the effectiveness of prealbumin as an indicator of growth as well as a nutritional marker in neonates. We conducted correlation analysis between anthropometric parameters and prealbumin and between protein, calorie intakes and prealbumin.

Medicine

2. Methods

We retrospectively studied neonates admitted to the NICU of Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea between March 2017 and June 2019. We measured serum prealbumin concentrations when the neonate's chronological age was 0, 14, and 28 days.^[8] The reference value (mean±standard deviation) for the prealbumin level in preterm infants is $7.0 \pm 1.7 \text{ mg/dL}$ at birth, $9.5 \pm 3.3 \text{ mg/dL}$ at the 14th postnatal day, and $8.7 \pm 2.3 \text{ mg/dL}$ at the 28th postnatal day.^[3,9] Serum prealbumin concentration in term infants was $10.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ mg/dL}$ in appropriate for gestational age, $12.1 \pm 3.3 \text{ mg/dL}$ in large for gestational age, and $8.31 \pm 1.2 \text{ mg/dL}$ in the birth date.^[10] We measured body weight, body length, and head circumference. Measurements were made regularly by 1 doctor and 1 nurse. The body weight of the naked neonate was measured every day with an electronic scale.

Editor: Deepa Vasireddy.

Department of Pediatrics, Chung-Ang University Hospital, College of Medicine, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, Korea.

^{*} Correspondence: Na Mi Lee, Department of Pediatrics, Chung-Ang University Hospital, 102, Heukseok-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 06973, Korea (e-mail: primidr@cau.ac.kr).

Length and head circumference were measured with a measuring tape weekly. The percentile values corresponding to these measurements were derived from the Fenton growth chart.^[11,12]

The data recorded included those of gestational age (GA); birth weight; sex; type of delivery; serum concentrations of prealbumin, BUN, creatinine, and C-reactive protein (CRP); and anthropometric measurements of weight, length, and head circumference when the chronological age was 0, 14, and 28 days. The protein-calorie status 2 to 3 days before measurement of the prealbumin level and its average protein and calorie intakes were also assessed. And morbidities until discharge were investigated. Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was diagnosed based on characteristic clinical symptoms and chest X-ray. Criteria for the classification of RDS was obtained from European Consensus Guidelines on the Management of Respiratory Distress Syndrome.^[13,14] Patent ductus arteriosus was diagnosed by clinical symptoms and echocardiography performed by the same cardiologist at 24 to 96 postnatal hours of life and during the first 2 weeks of life, as needed. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia was defined based on National Institutes of Health consensus definition of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.^[15] Intraventricular hemorrhage was diagnosed based on the Papile staging of the transfontanelle ultrasonographic assessments.^[16,17] Necrotizing enterocolitis was diagnosed based on systemic symptoms and radiographic findings, with severity based on Modified Bell clinical criteria.^[18] Retinopathy of prematurity was diagnosed by an ophthalmologist following a schedule of the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Findings were classified according to the Revisited International Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity.^[19,20]

Through retrospective chart review, we thoroughly checked the composition of the administered parenteral nutritional fluid; we also checked the quantity of milk fed to the babies, the type of milk (e.g., breast milk, formula milk), and whether fortifiers or supplements were mixed with the milk. Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) was started in for infants in any group who needed it. In general, IV amino acids and dextrose were started immediately after birth. A minimum of 2 g/kg of amino acids were given in the first 24 hour after birth, with the goal of supplying at least 3.5 g/ kg within 48 hours after birth while monitoring BUN. Four to 5 of glucose infusion rate were given in the first 24 hour after birth. And glucose infusion rate was increased as necessary while monitoring blood glucose test. IV lipids were also required to meet total energy requirements. A minimum of 1g/kg of lipids were given in the first 24 hour after birth, with the goal of supplying at least 3.0 g/kg within 48 hours after birth while monitoring triglyceride. Subsequently, TPN was adjusted according to each patient's condition. In early preterm group, enteral feeding was started as soon as possible with trophic feeding monitoring gastrointestinal condition. When they reached 34 weeks of postmenstrual age, we tried oral feeding. In late preterm group, enteral feeding were started after birth and we could try oral feeding and tube feeding together. In term group, oral feeding were initially applied. Duocal and Promax were used as supplements to supply more energy and protein, respectively. Enfamil Human Milk Fortifier was used as fortifier to supply more energy and protein. Vitamin was mixed with TPN and we supplied Alvityl I Syrup as vitamin multiplex in patients who did not need TPN.

We excluded neonates who died within 14 days of birth and neonates with conditions that could alter serum prealbumin concentration; culture-proven sepsis, hepatic disease, thyroid disease, protein-losing disease and whose CRP level is upper than 15 mg/L at each time points.^[21]

Based on GA, we classified neonates into 3 groups: GA less than 34 weeks (early preterm infants), GA 34 to 36 weeks and 6 days (late preterm infants), and GA 37 to 41 weeks and 6 days (term infants). Considering the 3 time points and the 3 groups, we performed analyses to identify the correlations between prealbumin levels and (a) protein and calorie intakes and (b) anthropometric measurements.

2.1. Statistical analysis

For statistical analyses, the mean \pm standard deviation was calculated for the anthropometrics and biochemical variables of each neonate at the 3 time points of the study. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to test for associations between variables. Analysis of variance and the chi-square test were used to test for differences among groups. *P* values <.05 were considered statistically significant. The data analysis was performed using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

2.2. Ethical statement

The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. This study was conducted after obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board of Chung-Ang University Hospital (IRB no. 2012-033-19346) and informed consent was waived owing to the retrospective nature of the study.

3. Results

Between March 2017 and June 2019, we evaluated the results of 205 serum prealbumin tests performed in 91 neonates. Cultureproven sepsis was identified in 6 neonates; CRP is elevated upper than 15 mg/L in 5 neonates; these neonates were excluded from the statistical analysis. A total of 80 neonates were analyzed.

We described morbidities until discharge in the study population and there were RDS, patent ductus arteriosus, intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, retinopathy of prematurity, and bronchopulmonary dysplasia (Table 1).

In the study population, the mean GA was 33.3 ± 2.9 weeks, and the mean birth weight was 1854.6 ± 686.6 g. The male: female ratio was 38:42 and 16 neonates had been delivered via

Table 1				
Morbidities	in the stuc	ly population unti	discharge.	
	Total	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term
	N - 80	n – 54	n-17	n_9

			-	
	N = 80	n=54	n=17	n=9
Sex, male	38 (47.5)	29 (53.7)	6 (35.3)	3 (33.3)
RDS	28 (35.0)	24 (44.4)	2 (11.8)	2 (22.2)
PDA	25 (31.3)	18 (33.3)	4 (23.5)	3 (33.3)
BPD	19 (23.8)	16 (29.6)	2 (11.8)	1 (11.1)
IVH	3 (3.8)	2 (3.7)	1 (5.9)	0 (0)
NEC	1 (1.3)	1 (1.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)
ROP	3 (3.8)	3 (5.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Results are described as N(%).

BPD = bronchopulmonary dysplasia, IVH = intraventricular hemorrhage, NEC = necrotizing enterocolitis, PDA = patent ductus arteriosus, RDS = respiratory distress syndrome, ROP = retinopathy of prematurity.

Table 2

Patient characteristics and	anthropometric parame	eters at 3 time points.			
	Total	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term	
1st time point	N=80	n = 54	n=17	n=9	P value
Sex (M:F)	0.90	1.16	0.54	0.50	.28
Delivery type (N:C)	0.25	0.08	0.70	1.25	<.001**
GA (wks)	33.3 ± 2.9	31.8 ± 1.9	35.0 ± 0.7	39.0±1.0	<.001**
Height (cm)	41.9±4.6	40.1 ± 4.1	43.9 ± 2.6	48.5±2.7	<.001**
Height (Z-score)	-0.5 ± 1.21	-0.47 ± 1.32	-0.59 ± 0.98	-0.5 ± 0.98	.64
Weight (g)	1854.6±686.6	1587.2±491	2101.2±473	2992.7 ±735.6	<.001**
Weight (Z-score)	-0.48 ± 1.18	-0.36 ± 1.18	-0.74 ± 1.03	-0.72 ± 1.46	.49
Head circumference (cm)	29.7 ± 3.3	28.7 ± 3.2	30.8 ± 1.9	33.4 ± 2.8	<.001**
Head circumference (Z-score)	-0.29 ± 1.67	-0.16 ± 1.77	-0.53 ± 1.25	-0.56 ± 1.78	.55
2nd time point	Total	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term	P value
	N=55	n=35	n=13	n=7	
Height (cm)	44 ± 4.2	42.2 ± 3.5	45.5±2.9	50.2 ± 2.1	<.001**
Height (Z-score)	-0.94 ± 1.11	-0.98±1.2	-0.99 ± 1.12	-0.66 ± 0.64	.86
Weight (g)	2055.9 ± 703.4	1735.4 ± 463.3	2299.2 ± 520.5	3206.4 ± 650.5	<.001**
Weight (Z-score)	-1.33 ± 0.99	-1.34 ± 0.93	-1.41 ± 1.16	-1.11 ± 1.11	.76
Head circumference (cm)	30.8 ± 2.7	29.6 ± 2.3	31.8 ± 1.7	34.6 ± 2.1	<.001**
Head circumference (Z-score)	-0.93 ± 1.16	-1.02 ± 1.15	-0.91 ± 1.16	-0.52 ± 1.3	.59
3rd time point	Total	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term	P value
	N=45	n = 35	n=7	n=3	
Height (cm)	43.7±4.7	42.5 ± 4.5	47.8±2.5	49 ± 1.7	<.001**
Height (Z-score)	-1.54 ± 1.44	-1.68 ± 1.51	-0.71 ± 0.96	-1.77 ± 1.14	.23
Weight (g)	2151.5 ± 650.1	1988.2±583.9	2722.3 ± 476	2725.3 ± 850.3	.005*
Weight (Z-score)	-1.62 ± 1.24	-1.61 ± 1.19	-1.17 ± 1.03	-2.79 ± 1.95	.36
Head circumference (cm)	30.9 ± 2.8	30.2 ± 2.7	32.9 ± 1.1	33.7 ± 4.1	<.001**
Head circumference (Z-score)	-1.34 ± 1.29	-1.4 ± 1.24	-0.86 ± 0.68	-1.73 ± 2.88	.50

GA = gestational age, M:F = male:female, N:C = natural delivery:cesarean section.

P*<.01. *P*<.001.

vaginal delivery (20%). Out of 80 neonates, 54 were early preterm neonates, 17 were late preterm neonates, and 9 were term neonates. Anthropometric measurements at birth showed significant differences among the groups, but there were no differences in terms of anthropometric z-scores (Table 2). CRP, BUN, and creatinine levels at birth were not significantly different among the groups.

At the 1st and 3rd time points, prealbumin levels showed significant differences among the groups (Table 3).

We also conducted Pearson correlation analysis for protein intake, calorie intake, and anthropometric measurements with respect to prealbumin levels. At the 2nd time point, there was a significant correlation between prealbumin levels and calorie intake in total neonates. In particular, subgroup analysis showed that prealbumin levels were significantly correlated with calorie intake in the early preterm group (Table 5).

At the 1st time point, subgroup analysis showed a significant correlation of prealbumin levels with the weight z-score in term

		L- 1
 101	. = 1	- 1

Calorie and protein intakes and prealbumin levels in the 3 groups at 3 time points.

1st time point	Total	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term	<i>P</i> voluo
Prealbumin	8.29+0.27	8.08 + 0.30	7.51+0.35	10.97 + 0.98	.005 ^{**}
2nd time point	Total N = 55	Early preterm n=35	Late preterm n=13	Term n=7	P value
Total calorie intake (kcal/kg) Total protein intake (g/kg) Prealbumin	109.2 ± 3.4 3.1 ± 0.1 11.45 ± 0.73	100.3 ± 2.5 2.9 ± 0.1 12.56 ± 0.51	112.8 ± 4.9 3.1 ± 0.1 11.59 ± 0.93	126.3 ± 8.7 2.7 ± 0.2 16.31 ± 1.6	.001 ^{**} .33 .01 [*]
3rd time point	Total N = 45	Early preterm n = 35	Late preterm n=7	Term n=3	P value
Total calorie intake (kcal/kg) Total protein intake (g/kg) Prealbumin	109.2±3.4 3.1±0.1 11.45±0.73	110.5 ± 4.1 3.2 ± 0.1 10.26 ± 0.43	99.5 ± 5.6 3 ± 0.2 13.56 ± 2.44	$\begin{array}{c} 115.6 \pm 10.4 \\ 2.7 \pm 0.6 \\ 20.4 \pm 6.49 \end{array}$.26 .44 .02 [*]

**P*<.05.

[™] P<.01.

Table 4

Pearson correlations bet	ween anthropo	metric para	meters and prea	albumin levels	in each group	at 3 time poir	nts.	
	Total N=80		Early prete	rm n=54	Late preterm n=17		Term n=9	
1st time point	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value
Height (Z-score)	0.02	.84	0.06	.66	-0.01	.97	-0.22	.56
Weight (Z-score)	-0.05	.66	0.11	.42	0.03	.91	-0.69	.04*
Head circumference (Z-score)	0.11	.31	0.25	.07	0.20	.44	-0.44	.24
	Total N=55		Early preterm n=35		Late preterm n=13		Term n=7	
2nd time point	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value
Height (Z-score)	0.28	.04*	0.41	.01*	-0.05	.88	0.10	.83
Weight (Z-score)	0.21	.13	0.42	.01*	0.09	.78	-0.41	.36
Head circumference (Z-score)	0.31	.02*	0.50	.002**	-0.10	.74	0.10	.84
	Total N=45		Early pret	Early preterm n=35		Late preterm n=7		n=3
3rd time point	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value
Height (Z-score)	0.24	.12	0.34	.05*	0.31	.49	0.19	.88
Weight (Z-score)	-0.10	.52	0.37	.03*	0.04	.93	-0.93	.25
Head circumference (Z-score)	-0.11	.49	0.25	.15	-0.28	.54	-0.73	.48

neonates. At the 2nd time point, prealbumin levels showed significant correlations with the length and head circumference zscores in total neonates. Subgroup analysis showed significant correlations of prealbumin levels with the length, weight, and head circumference z-scores in early preterm neonates. At the 3rd time point, subgroup analysis showed that prealbumin levels were significantly correlated with the length and weight z-score in early preterm neonates (Table 4).

4. Discussion

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the usefulness of prealbumin as a biomarker of growth in neonates based on its correlations with protein intake, calorie intake, and anthropometric parameters. Prealbumin showed a significant correlation with calorie intake, rather than protein intake, at the 2nd study time point. Moreover, it showed a significant correlation with anthropometric measurements, especially in early preterm infants.

At the 1st study time point, prealbumin levels were the lowest in late preterm babies among the 3 groups, implying that late preterm infants are nutritionally deficient and need nutritional support. In the presence of term babies and less severe patients in the NICU, these infants are often nutritionally neglected, even though they require proper nutritional management.

At the 3rd study time point, the prealbumin levels of many preterm infants did not reach those seen in term babies at the 1st study time point. Regardless of how much effort is put into the nutritional management of preterm infants, it is difficult to reach the prealbumin levels and anthropometric z-scores observed in term babies at the 1st time point. These results suggest the presence of extrauterine growth restriction (EUGR), which should be managed more aggressively through nutritional support.^[22-25]

In NICUs, nutritional assessment is necessary to devise therapeutic plans.^[26,27] Most patients are preterm infants born before sufficient maturation of organs and sufficient nutrient accumulation^[28] who need nutritional support because TPN is no better than transplacental nutritional supply.^[23,29–32] After birth, the lack of protein and lipid accumulation cause EUGR; consequently, EUGR usually results in poor neurodevelopmental outcomes.^[24,33–37]

Anthropometric parameters can be easily and inexpensively measured to assess intrauterine growth.^[4] In addition, objective laboratory markers are also used to make proper decisions in terms of nutritional support.^[38] Prealbumin is often evaluated as a representative biomarker.^[39]

There are few previous studies on the serum prealbumin levels of preterm infants. Moskowitz et al^[40] reported that prealbumin levels were significantly correlated with protein and calorie intakes. In the study by Nadeau et al^[38] a higher prealbumin concentration was found in infants with higher nutritional intakes. Helms et al^[25] reported that a higher protein intake, rather than a higher calorie intake, resulted in significantly higher prealbumin levels.

