Different associations of specific non-alcoholic beverages with elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in Korean adults: results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2015–2016)

Ji-Sook Kong,^{1,2} Hye Won Woo,^{1,2} Yu-Mi Kim,^{1,2} and Mi Kyung Kim^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, 222, Wangsimni-ro, Seongdong-gu, Seoul 04763, South Korea ²Institute for Health and Society, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, South Korea

(Received 8 February, 2021; Accepted 11 February, 2021)

This study examined the associations between specific nonalcoholic beverages and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and their interactions with obesity. The study participants were 4,999 adults aged 19-64 years from the 2015-2016 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained using multivariable logistic regression analyses. In women, there was an inverse linear trend between coffee and hs-CRP status ($p_{trend} = 0.0137$), and a positive linear trend for soda was also found (≥1/week vs never or almost never, OR = 1.79, 95% CI 1.23-2.61, $p_{trend} = 0.0127$). In the stratification analyses, the associations were only observed in obese women. The associations were inverse for coffee and tea but linearly positive for soda in obese women (p_{trend}<0.05). In men, an inverted J-shaped association between commercial fruit juice/drink and hs-CRP status was found; but after stratification by obesity, the association was linear only in obese men (p_{trend} <0.05, OR = 2.44, 95% Cl 1.44-4.16 in ≥1/week vs never or almost never). Coffee and tea in women may be beneficially associated with hs-CRP status, but soda in women and commercial fruit juice/drink in men may be adversely, particularly for obese adults.

Key Words: coffee, green tea, soda, fruit juice, hs-CRP, inflammation

High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), which is a chronic and systemic low-grade inflammation marker, is associated with the development of lifestyle-related chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease (CVD).⁽¹⁾ Nevertheless, there is little evidence on the determinants of hs-CRP level including dietary factors, although consumption of fruits and vegetables rich in antioxidants and anti-inflammatory nutrients has been known to be inversely associated with hs-CRP level.^(2,3)

Recently, sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) such as soft drinks, which belongs to non-alcoholic beverages (NABs), have become an important public health concern worldwide due to their excess calories.⁽⁴⁻⁸⁾ They have been intensively studied and a meta-analysis reported a clear positive association between increased energy intake from soft drinks and body weight.^(6,7,9) In addition, NABs were previously studied on the association with hs-CRP,⁽¹⁰⁻¹²⁾ but results for specific-NABs such as fruit drinks, tea, and coffee⁽¹⁰⁻¹²⁾ were not consistent. It may be because many components, besides the content of sugar or sweeteners, varies,

depending on the type of beverages; for example, caffeine, polyphenols, and minerals^(13,14) in coffee and flavonoids, catechins, and caffeine in green tea⁽¹⁵⁾ may be beneficial for inflammation, but sugar or high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) in soda⁽⁸⁾ and high fructose content in commercial fruit juice/drinks⁽¹⁶⁾ may be harmful.

A recent report from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) demonstrated the altered association of SSBs with CRP level by obesity status.⁽¹⁷⁾ Obesity has become a major public health concern worldwide, because it is a well-established risk factor for chronic diseases such as CVD, diabetes, and some cancers. Thus, an enhanced understanding of the relationship of beverage consumption with hs-CRP level regarding obesity status may contribute to the development of strategies to prevent inflammatory chronic diseases.

Therefore, we investigated the associations of specific NABs (total coffee, sugar-sweetened, non-sugar sweetened coffee, green tea, commercial fruit juice/drink, and soda) with hs-CRP status among Korean adults from the 2015–2016 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) and additionally examined whether the association between NABs and hs-CRP status was modified by obesity status.

Methods

Study population. The KNHANES is conducted by the Ministry of Health and Welfare and the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC). We used the KNHANES data collected in 2015 and 2016. Participants were selected from the stratified multistage probability samples of Korean households. Data were collected in accordance with a standardized protocol by trained investigators. Detailed information about the KNHANES is available elsewhere⁽¹⁸⁾ and has been described on the website (https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr/).

A total of 6,672 participants completed the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) among adults aged 19–64 years (n = 9,147). We excluded pregnant or lactating women (n = 138), who had any cancer (n = 203) or CVD (n = 103) diagnosed by physicians, who had implausible energy intake (≥ 99.5 th or ≤ 0.5 th percentile of total energy intake by sex, n = 64), who had diabetes (n = 103) and n = 100.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: kmkkim@hanyang.ac.kr

244), who did not have hs-CRP levels available (n = 408) or hs-CRP levels over 10 mg/L (n = 75),⁽¹⁹⁾ or fasted less than 8 h before blood testing (n = 124). After additionally excluding missing data for covariates such as house income, education status, and alcohol consumption status (n = 314), total 4,999 participants (1,984 men and 3,015 women) remained for inclusion in the analyses. The KNHANES was conducted by the Korean government under the Bioethics and Safety Act and the Enforcement Rule within the Act and thus the present study was exempt from approval by an Institutional Review Board.

Assessment of non-alcoholic beverages (NABs) consumption. Dietary data were collected by face-to-face interview at home using a 112-items semi-quantitative FFO which was developed and validated by KCDC.⁽²⁰⁾ Participants were asked to specify their usual frequency and the average portion size of each food during the previous year. In the FFQ, five NABs were assessed: coffee, green tea, commercial fruit juice/drink (excluding homemade fruit juice), soda, and a traditional grainbased beverage including Sikhye and Misugaru. However, the traditional grain-based beverage was not used in the present study, because we could not characterize these items including several kinds of beverages as one common property. We calculated how many servings were consumed per month, week, or day for each NAB by multiplying the usual frequency of consumption by its portion size. We classified participants into three groups for four NABs (Never or almost never, ≥ 1 /month to <1/day, and $\geq 1/day$ for total coffee; Never or almost never, \geq 1/month to <1/week, and \geq 1/week for green tea, commercial fruit juice, and soda). For coffee, participants were asked to respond to the additional questions about the frequency and amount of sugar added to coffee. Participants were classified into four groups; non-coffee drinkers, only sugar-sweetened coffee drinkers (SS-coffee), only non-sugar sweetened coffee drinkers (NSS-coffee), and both types of coffee drinkers.

Assessment of covariates: demographics and lifestyle. Sociodemographic, general health status, medical history, and health-related behaviors were obtained by face-to-face interviews. Family income was classified into 'lower middle or less' and 'upper middle or over'. Education was classified into 'college or more' and 'less than college'. Current drinker was defined as a person who consumed alcohol more than once per month during the previous year. Physically active person was defined as a person who performed moderate-intensity activities for \geq 150 min/week or vigorous-intensity activities for \geq 75 min/week based on the World Health Organization (WHO) physical activity guidelines.⁽²¹⁾ To quantify adherence to dietary guidelines for Korean adults, the Korean Healthy Eating Index (KHEI) was calculated using FFQ data.⁽²²⁾ However, the empty calorie food items, a component of the KHEI including SSBs and added sugar to coffee, were excluded from the KHEI calculation.

Assessment of obesity status. Height to the nearest 0.1 cm was measured using a portable stadiometer. Weight to the nearest 0.1 kg was measured using a portable electronic scale. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m²). Waist circumference (WC) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the midpoint between the bottom of the rib cage and above the top of the iliac crest. Obesity was defined as BMI \geq 25 kg/m² in accordance with the Asia-Pacific criteria of the WHO guidelines.⁽²³⁾ Abdominal obesity was defined as WC \geq 90 cm for men and \geq 85 cm for women, in accordance with the definition of the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity.⁽²⁴⁾

Assessment of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP). Serum hs-CRP levels were measured using immunoturbidimetry with a range from 0.1 to 20.0 mg/L with a Roche Cardiac C-Reactive Protein High Sensitive detector (Roche, Penzberg, Germany). After excluding participants with hs-CRP over 10 mg/L, we classified participants into elevated hs-CRP level (\geq 2.0 mg/L) and normal hs-CRP level (<2.0 ml/L) according to the cutoff recommended by the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) Task Force on the assessment of cardiovascular risk.⁽¹⁾

Statistical analysis. All analyses were stratified by sex. To account for the complex sampling design, the primary sampling units, strata, clusters, and weights were applied to all analyses (PROC SURVEY) to represent the Korean population. Data are presented as means \pm SE for continuous variables and the number of participants (weighted percentages) for categorical variables. Covariates between groups of each NAB were compared by Rao-Scott chi-square tests for categorical variables and by the general linear model (GLM) analyses for continuous variables. The differences in mean values between each NAB groups were assessed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. The linear trend was obtained by treating the median value for the specific-NAB group as a continuous value. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to obtain adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for elevated hs-CRP status by NAB consumption.

Factors associated with hs-CRP in the previous studies were considered as potential confounders: age, adiposity status,⁽²⁵⁾ socioeconomic status,⁽²⁶⁾ cigarette smoking,⁽²⁷⁾ alcohol consumption,⁽²⁸⁾ physical activity,⁽²⁹⁾ menopausal status, dietary intake,^(22,30) and consumption of 6 different types of NABs in servings/ week.⁽³¹⁾ Among those variables, variables showing significant statistical difference (p_{diff}) or linear trend (p_{trend}) , but not highly correlated with individual NABs (the partial correlation with age, $r \ge 0.60$), were selected as confounders to obtain a more parsimonious model. In the analysis of sugar-containing beverages (e.g., SS-coffee, fruit juice/drink, soda), we did not include BMI and WC as confounders because of their possible roles as mediators.^(10,32) Additionally, the stratified analyses by obesity $(BMI \ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ vs} \le 25 \text{ kg/m}^2, WC \ge 90 \text{ cm vs} \le 90 \text{ cm for men}$ and ≥85 cm vs <85 cm for women) were conducted. The interaction analyses of NABs with obesity status were conducted in the logistic regression at significance p < 0.05. The associations between types of coffee and hs-CRP status were analyzed using non-coffee drinkers as a reference group. To visualize dietary patterns in relation to each NAB, the percentage-wise differences in median consumption of nineteen food groups in the lowest and highest consumptions of specific beverage relative to the median consumption of total population (equivalent to 100%) were illustrated in radar charts (Supplemental Fig. 1*).⁽³³⁾

All statistical tests were performed by using SAS statistical software (ver. 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) or statistical R software ver. 4.0.0. for the radar chart. A two-tailed p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The proportion of men was 39.7%, and the mean age was 39.4 years for men and 41.1 years for women. Men were more likely to be highly educated, smokers, and consumers of alcohol than women and also to have higher intakes of energy, SS-coffee, green tea, fruit juice/drink, and soda and higher BMI. However, for HEI and NSS-coffee, women were more likely to be higher (Table 1).

Table 2 shows that higher coffee consumers tended to be older, higher educated, smokers, and consumers of alcohol and to have higher BMIs and WCs. Higher coffee consumers also had higher energy intake but lower HEI. There were some differences in characteristics according to other NABs (Supplemental Table 1–4*). Table 3 presents the associations of NAB consumption with hs-CRP status by sex after adjusting for confounders selected from Table 2 and Supplemental Table 1–4* and demonstrates the association of NABs with hs-CRP status in non-obese and obese groups. In women, there was an inverse association between total coffee consumption and hs-CRP status ($p_{trend} = 0.014$) but a

| Table 1. | Weighted | characteristics of | participants | (n = 4,999) |
|----------|----------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | | |

| Variables | Men (<i>n</i> = 1,984) | Women (<i>n</i> = 3,015) |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age (year) | 39.4 ± 0.34 | 41.1 ± 0.29 |
| Family income, lower middle or less (%) | 586 (29.6) | 943 (30.0) |
| Higher education (%) ^a | 1,002 (51.6) | 1,295 (44.0) |
| Current smoker (%) | 756 (38.7) | 159 (6.07) |
| Current alcohol consumer (%) | 1,527 (77.7) | 1,508 (52.4) |
| Regular exercise (%) ^b | 1,086 (57.3) | 1,460 (50.9) |
| Postmenopausal women (%) | — | 977 (27.7) |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 24.6 ± 0.09 | 22.9 ± 0.08 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 85.8 ± 0.23 | 77.0 ± 0.22 |
| Dietary intake | | |
| Energy intake (kcal/day) | 2,334 ± 19.4 | 1,746 ± 13.1 |
| Modified Korean Healthy Eating Index ^c | 48.7 ± 0.41 | 54.8 ± 0.36 |
| Beverage consumption (servings/week) | | |
| Total coffee | 8.89 ± 0.26 | 7.44 ± 0.19 |
| Non-sugar sweetened coffee | 3.95 ± 0.24 | 4.35 ± 0.18 |
| Sugar sweetened coffee | 4.93 ± 0.19 | 3.09 ± 0.12 |
| Green tea | 0.98 ± 0.08 | 0.61 ± 0.05 |
| Fruit juice/drink (excluding homemade) | 0.78 ± 0.04 | 0.46 ± 0.03 |
| Soda | 1.64 ± 0.07 | 0.70 ± 0.04 |

