

Images in Cardiovascular Medicine

Check for updates

Rediscover a Missed Culprit Lesion with Optical Coherence Tomography in Acute Coronary Syndrome: a Simple Stationary Pullback Method

Bino John Sahayo, MD¹, Sundeep Mishra, MD², Sang-Wook Kim ⁽, MD, PhD¹, Arvind Dambalkar, MD¹, Quang Tan Phan, MD³, Hoyoun Won ⁽, MD¹, Jun Hwan Cho, MD¹, and Wang Soo Lee ⁽, MD¹)

¹Heart Research Institute, College of Medicine, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea ²Department of Cardiology, All India Institute of Medical Science, New Delhi, India ³Intervention Center, Quang Nam Central General Hospital, Quang Nam, Vietnam

A 54-year-old male was admitted to the hospital due to severe chest pain. He suffered from diabetes mellitus for 20 years and was an ex-smoker. The 12 leads electrocardiography showed ST-segment elevation in inferior leads. High sensitivity troponin level was elevated, and underwent coronary angiography. The echocardiogram showed basal inferior hypokinesia with normal left ventricular systolic function. The coronary angiography demonstrated an insignificant stenosis with vague plaque ulceration at the proximal portion of right coronary artery (**Figure 1A**, asterisk). The automatic motorized pullback of optical coherence tomography (OCT) was performed at 10 mm/sec with simultaneous injection of a



Figure 1. (A) Diagnostic CAG showed an insignificant stenosis with vague plaque ulceration in the proximal RCA (asterisk). (B-G) Cross-sectional OCT imaging with the conventional pullback showing a white thrombus without any evidence of plaque disruption (arrow). (H) Cross-sectional OCT imaging of the ROI showing a white thrombus (arrow) and tiny plaque rupture (arrowheads). (I) Three-dimensional OCT imaging demonstrating a white thrombus (arrow), (J) Longitudinal OCT imaging of the conventional pullback showing a white thrombus (arrow). (K) Longitudinal OCT imaging using the stationary pullback.

CAG = coronary angiography; OCT = optical coherence tomography; RCA = right coronary artery; ROI = region of interest.

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Feb 27, 2020 Revised: May 11, 2020 Accepted: May 28, 2020

Correspondence to

Sang-Wook Kim, MD, PhD

Heart Research Institute, College of Medicine, Chung-Ang University Hospital, 102, Heukseok-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 06973, Korea. E-mail: swkimcv@cau.ac.kr

Sundeep Mishra, MD

Department of Cardiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, 425, Mount Kailash Tower No. 2, East of Kailash, New Delhi 110065, India. E-mail: drsundeepmishra@hotmail.com

Copyright © 2020. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Sang-Wook Kim b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7208-8596 Hoyoun Won b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5375-3159 Wang Soo Lee b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8264-0866

Funding

This research was supported by the Chung-Ang University Research Grants in 2019.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Dambalkar A; Investigation: Phan QT, Cho JH; Methodology: Kim SW; Project administration: Lee WS; Supervision: Mishra S; Validation: Won H; Writing - original draft: Sahayo BJ; Writing review & editing: Kim SW. contrast solution before the stationary imaging (SI). The minimal lumen area of the culprit lesion was measured 4.67 mm². While a white thrombus was shown without any plaque disruption in the conventional pullback (**Figure 1B-J**, **Supplementary Video 1**), however a tiny plaque rupture (arrowhead) with the white thrombus (arrow) was clearly visible in SI (**Figure 1H**, **Supplementary Video 2**). The imaging duration was 6 seconds with 20/sec of frame rate without pulling the optical lens back in SI, however the location of an optical lens could be adjusted manually. Although the optical lens was moving by cardiac cycle and respiration, the number of acquired images (120 frames) for the region of interest was higher than with the automatic pullback (10 frames/mm). OCT is recognized as a promising, highresolution imaging tool in coronary intervention.¹⁻³⁾ However, it often missed the target lesion unexpectedly because of a limited frame of the acquired images and cardiac motion.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Video 1

Pre-interventional OCT with conventional motorized pullback.

Click here to view

Supplementary Video 2

Pre-interventional OCT with stationary pullback.

Click here to view

REFERENCES

- Jang IK, Tearney GJ, MacNeill B, et al. In vivo characterization of coronary atherosclerotic plaque by use of optical coherence tomography. *Circulation* 2005;111:1551-5.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kubo T, Imanishi T, Takarada S, et al. Assessment of culprit lesion morphology in acute myocardial infarction: ability of optical coherence tomography compared with intravascular ultrasound and coronary angioscopy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:933-9.
- Kwon JE, Lee WS, Mintz GS, et al. Multimodality intravascular imaging assessment of plaque erosion versus plaque rupture in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Korean Circ J* 2016;46:499-506.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF