

RESEARCH

Open Access



The association between locomotive function and incidence of proximal junctional kyphosis following adult spine deformity surgery

Ohsang Kwon^{1†}, Sanghoon Lee^{1†}, Haolin Zheng¹, Dae-Woong Ham², Chungwon Bang¹, Sang-Min Park¹, Jin S. Yeom¹ and Ho-Joong Kim^{1*}

Abstract

Background and objectives This retrospective review study aimed to determine whether functional mobility test (FMT) results are related to the incidence of proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) after surgical correction of adult spinal deformity (ASD).

Methods A total of 157 patients who underwent reconstructive spinal surgery for ASD between July 2019 and December 2021 were included in this study. Three types of FMTs were performed preoperatively: timed-up-and-go (TUG) test, five times sit-to-stand (STS) test, and alternate step (AS) test. The primary outcome measure was the occurrence of PJK at 1 year after surgery. Oswestry disability index (ODI) and EuroQOL-5-dimension (EQ-5D) scores were surveyed as patient-reported outcome measures of the surgery.

Results The occurrence of PJK was observed in 41 of the 157 patients (26.1%) at 1 year after surgery. Among the three functional mobility tests, STS test results were significantly higher in the patients who developed PJK. The receiver operating characteristics curve drawn with the STS test had an area under the curve of 0.69 and the optimal cutoff value was suggested as 22 seconds. Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the STS test along with age and preoperative ODI score as the significant predictors of PJK ($p=0.026$, 0.005 and 0.001 , respectively).

Conclusions A longer test time on the STS test result was associated with a higher occurrence of postoperative PJK. A cutoff value of 22 s can be suggested. Preoperative surveillance of these patients and providing additional efforts and surgical procedures for the prevention of PJK are anticipated to improve the surgical outcome of ASD correction.

Keywords Functional mobility, Sit-to-stand test, Adult spinal deformity, Proximal junctional kyphosis, Oswestry disability index, Receiver operating characteristics curve

[†]Ohsang Kwon and Sanghoon Lee contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

Ho-Joong Kim
oshjkim@gmail.com

¹Spine Center, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine and Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, 166 Gumi-ro, Bundang-gu, Sungnam 463-707, Republic of Korea

²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea



Introduction

With the current trend of increase in the senile population, the demand for proper treatment of adult spinal deformity (ASD) has also been increasing. Although surgical treatment of ASD is believed to be associated with a higher likelihood of clinical improvement compared to conservative treatment [1], postoperative complications such as proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) are still a problem to be resolved [2–5].

Many studies have revealed numerous risk factors that might lead to the occurrence of PJK [4, 6–8], and various methods have been proposed to prevent PJK and thus, proximal junctional failure (PJF) [9–11]. However, these attempts could not effectively decrease the incidence of PJK [12]. Hence, efforts to elucidate the powerful predictors of PJK are still in progress, although the pathophysiology of PJK is multifactorial.

Risk factors of PJK that have been proposed include older age, fusion down to the sacrum or pelvis, larger thoracic kyphosis, low bone mineral density (BMD), and a preoperative sagittal vertical axis (SVA) difference greater than 50 mm [13]. The focus of studies has been on the analysis of two-dimensional static images or descriptive factors of the patient population. On the other hand, the disabilities and pain that ASD patients manifest are grounded in the dynamic aspects of daily performance. Recent studies have highlighted the physical function of patients via dynamic motion analysis or balance tests to understand the disease itself and to relate with the beneficial or adverse outcomes of surgical correction [14, 15].

Therefore, this study hypothesized that the measurement of the physical function of patients can predict the postoperative development of PJK. We used three validated functional mobility tests (FMTs) to assess the locomotive function associated with frailty: the Timed-Up-and-Go (TUG) test, the five times Sit-to-Stand (STS) test, and the Alternate Step (AS) test [16–18]. This study aimed to examine the relationship between locomotive function and the development of PJK.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

This retrospective review study was approved by the institutional review board of our hospital (B-2104-678-104). Informed consent was obtained regarding the surgical procedure, its potential outcomes, and possible complications and that imaging data, surgical outcomes, and medical records may be used for retrospective research purposes. A total of 157 consecutive patients who were scheduled to undergo reconstructive spinal surgery for ASD between July 2019 and December 2021 were included in the study. The inclusion criteria for the ASD group were as follows: (1) age > 50 years; (2) diagnosis of ASD with sagittal imbalance and treatment plan

for corrective surgery, defined as SVA > 5 cm, pelvic tilt (PT) > 20°, or pelvic incidence (PI) – lumbar lordosis (LL) > 20° on lateral radiographs in standing position; and (3) subjective disability due to stooping posture. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) presence of any other spinal disease such as thoracic and/or cervical myelopathy; (2) severe pain in the hip, knee, or ankle joints impeding walking; (3) peripheral vascular disease; (4) any syndromic, neuromuscular disease; (5) coronal imbalance of Cobb angle > 25°; (6) having undergone revision surgery due to complications such as rod breakage; and (7) any serious uncontrolled medical comorbidity such as sepsis or malignancy that would cause disability or worsen the general medical condition.