All values accounted for the complex sampling design effect of the national surveys using PROC SURVEY procedure, and values are expressed as the mean \pm SE or number (%). ^a>college (12 years of education). ^b>75 min/week of vigorous-intensity physical activity or >150 min/week of moderate-intensity physical activity. ^cModified Korean Healthy Eating Index score ranged from 0 (non-adherence) to 100 points (perfect adherence).

positive association between soda consumption and elevated hs-CRP (OR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.23–2.61; *p*-trend = 0.013). In men, an inverted J-shaped association was found between commercial fruit juice/drink consumption and elevated hs-CRP. There were no significant associations of NSS-coffee, SS-coffee, and green tea with elevated hs-CRP in both men and women.

After stratified by obesity status (Table 3), the inverse associations of total coffee with elevated hs-CRP status was found in obese women (OR = 0.31, 95% CI = 0.15–0.65 for total coffee consumers and both p_{trend} <0.05 in obese women by BMI and WC), but no significant interaction. An additional inverse association with hs-CRP status was found in green tea in obese women (OR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.23–0.99 in WC ≥85 cm; p_{inter} <0.05 for both obesity status by BMI and WC for green tea). A positive association between soda consumption and hs-CRP status remained only in obese women (OR = 2.21, 95% CI 1.22–4.02 in BMI ≥25 kg/m² and OR = 3.14, 95% CI 1.62–6.08 in WC ≥85 cm; p_{inter} <0.05 for both obesity status by BMI and WC for soda). In men, we found positive associations between fruit juices/drinks and hs-CRP status in obese men by BMI and WC (OR = 2.44, CI = 1.44–4.16, p_{trend} <0.05 in BMI ≥25 kg/m²; OR = 2.31, 1.27–4.19, p_{trend} >0.05 in WC ≥90 cm).

In only SS-coffee and only NSS-coffee analyses (Table 4), both SS-coffee and NSS-coffee were inversely associated with elevated hs-CRP status in abdominally obese women (OR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.25–0.99 in only SS-coffee, and OR = 0.45, 95% CI = 0.21–0.94 in only NSS-coffee), although there were no significant interactions between coffee and obesity (all $p_{inter} > 0.05$).

Discussion

Among NABs, coffee consumption was inversely and soda was positively associated with elevated hs-CRP status in women. After stratifying for obesity, these inverse and positive associations were identifiable only in obese women. In the case of coffee, these results were also found for both SS-coffee and NSScoffee. Green tea consumption was also inversely associated with hs-CRP status in obese women. In men, only commercial fruit juice/drink consumption was positively associated with elevated hs-CRP status and this adverse association was found only in obese men.

Coffee, after water, was reported as the most consumed beverage worldwide.⁽³⁴⁾ A report from the US National Coffee Association in 2017, 62% of adults drank coffee daily.⁽³⁵⁾ Similarly, 64.0% of participants in the present study drank coffee daily. Tea, which accounts for 20% of total tea consumption worldwide, is consumed primarily in some Asian countries including Korea, China, Japan, and India.⁽³⁶⁾ In the present study, 15.3% of participants consumed green tea more than once per week and 18.5% consumed fruit drinks more than once per week. For soda, about 50% of Americans adults daily consumed soda (2.6 glasses/day).⁽³⁷⁾ Koreans consumed lower quantities of SSBs than Western populations and in the present study, the proportion of consumers having more than one glass of soda per week (2.2 glasses/week) was only 30.2%.⁽³⁸⁾ Nevertheless, SSBs consumption has been steadily increasing in Korea and then it has almost doubled over an 11-year period between 1998 and 2009.⁽³⁹⁾ This increasing phenomenon of SSBs consumption has become a health concern in most countries over the past decades because SSBs consumption accounts for a substantial portion of total energy intake.^(6,8) The consumption of total commercial fruit beverages increased by 23% between 2003 and 2018, globally, but among those beverages, 100% fruit juice decreased by more than 10%.(40) This phenomenon was also observed in Korea and fruit drinks accounted for 82.0% of total fruit beverage consumption and only 18.0% was 100% fruit juice in 2017.(41)