Table 5

realson correlations between protein, calone, and prealburnin levels in each group at 5 time poin	Pearson con	rrelations	between pr	otein, cal	orie, and	prealbumin	levels in	each gro	up at 3 ti	me point
---	-------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------	----------	------------	----------

Total N	l=55	Early prete	rm n=35	Late prete	rm n=13	Term	n=7
Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value
0.33 0.2	.01 [*] .15	0.36 0.01	.03 [*] .94	0.41 0.49	.17 .09	0.18 0.12	.70 .80
Total N	l=45	Early prete	erm n=35	Late prete	erm n=7	Term	n=3
Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value	Pearson r	P value
0.11	.49	0.17	.34	0.68	.09	-0.49	.67
-0.07	.63	0.16	.37	0.32	.48	-0.53	.65
	Total N Pearson r 0.33 -0.2 Total N Pearson r 0.11 -0.07	Total N=55 Pearson r P value 0.33 .01* -0.2 .15 Total N=45 Pearson r P value 0.11 .49 -0.07 .63	Total N=55 Early preter Pearson r P value Pearson r 0.33 .01* 0.36 -0.2 .15 0.01 Total N=45 Early preter Pearson r P value 0.11 .49 -0.07 .63	Total N=55 Early preterm n=35 Pearson r P value 0.33 .01* -0.2 .15 Total N=45 Early preterm n=35 Pearson r P value 0.11 .49 -0.07 .63	Total N=55Early preterm n=35Late preterm Pearson rPearson rP valuePearson rP value0.33.01*0.36.03*0.41-0.2.150.01.94-0.49Total N=45Early preterm n=35Late preterm Pearson rPearson rP valuePearson rP value0.11.490.17.340.68-0.07.630.16.370.32	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline Total \ N=55 & Early \ preterm \ n=35 & Late \ preterm \ n=13 \\ \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline Pearson \ r & \ P \ value & \hline P \ value &$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c } \hline Total $N=5$ & Early preterm $n=35$ & Late preterm $n=13$ & Term n \\ \hline Pearson r & P value$ & 0.41 & 1.17 & -0.18 & -0.2 & 1.5 & 0.01 & $.94$ & -0.49 & $.09$ & -0.12 $

P < .05.

^{*} P<.05. [°] P<.01.

This study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. This is single-center study that generalization is limited. As babies grow older than 34 weeks of postmenstrual age, sucking and swallowing reflexes develop; but some of them have difficulty digesting milk. There may be inaccuracies in calculating calories and protein amounts due to gastric residual milk. Moreover, NICU hospitalization lasting 4 weeks implies that the baby is in a critical condition or was born before 34 weeks of GA. Therefore, the correlations showed by prealbumin levels at the 3rd study time point in late preterm and term infants could be affected by morbidities.

Further studies with larger study populations and a thorough investigation of morbidities will be needed to confirm these results.

In conclusion, prealbumin levels in NICU patients were significantly correlated with several nutritional and anthropometric parameters. In particular, prealbumin levels measured on the 14th postnatal day in early preterm infants showed significant correlations with the length, weight, and head circumference zscores. These results imply that prealbumin can be used as biomarker of growth in neonates.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Dong Hyun Kim, Na Mi Lee, Su Yeong Kim, Dae Yong Yi.

Data curation: Dong Hyun Kim, Na Mi Lee.

Formal analysis: Dong Hyun Kim, Na Mi Lee.

Investigation: Dong Hyun Kim, Su Yeong Kim, Dae Yong Yi.

Methodology: Na Mi Lee, Su Yeong Kim, Dae Yong Yi.

Project administration: Na Mi Lee.

Resources: Na Mi Lee, Su Yeong Kim.

Software: Dong Hyun Kim.

Supervision: Soo Ahn Chae, In Seok Lim.

Validation: Na Mi Lee.

Visualization: Dong Hyun Kim.

Writing - original draft: Dong Hyun Kim.

Writing – review & editing: Na Mi Lee, Sin Weon Yun, Soo Ahn Chae, In Seok Lim.

References

- Lubchenco LO, Searls DT, Brazie JV. Neonatal mortality rate: relationship to birth weight and gestational age. J Pediatr 1972; 81:814–22.
- [2] Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D, Fusch C. Nutritional assessment in preterm infants: a practical approach in the NICU. Nutrients 2019;11:1999.
- [3] Cardoso LE, Falcao MC. Nutritional assessment of very low birth weight infants: relationships between anthropometric and biochemical parameters. Nutr Hosp 2007;22:322–9.
- [4] Moyer-Mileur LJ. Anthropometric and laboratory assessment of very low birth weight infants: the most helpful measurements and why. Semin Perinatol 2007;31:96–103.
- [5] Myron Johnson A, Merlini G, Sheldon J, Ichihara K. Scientific Division Committee on Plasma Proteins (C-PP), International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC)Clinical indications for plasma protein assays: transthyretin (prealbumin) in inflammation and malnutrition. Clin Chem Lab Med 2007;45:419–26.
- [6] Sann L, Bienvenu F, Bienvenu J, Bourgeois J, Bethenod M. Evolution of serum prealbumin, C-reactive protein, and orosomucoid in neonates with bacterial infection. J Pediatr 1984;105:977–81.
- [7] Ingenbleek Y, Young V. Transthyretin (prealbumin) in health and disease: nutritional implications. Annu Rev Nutr 1994;14:495–533.
- [8] Zemel BS, Riley EM, Stallings VA. Evaluation of methodology for nutritional assessment in children: anthropometry, body composition, and energy expenditure. Annu Rev Nutr 1997;17:211–35.

- [9] Clifford SM, Bunker AM, Jacobsen JR, Roberts WL. Age and gender specific pediatric reference intervals for aldolase, amylase, ceruloplasmin, creatine kinase, pancreatic amylase, prealbumin, and uric acid. Clin Chim Acta 2011;412:788–90.
- [10] Jung J, Park E, Seo J, Lee S. The utility of serum prealbumin as a biochemical marker for nutritional adequacy in neonates. Clin Exp Pediatr 2000;43:6.
- [11] Fenton TR. A new growth chart for preterm babies: Babson and Benda's chart updated with recent data and a new format. BMC Pediatr 2003;3:13.
- [12] Chou JH, Roumiantsev S, Singh R. PediTools electronic growth chart calculators: applications in clinical care, research, and quality improvement. J Med Internet Res 2020;22:e16204.
- [13] Sweet DG, Carnielli V, Greisen G, et al. European consensus guidelines on the management of respiratory distress syndrome - 2019 update. Neonatology 2019;115:432–50.
- [14] Sweet DG, Carnielli V, Greisen G, et al. European consensus guidelines on the management of respiratory distress syndrome - 2016 update. Neonatology 2017;111:107–25.
- [15] Ehrenkranz RA, Walsh MC, Vohr BR, et al. Validation of the National Institutes of Health consensus definition of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Pediatrics 2005;116:1353–60.
- [16] Papile LA, Burstein J, Burstein R, Koffler H. Incidence and evolution of subependymal and intraventricular hemorrhage: a study of infants with birth weights less than 1,500 gm. J Pediatr 1978;92:529–34.
- [17] Guzzetta F, Shackelford GD, Volpe S, Perlman JM, Volpe JJ. Periventricular intraparenchymal echodensities in the premature newborn: critical determinant of neurologic outcome. Pediatrics 1986;78: 995–1006.
- [18] Bell MJ, Ternberg JL, Feigin RD, et al. Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. Therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. Ann Surg 1978; 187:1–7.
- [19] Fierson WM. American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Ophthalmology. American Academy of Ophthalmology. American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. American Association of Certified OrthoptistsScreening examination of premature infants for retinopathy of prematurity. Pediatrics 2018;142:e20183061.
- [20] International Committee for the Classification of Retinopathy of PrematurityThe International Classification of Retinopathy of Prematurity revisited. Arch Ophthalmol 2005;123:991–9.
- [21] Sabel KG, Wadsworth C. C-reactive protein (CRP) in early diagnosis of neonatal septicemia. Acta Paediatr Scand 1979;68:825–31.
- [22] Victora CG, Villar J, Barros FC, et al. Anthropometric characterization of impaired fetal growth: risk factors for and prognosis of newborns with stunting or wasting. JAMA Pediatr 2015;169:e151431.
- [23] Mol N, Kwinta P. How to determine the nutritional status of preterm babies?-Review of the literature. Dev Period Med 2015;19(3 Pt 1): 324-9.
- [24] Denne SC. Protein and energy requirements in preterm infants. Semin Neonatol 2001;6:377–82.
- [25] Helms RA, Dickerson RN, Ebbert ML, Christensen ML, Herrod HG. Retinol-binding protein and prealbumin: useful measures of protein repletion in critically ill, malnourished infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1986;5:586–92.
- [26] Hay WWJr. Aggressive nutrition of the preterm infant. Curr Pediatr Rep 2013;1:
- [27] Chien HC, Chen CH, Wang TM, Hsu YC, Lin MC. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of infants with very low birth weights are associated with the severity of their extra-uterine growth retardation. Pediatr Neonatol 2018;59:168–75.
- [28] Su BH. Optimizing nutrition in preterm infants. Pediatr Neonatol 2014;55:5–13.
- [29] Anderson DM. Nutritional assessment and therapeutic interventions for the preterm infant. Clin Perinatol 2002;29:313–26.
- [30] Sirch M, Poryo M, Butte M, et al. Parenteral nutrition in premature babies with a birth weight <1500g: a systematic single-center analysis and comparison with current guidelines. Wien Med Wochenschr 2019; 169:71–81.
- [31] Ehrenkranz RA. Growth outcomes of very low-birth weight infants in the newborn intensive care unit. Clin Perinatol 2000;27:325–45.
- [32] American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on NutritionNutritional needs of low-birth-weight infants. Pediatrics 1985;75:976–86.
- [33] Hay WWJr, Brown LD, Denne SC. Energy requirements, protein-energy metabolism and balance, and carbohydrates in preterm infants. World Rev Nutr Diet 2014;110:64–81.

- [34] Clark RH, Thomas P, Peabody J. Extrauterine growth restriction remains a serious problem in prematurely born neonates. Pediatrics 2003;111(5 Pt 1):986–90.
- [35] Belfort MB, Ramel SE. NICU diet, physical growth and nutrient accretion, and preterm infant brain development. Neoreviews 2019;20: e385–96.
- [36] Ergenekon E, Soysal S, Hirfanoglu I, et al. Short- and long-term effects of individualized enteral protein supplementation in preterm newborns. Turk J Pediatr 2013;55:365–70.
- [37] Christensen RD, Henry E, Kiehn TI, Street JL. Pattern of daily weights among low birth weight neonates in the neonatal intensive

care unit: data from a multihospital health-care system. J Perinatol 2006;26:37-43.

- [38] Nadeau L, Forest JC, Masson M, Morrissette I, Lariviere F, Caron M. Biochemical markers in the assessment of protein-calorie malnutrition in premature neonates. Clin Chem 1986;32:1269–73.
- [39] Thomas MR, Massoudi M, Byrne J, Mitchell MA, Eggert LD, Chan GM. Evaluation of transthyretin as a monitor of protein-energy intake in preterm and sick neonatal infants. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 1988;12:162–6.
- [40] Moskowitz SR, Pereira G, Spitzer A, Heaf L, Amsel J, Watkins JB. Prealbumin as a biochemical marker of nutritional adequacy in premature infants. J Pediatr 1983;102:749–53.