Functional mobility measurements

We performed three different types of functional mobility tests. The tests comprised of the TUG test, STS test, and AS test. The TUG test measured the time it took for the subject to stand up from a chair with no armrest, walk a distance of 3 m, turn, walk back to the chair, and sit down. It has been developed as a clinical measure of balance in elderly people [19]. The STS test measured the time it took for the subject to stand up from a chair with no armrest, sitting back down, and repeating it five times [17]. The AS test measured the time it took for the subject to climb a single foot-high step with right and left foot, alternatively, for eight times in total [20]. Handgrip strength (HGS) was measured for both hands using a hand dynamometer (GRIP-D5101, Takei, Niigata, Japan). The patients were asked to sit in a comfortable position with their elbows extended to the side and squeeze the dynamometer with maximum strength. Measurements were performed twice for both hands with a minute break in between [21]. The best performance of the trials was recorded and entered into the analysis, regardless of the side and dominance of the hand used.

Surgical procedures

All surgeries were conducted by the lead author using consistent techniques [22, 23]. Patients were positioned prone on a Jackson spine table to achieve maximal LL, and simple radiographs were taken. The PI – LL was calculated to determine the desired correction angle and whether a 3-column osteotomy or posterior column osteotomy was needed to correct sagittal imbalance. The fusion level was carefully determined by evaluating both sagittal and coronal plane deformities with most patients receiving fusion down to the sacrum and iliac screws to support the long construct and prevent early degeneration of the L5/S1 disc. The upper instrumented vertebra (UIV) was T10 in all patients. Methods including interbody fusion with a cage and bone graft at the

lower lumbar levels, rod cantilevering, and compression between screws were employed to help correct the deformity.

Surgical outcome measurements

Surgical outcome measurements were generated using radiographic measurements and patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures. For radiographic assessment, spinopelvic parameters, including the SVA, sacral slope, PT, PI, and LL, were measured using biplanar stereo radiographic full-body imaging (EOS imaging, Paris, France). PJK was defined by the presence of two criteria: (1) a proximal junctional sagittal Cobb angle (PJA) of $>10^\circ$ and (2) a postoperative PJA of at least 10° greater than the preoperative measurement [4]. ‘Acute PJK’ refers to PJK occurring within the first year postoperatively. PRO measures, including the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and EuroQOL (EQ-5D) were used to assess surgical outcomes [24, 25]. The ODI is a self-administered questionnaire that measures “back-specific function” on a 10-item scale, with six response categories each [25]. The EQ-5D is a 5-dimensional health state classification; the five dimensions are mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression [24, 26]. An EQ-5D “health state” is defined by selecting one level from each dimension. The EQ-5D preference-based measure can be regarded as a continuous outcome scored on a 0 to 1.00 scale, with 1.00 indicating “full health” and 0 representing death. These data were collected preoperatively and reassessed at 3 and 6 months after surgery. Patients who experienced PJK events before the PROs were conducted were excluded.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as means with standard deviations for parametric data, or medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) for non-parametric data. Preoperative PROs, radiological parameters, and demographic data were compared between the two groups using independent *t*-tests or the Mann-Whitney U test. The incidence of PJK at 1 year after surgery, the primary outcome measure of our study, and the functional mobility test results were analyzed. The area under the curve (AUC) and the optimal cutoff values were calculated from the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to evaluate the ability of the functional mobility test to predict the occurrence of PJK. Variables that were significantly associated with the development of acute PJK in the univariate analysis ($p < 0.10$) were then entered into the multivariate logistic regression model after excluding the possibility of collinearity. The TUG, STS, and AS test values were analyzed by categorizing them based on the cutoff value from the ROC curve. Finally, a multivariate logistic regression model was used to identify risk factors

and calculate the odds ratio (OR) for acute PJK. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 27.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Descriptive analysis

A total of 157 patients with a mean age of 71.5 ± 7.3 years were enrolled in the study. Baseline demographic parameters and functional mobility test results were compared with the occurrence of PJK (Table 1). PJK occurred in 41 of 157 patients (26.1%) at 1 year after surgery. Age and HGS exhibited significant differences according to the occurrence of PJK ($p = 0.001$ and 0.001 , respectively). Among the FMTs, the PJK+group needed a longer time to complete the STS test than the PJK- group with statistical significance (23.9 ± 9.4 vs. 20.2 ± 9.3 s, $p = 0.028$). There was no statistically significant difference between the PJK+ and PJK- groups for the TUG test (18.2 ± 6.9 vs. 17.0 ± 6.9 s, $p = 0.354$) or the AS test (16.4 ± 8.0 vs. 16.1 ± 7.4 s, $p = 0.871$). Sex, body mass index, and BMD were not significantly different between the PJK+ and PJK- groups. Preoperative ODI scores were better in the PJK+group but the EQ-5D scores of PJK+group after surgery were worse (Table 1).

Radiological outcome analysis

All preoperative spinopelvic radiologic parameters showed no statistically significant differences between the PJK+ and PJK- groups. However, patients of the PJK+group displayed a larger PT and LL values after surgery (Table 2).

Predictive validity and the cutoff value of functional mobility test for the PJK

The AUC of the ROC curves were 0.63, 0.57, and 0.51 for the STS, TUG, and AS tests ($p = 0.01$, 0.18 , and 0.90 , respectively). The suggested optimal cutoff values were 21.7, 16.0, and 18.4 for the STS, TUG, and AS tests (Fig. 1).

Risk factors for the development of acute PJK

Univariate analysis identified that patients’ age, BMD, HGS, preoperative ODI, postoperative PT, postoperative LL, TUG test, and STS test could be multiple potential risk factors for developing acute PJK (Table 3). The TUG and STS test results were dichotomized using the previously suggested cutoff values. Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified age, preoperative ODI, and the STS test as significant risk factors for acute PJK ($p = 0.005$, 0.001 , 0.026 , respectively) (Table 3).

Table 1 Comparative analysis of the patient characteristics and functional mobility test by the occurrence of proximal junctional kyphosis

	PJK +	PJK –	Total	p-value
No. (%)	41 (26.1%)	116 (73.9%)	157	
Age	74.7 ± 5.0	70.4 ± 7.7	71.5 ± 7.3	0.001
Sex, female (%)	37 (90.2%)	101 (87.1%)	138 (87.9%)	0.592
BMI	26.9 ± 3.9	26.2 ± 3.9	26.4 ± 3.9	0.335
HGS (kg)	13.5 ± 4.2	19.4 ± 17.3	17.8 ± 15.2	0.001
BMD (g/cm ²)	0.608 ± 0.10	0.642 ± 0.11	0.633 ± 0.11	0.097
ODI				
Preop	37 (24–50)	46 (34–54)	44 (33–54)	0.038
Postop	42 (24–56)	34 (20–50)	36 (21–50)	0.185
EQ-5D				
Preop	0.296 (0.081–0.553)	0.196 (0.081–0.446)	0.196 (0.081–0.482)	0.720
Postop	0.410 (0.143–0.553)	0.410 (0.081–0.410)	0.410 (0.109–0.553)	0.040
Functional mobility test				
TUG	18.2 ± 6.9	17.0 ± 6.9	17.3 ± 6.9	0.354
STS	23.9 ± 9.4	20.2 ± 9.3	21.2 ± 9.4	0.028
AS	16.4 ± 8.0	16.1 ± 7.4	16.2 ± 7.5	0.871

PJK, proximal junctional kyphosis; BMI, body mass index; HGS, hand grip strength; BMD, bone mineral density; ODI, Oswestry disability index; EQ-5D, EuroQOL 5-dimension; TUG, timed up-and-go; STS, sit-to-stand; AS, alternate step

p-value < 0.05 are shown in **bold**

Table 2 Comparative analysis of radiologic parameters by the the occurrence of proximal junctional kyphosis

	PJK +	PJK –	Total	p-value
Preop				
SS	23.8 ± 10.9	24.8 ± 14.4	24.5 ± 13.6	0.68
PT	29.2 ± 9.1	28.1 ± 11.6	28.4 ± 11.0	0.56
PI	53.0 ± 11.2	52.7 ± 13.5	52.8 ± 12.9	0.91
LL	5.2 ± 22.8	6.9 ± 23.2	6.5 ± 23.0	0.68
PI–LL	47.8 ± 24.6	46.1 ± 23.0	46.5 ± 23.4	0.68
SVA	171.1 ± 86.2	177.1 ± 80.7	175.6 ± 81.9	0.70
PJA	2.1 ± 5.2	2.7 ± 5.9	2.5 ± 9.5	0.58
Postop				
SS	24.7 ± 9.3	26.1 ± 9.6	25.7 ± 9.5	0.45
PT	28.8 ± 8.9	25.4 ± 8.8	26.3 ± 8.9	0.04
PI	53.6 ± 11.0	51.5 ± 12.0	52.0 ± 11.7	0.34
LL	39.8 ± 9.4	35.5 ± 10.7	36.6 ± 10.5	0.03
PI–LL	13.8 ± 11.3	15.9 ± 10.9	15.4 ± 11.0	0.30
SVA	66.2 ± 41.2	59.4 ± 42.4	61.2 ± 42.2	0.39

SS, sacral slope; PT, pelvic tilt; PI, pelvic incidence; LL, lumbar lordosis; PI–LL, pelvic incidence minus lumbar lordosis; SVA, sagittal vertical axis

p-value < 0.05 are shown in **bold**

Subgroup analysis by dichotomization with the cutoff of sit-to-stand test

Patients with good performance of STS test were compared with those with poor performance by the cutoff of 21.7 s (Table 4). PJK incidence was significantly higher (38.7% vs. 17.9%, $p=0.004$) in those with poor performance of the test. This group of poor performance was older with statistical significance ($p=0.004$). As of the PRO measures, postoperative ODI and EQ-5D scores

were better in the group with better STS performance but the difference was statistically insignificant. The radiographic parameters, including postoperative PI–LL mismatch and SVA were in similar range between the two groups, as with the surgical parameters including fusion length, iliac screw insertion, and 3-column osteotomy (Table 4).

Discussion

Despite the growing body of research on PJK, there remains a gap regarding the potential association between the locomotive function of patients and the occurrence of PJK. This study shows that poor performance of the STS test is associated with a higher incidence of PJK. A cutoff of 22 s would be realistic in the clinical settings. The odds ratio of developing PJK for the patients who took longer time than cutoff to complete the STS test was 2.70 (95% confidence interval: 1.13–6.47) (Table 3).

Conventionally suggested risk factors of PJK include older age, long spinal fusion construct, larger correction of the deformity, and low BMD [13]. However, interest has been growing in the dynamic and functional aspects of patients with ASD recently. Severijns et al. reported from data using motion analysis that ASD patients showed altered lower limb gait patterns to compensate for trunk tilt and pelvic anteversion during walking, which correlates with an increased risk of falls [27]. Godzik et al. recently reported a prospective pilot study using static and dynamic posturography, demonstrating




Fig. 1 A receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve of the three functional mobility tests (FMT) for prediction of the occurrence of proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK). The area under the curve (AUC), *p*-value, and the optimal cutoff values are suggested in the table

that ASD patients had impaired postural stability compared to the normal population, which might elevate the risk of falls [28]. Furthermore, Kim et al. demonstrated increased risk of falls in patients with spinal stenosis using FMT, representing the significance of the ability to maintain postural stability [29]. Based on this background, we investigated whether FMT can be used as a measurement tool to evaluate the dynamic stability of ASD patients.

We suggest that patients with a higher probability of developing PJK can be successfully screened using 22 s as cutoff value of the STS test. In particular, the STS test showed superiority in predicting the PJK incidence over other FMTs, the TUG and AS tests. This might be explained by the nature of the STS activity and the pathophysiology of the development of PJK. The STS test is a

repetition of getting up and sitting down onto a chair. Getting up and sitting down onto a chair is a form of an anti-gravitational activity. Therefore, decreased core muscles, impaired locomotive function, and compromised balancing ability result in poor performance for these tests, ultimately leading to increased stress load and impact at the proximal junction of the long fusion construct. Understandably, this will lead to wedge compression of the UIV or UIV+1, which is the common pathophysiology of PJK. Evaluation of the patients' muscle quality by direct measurement of body composition or surveying known associated factors of muscle function and comparing them with the STS test results would have been of help in understanding the correlation. We have included factors like HGS, BMI, and BMD in our analysis but alcohol consumption, smoking, or comorbidities are

Table 3 Univariate & multivariate logistic regression analysis for prediction of the proximal junctional kyphosis

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	β	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Age	0.12	1.13 (1.0–1.2)	0.001	0.13	1.1 (1.0–1.2)	0.005
Sex (female)	0.32	1.37 (0.4–4.4)	0.593			
BMD (g/cm ²)	-2.9	0.05 (0.0–1.8)	0.099	-2.50	0.08 (0.00–8.34)	0.289
HGS	-0.09	0.91 (0.8–0.9)	0.006	-0.05	0.95 (0.87–1.04)	0.251
Preop ODI	-0.03	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	0.019	-0.05	0.95 (0.93–0.98)	0.001
Postoperative radiologic parameters						
SS	-0.02	0.99 (0.95–1.02)	0.449			
PT	0.05	1.05 (1.00–1.09)	0.043	0.03	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	0.324
PI	0.02	1.02 (0.98–1.05)	0.335			
LL	0.04	1.04 (1.00–1.08)	0.032	0.04	1.04 (0.99–1.09)	0.068
PI-LL	-0.02	0.98 (0.95–1.02)	0.297			
SVA	0.00	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	0.389			
TUG test*	0.76	2.1 (1.0–4.5)	0.044	-0.07	0.93 (0.32–2.72)	0.905
STS test*	1.06	2.9 (1.4–6.0)	0.004	0.99	2.70 (1.13–6.47)	0.026
AS test*	0.61	1.8 (0.8–3.9)	0.114			

BMD, bone mineral density; HGS, hand grip strength; SS, sacral slope; PT, pelvic tilt; PI, pelvic incidence; LL, lumbar lordosis; PI-LL, pelvic incidence minus lumbar lordosis; SVA, sagittal vertical axis; TUG, timed-up-and-go; STS, sit-to-stand; AS, alternate step

P-values < 0.10 for univariate analysis and < 0.05 for multivariate analysis are shown in **bold**.

*Functional mobility test results were converted into categorical variables according to the respective cutoff values suggested from the receiver operating characteristics curve (cutoffs were 16.0, 21.7, 18.4 s for the TUG, STS, and AS test respectively)

also known to have impact on the STS test results [30]. We also excluded the patients diagnosed with syndromic or neuromuscular diseases such as Parkinson's disease or brain diseases that might affect the functional mobility. Diebo et al. [31] reported that older patients took longer to complete the Dubousset functional test (DFT), which evaluates physical function and balance. DFT is similar to the FMT of the present study in that it includes sitting and getting up motions. Furthermore, the tests included in FMT were validated by previous studies [29, 32], in which the patients who took a longer time to complete these tests had a higher risk of falls. In addition, Startjes et al. proposed a severity stratification for objective functional impairment (OFI) in patients with degenerative lumbar spine disease based on STS test times, categorizing times greater than 22.0 s as indicative of severe OFI [33]. Similarly, Klukowska et al. demonstrated that the five-repetition sit-to-stand test could predict falls in older adults using a cutoff of 23.8 s [34]. This aligns with our cutoff of 22 s for predicting PJK, further emphasizing the role of functional impairment in postoperative outcomes. In this context, it is a noteworthy point of the present study, that the results of functional mobility tests that measure patients' locomotive function are correlated with the occurrence of PJK. While it may be debatable whether a small difference in the STS test is a significant contributing factor to the occurrence of PJK, it can be considered as one potential risk factor in the complex interplay of multiple mechanisms leading to PJK. Therefore, the value of the STS test lies in assisting the surgeon

to quickly assess and comprehend the functional ability of the patients with ASD as a simple clinical tool.

One of the notable findings in our study is the significant difference in age between patients with longer STS test times and those with shorter test times. Patients with poor STS test performance (greater than 22 s) were on average 3.4 years older than those with better performance (73.6 vs. 70.2 years). Given that age was also identified as a significant risk factor for PJK in the multivariate analysis, it is possible that the age difference contributed to both the variation in STS test performance and the higher incidence of PJK in older patients. It is likely that age and STS test time are interrelated factors, both contributing to the higher incidence of PJK. While the STS test serves as a valuable tool for assessing functional mobility, it is important to consider that the test results may be influenced by patient age. This potential confounding factor should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. Further research could focus on exploring the interaction between age and FMTs in predicting PJK, and on evaluating whether interventions aimed at improving mobility in older patients could reduce the risk of PJK.

It is widely known that frailty is a significant factor in the development of PJK [13, 35]. HGS is a representative frailty-related factor, and previous studies have reported that patients with weak HGS show poor outcomes after surgery [22]. In our data, the PJK+ group showed weaker HGS (13.5 ± 4.2 vs. 19.4 ± 17.3 , $p = 0.001$). Likewise, previous reports demonstrated that tests including FMT could be routinely used for medical screening tests in frail,

Table 4 Subgroup analysis of patients by dichotomization with the 21.7 s cutoff of sit-to-stand test

	Good STS performance (< 21.7 s)	Poor STS performance (> 21.7 s)	p-value
No. (%)	95 (60.5%)	62 (39.5%)	
PJK incidence (n, %)	17 (17.9%)	24 (38.7%)	0.004
Age	70.2 ± 8.0	73.6 ± 5.4	0.004
Sex, female (%)	80 (84.2%)	58 (93.5%)	0.079
BMI	26.2 ± 3.7	26.8 ± 4.2	0.312
HGS (kg)	17.6 ± 8.2	18.2 ± 22.1	0.804
BMD (g/cm ²)	0.643 ± 0.1	0.619 ± 0.1	0.176
ODI			
Preop	42 (31–52)	46 (34–54)	0.444
Postop	33 (22–50)	38 (20–56)	0.418
EQ-5D			
Preop	0.211 (0.081–0.482)	0.196 (0.081–0.553)	0.716
Postop	0.410 (0.143–0.553)	0.410 (0.103–0.553)	0.247
PI-LL			
Preop	46.3 ± 23.5	47.0 ± 23.3	0.846
Postop	15.7 ± 10.8	14.8 ± 11.4	0.600
SVA			
Preop	172.2 ± 83.9	180.8 ± 79.1	0.525
Postop	59.8 ± 40.6	63.4 ± 45.0	0.619
PJA			
Preop	1.95 ± 6.03	3.37 ± 5.04	0.126
Postop	10.11 ± 8.70	13.93 ± 10.46	0.016
Fusion length	8.0 ± 0.7	8.0 ± 0.3	0.729
Iliac screw insertion	70 (86.4%)	41 (95.3%)	0.216
3-column osteotomy	25 (30.9%)	12 (27.9%)	0.732

STS, sit-to-stand test; PJK, proximal junctional kyphosis; BMI, body mass index; HGS, hand grip strength; BMD, bone mineral density; ODI, Oswestry disability index; EQ-5D, EuroQOL 5- dimension; PI-LL, pelvic incidence and lumbar lordosis mismatch; SVA, sagittal vertical axis; PJA, proximal junctional sagittal Cobb angle

p-value < 0.05 are shown in **bold**

elderly population [19, 36]. In this aspect, FMT can be a non-invasive method for screening patients' frailty easily and objectively, allowing surgeons to identify among ASD patients of who is expected to exhibit worse outcomes after surgery.

This study has several limitations. First, the follow-up period was 1 year after surgery. Although this is a rather short follow-up period, majority of the PJK occurs within this acute phase of the postoperative period, reported as 66% within the first 3 months and 80% within 18 months after surgery [37]. Nevertheless, a longer follow-up study would be necessary to confirm the relationship between the STS test and the PJK. Second, the study was designed to determine whether functional mobility tests can predict the incidence of PJK. Since this possibility has now been proven, more mechanistic studies such as motion analysis of the STS activity rather than simple walking, or linking other factors representing muscle functional

status, could be incorporated to examine the mechanism of PJK development and ultimately to prevent troublesome complications. Third, we chose to include only patients above the age of 50. However, patients younger than 50 could meet the diagnostic requirements for ASD and may benefit from surgical correction, and mobility test outcomes may differ for these younger patients. Fourth, although the FMT test is known to have different cutoffs for the risk of falls according to age, present study did not consider the association between age and the FMT results. However, the fact that present study included relatively homogenous elderly patients could minimize bias. Lastly, an AUC of 0.63 in the STS test indicates neither high sensitivity nor specificity, but it is considered acceptable.

Conclusion

Poor performance of the STS test, especially over 22 s leads to higher risk of PJK occurrence. Surveillance of these patients and providing additional procedures for the prevention of PJK are anticipated to improve the surgical outcome of ASD correction.

Abbreviations

FMT	Functional mobility test
PJK	Proximal junctional kyphosis
ASD	Adult spinal deformity
TUG	Timed-up-and-go
STS	Sit-to-stand
AS	Alternative step
ODI	Oswestry disability index
EQ-5D	EuroQOL-5-dimension
PJF	Proximal junctional failure
BMD	Bone mineral density
SVA	Sagittal vertical axis
PT	Pelvic tilt
PI	Pelvic incidence
LL	Lumbar lordosis
HGS	Handgrip strength
UIV	Upper instrumented vertebra
PRO	Patient-reported outcome
PJA	Proximal junctional sagittal Cobb angle
AUC	Area under the curve
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
OR	Odds ratio

Author contributions

OS Kwon and SH Lee contributed equally to this work. OSK and HJK designed the study. OSK and SHL collected the data. OSK wrote the manuscript and SHL revised the manuscript. HZ, DWH, and CB contributed to the data analysis. SMP and JSY contributed by providing critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding

This work was supported by the New Faculty Startup Fund from Seoul National University.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This retrospective review study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the institutional review board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-2104-678-104). Informed consent was obtained regarding the surgical procedure, its potential outcomes, and possible complications and that imaging data, surgical outcomes, and medical records may be used for retrospective research purposes.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 18 June 2024 / Accepted: 12 November 2024

Published online: 30 November 2024

References

1. Acaroglu E, European Spine Study G. Decision-making in the treatment of adult spinal deformity. *EFORT Open Rev*. 2016;1(5):167–76.
2. Drazin D, Shirzadi A, Rosner J, Eboli P, Safae M, Baron EM, Liu JC, Acosta FL Jr. Complications and outcomes after spinal deformity surgery in the elderly: review of the existing literature and future directions. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2011;31(4):E3.
3. Cho SK, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Yi JS, Pahys JM, Zebala LP, Kang MM, Cho W, Baldus CR. Major complications in revision adult deformity surgery: risk factors and clinical outcomes with 2- to 7-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37(6):489–500.
4. Glattes RC, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Kim YJ, Rinella A, Edwards C 2. Proximal junctional kyphosis in adult spinal deformity following long instrumented posterior spinal fusion: incidence, outcomes, and risk factor analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30(14):1643–9.
5. Cho SK, Shin JI, Kim YJ. Proximal junctional kyphosis following adult spinal deformity surgery. *Eur Spine J*. 2014;23(12):2726–36.
6. Lange T, Schulte TL, Gosheger G, Schulze Boevingloh A, Mayr R, Schmoelz W. Effects of multilevel posterior ligament dissection after spinal instrumentation on adjacent segment biomechanics as a potential risk factor for proximal junctional kyphosis: a biomechanical study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2018;19(1):57.
7. Han S, Hyun SJ, Kim KJ, Jahng TA, Lee S, Rhim SC. Rod stiffness as a risk factor of proximal junctional kyphosis after adult spinal deformity surgery: comparative study between cobalt chrome multiple-rod constructs and titanium alloy two-rod constructs. *Spine J*. 2017;17(7):962–8.
8. Wang J, Zhao Y, Shen B, Wang C, Li M. Risk factor analysis of proximal junctional kyphosis after posterior fusion in patients with idiopathic scoliosis. *Injury*. 2010;41(4):415–20.
9. Doodkorte RJP, Vercoulen TFG, Roth AK, de Bie RA, Willems PC. Instrumentation techniques to prevent proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure in adult spinal deformity correction—a systematic review of biomechanical studies. *Spine J*. 2021.
10. Buell TJ, Bess S, Xu M, Schwab FJ, Lafage V, Ames CP, Shaffrey CI, Smith JS. Optimal tether configurations and preload tensioning to prevent proximal junctional kyphosis: a finite element analysis. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2019;1–11.
11. Cahill PJ, Wang W, Asghar J, Booker R, Betz RR, Ramsey C, Baran G. The use of a transition rod may prevent proximal junctional kyphosis in the thoracic spine after scoliosis surgery: a finite element analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37(12):E687–695.
12. Glassman SD, Coseo MP, Carreon LY. Sagittal balance is more than just alignment: why PJK remains an unresolved problem. *Scoliosis Spinal Disord*. 2016;11:1.
13. Liu FY, Wang T, Yang SD, Wang H, Yang DL, Ding WY. Incidence and risk factors for proximal junctional kyphosis: a meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J*. 2016;25(8):2376–83.
14. Diebo BG, Shah NV, Pivec R, Naziri Q, Patel A, Post NH, Assi A, Godwin EM, Lafage V, Schwab FJ, et al. From static spinal alignment to dynamic body balance: utilizing motion analysis in spinal deformity surgery. *JBJS Rev*. 2018;6(7):e3.
15. Ham D-W, Han H, Kim H-J, Park S-M, Chang B-S, Yeom JS. Risk factors for acute proximal junctional kyphosis after adult spinal deformity surgery in preoperative motion analysis. *Eur Spine J*. 2021.
16. Diebo BG, Challier V, Shah NV, Kim D, Murray DP, Kelly JJ, Lafage R, Paulino CB, Passias PG, Schwab FJ, et al. The Dubousset Functional Test is a Novel Assessment of physical function and balance. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2019;477(10):2307–15.
17. Zhang F, Ferrucci L, Culham E, Metter EJ, Guralnik J, Deshpande N. Performance on five times sit-to-stand task as a predictor of subsequent falls and disability in older persons. *J Aging Health*. 2013;25(3):478–92.
18. Kondo R, Yamato Y, Nagafusa T, Mizushima T, Hasegawa T, Kobayashi S, Togawa D, Oe S, Kurosu K, Matsuyama Y. Effect of corrective long spinal fusion to the ilium on physical function in patients with adult spinal deformity. *Eur Spine J*. 2017;26(8):2138–45.
19. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed up & go: a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1991;39(2):142–8.
20. Chung MM, Chan RW, Fung YK, Fong SS, Lam SS, Lai CW, Ng SS. Reliability and validity of alternate step test times in subjects with chronic stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. 2014;46(10):969–74.
21. Norman K, Stobaus N, Gonzalez MC, Schulzke JD, Pirlich M. Hand grip strength: outcome predictor and marker of nutritional status. *Clin Nutr*. 2011;30(2):135–42.
22. Kwon O, Kim H-J, Shen F, Park S-M, Chang B-S, Lee C-K, Yeom JS. Influence of hand grip strength on surgical outcomes after surgery for adult spinal deformity. *Spine*. 2020;45(22):E1493–9.
23. Kwon O, Lee S, Park SM, Yeom JS, Kim HJ. A complement type to SRS-Schwab adult spinal deformity classification: the failure of pelvic compensation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2022;47(18):1295–302.
24. Dolan P. Modeling valuations for EuroQol health states. *Med Care*. 1997;35(11):1095–108.
25. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine (Philadelphia Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(22):2940–52.
26. Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med*. 2001;33(5):337–43.
27. Severijns P, Moke L, Overbergh T, Beaucage-Gauvreau E, Ackermans T, Desloovere K, Scheys L. Dynamic sagittal alignment and compensation strategies in adult spinal deformity during walking. *Spine J*. 2021;21(7):1059–71.
28. Godzik J, Frames CW, Smith Hussain V, Olson MC, Kakarla UK, Uribe JS, Lockhart TE, Turner JD. Postural Stability and dynamic balance in adult spinal deformity: prospective pilot study. *World Neurosurg*. 2020;141:e783–91.
29. Kim H-J, Chun H-J, Han C-D, Moon S-H, Kang K-T, Kim H-S, Park J-O, Moon E-S, Kim B-R, Sohn J-S. The risk assessment of a fall in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. *Spine*. 2011;36(9):E588–92.
30. Ozalevi S, Ozden A, Itil O, Akkoclu A. Comparison of the sit-to-stand test with 6 min walk test in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med*. 2007;101(2):286–93.
31. Diebo BG, Challier V, Shah NV, Kim D, Murray DP, Kelly JJ, Lafage R, Paulino CB, Passias PG, Schwab FJ. The Dubousset functional test is a novel assessment of physical function and balance. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2019;477(10):2307.
32. Lee BH, Kim T-H, Park M-S, Lim S, Park J-O, Kim H-S, Kim H-J, Lee H-M, Moon S-H. Comparison of effects of nonoperative treatment and decompression surgery on risk of patients with lumbar spinal stenosis falling: evaluation with functional mobility tests. *JBJS*. 2014;96(13):e110.
33. Staartjes VE, Schroder ML. The five-repetition sit-to-stand test: evaluation of a simple and objective tool for the assessment of degenerative pathologies of the lumbar spine. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2018;29(4):380–7.
34. Klukowska AM, Schroder ML, Stienen MN, Staartjes VE. Objective functional impairment in lumbar degenerative disease: concurrent validity of the baseline severity stratification for the five-repetition sit-to-stand test. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2020;33(1):4–11.
35. Hart RA, McCarthy I, Ames CP, Shaffrey CI, Hamilton DK, Hostin R. Proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure. *Neurosurg Clin North Am*. 2013;24(2):213–8.
36. Kim M-J, Yabushita N, Kim M-K, Nemoto M, Seino S, Tanaka K. Mobility performance tests for discriminating high risk of frailty in community-dwelling older women. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2010;51(2):192–8.

37. Lau D, Clark AJ, Scheer JK, Daubs MD, Coe JD, Paonessa KJ, LaGrone MO, Kasten MD, Amaral RA, Trobisch PD, et al. Proximal junctional kyphosis and failure after spinal deformity surgery: a systematic review of the literature as a background to classification development. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(25):2093–102.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.