The first finding in the present study was the inverse association between coffee consumption and hs-CRP. This inverse association was consistent with the findings of a recent meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies, although there was lack of long-term

| | | Men (<i>n</i> = 1,984) | 1,984) | | | | Women (<i>n</i> = 3,015) | = 3,015) | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Total coffee | Never or almost never | ≥1/month to <1/day | ≥1/day | p _{diff} ^d | <i>P</i> trend ^e | Never or almost never | ≥1/month to <1/day | ≥1/day | p _{diff} ^d | $p_{\mathrm{trend}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L | 241 | 562 | 1,181 | I | | 428 | 1,014 | 1,573 | | |
| Median serving per week | 0 | 2.47 | 9.84 | Ι | I | 0 | 3.02 | 9.07 | Ι | Ι |
| Age (year) | 34.5 ± 0.89^{a} | 36.7 ± 0.59^{a} | 41.9 ± 0.37^{b} | <.001 | <.001 | 36.8 ± 0.82^{a} | 40.6 ± 0.49^{b} | 42.7 ± 0.36 ^c | <.001 | <.001 |
| Family income, lower middle or less (%) | 33.4 | 30.4 | 27.8 | 0.181 | 0.081 | 36.5ª | 32.5ª | 26.3 ^b | <.001 | <.001 |
| Higher education (%) ^a | 44.8ª | 46.7 ^a | 55.9 ^b | <.001 | <.001 | 35.9ª | 39.7ª | 50.1 ^b | <.001 | <.001 |
| Current smoker (%) | 25.4ª | 29.8 ^a | 45.8 ^b | <.001 | <.001 | 4.00 ^{ab} | 3.20 ^a | 8.40 ^b | <.001 | <.001 |
| Current alcohol consumer (%) | 68.8 ^a | 78.6 ^b | 79.1 ^b | 0.006 | 0.033 | 36.5ª | 52.1 ^b | 58.7 ^c | <.001 | <.001 |
| Regular exercise (%) ^b | 57.3 | 60.8 | 54.9 | 0.138 | 0.097 | 52.0 | 50.1 | 51.6 | 0.735 | 0.776 |
| Postmenopausal women (%) | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 38.3ª | 30.0 ^b | 22.4 ^c | <.001 | <.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 23.8 ± 0.25^{a} | 24.6 ± 0.19^{ab} | 24.9 ± 0.11 ^b | 0.001 | 0.001 | 22.2 ± 0.20^{a} | 23.0 ± 0.13 ^b | 23.0 ± 0.09 ⁶ | 0.001 | 0.010 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 83.5 ± 0.61^{a} | $86.0 \pm 0.48^{\circ}$ | $86.4 \pm 0.29^{\circ}$ | <.001 | <.001 | 75.4 ± 0.52^{a} | 77.2 ± 0.34^{b} | 77.1 ± 0.26^{b} | 0.005 | 0.035 |
| Dietary intake | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy intake (kcal/day) | $2,193 \pm 55.9^{a}$ | $2,263 \pm 37.0^{a}$ | 2,382 ± 26.0 ⁶ | 0.001 | <.001 | $1,678 \pm 34.3^{a}$ | 1,707 ± 20.8ª | 1,802 ± 19.5 ^b | <.001 | <.001 |
| Modified Korean Healthy Eating Index c | 47.6 ± 0.87^{ab} | 48.8 ± 0.59^{a} | $45.5 \pm 0.45^{\circ}$ | <.001 | <.001 | 59.4 ± 0.77^{a} | 57.4 ± 0.52^{b} | $56.3 \pm 0.41^{\circ}$ | <.001 | <.001 |
| Beverage consumption (servings/week) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-sugar sweetened coffee | 0.00 ± 0.13 | 0.62 ± 0.12 | 6.60 ± 0.42 | Ι | Ι | 0.00 ± 0.14 | 0.99 ± 0.11 | 7.57 ± 0.28 | Ι | Ι |
| Sugar sweetened coffee | 0.27 ± 0.09 | 1.65 ± 0.10 | 7.90 ± 0.27 | Ι | Ι | 0.50 ± 0.11 | 1.75 ± 0.11 | 5.64 ± 0.25 | Ι | Ι |
| Green tea | 0.96 ± 0.21 | 1.00 ± 0.17 | 0.95 ± 0.11 | 0.971 | 0.850 | 0.71 ± 0.17 | 0.71 ± 0.08 | 0.67 ± 0.06 | 0.888 | 0.674 |
| Fruit juice/drink (excluding homemade) | 0.82 ± 0.15 | 0.72 ± 0.07 | 0.56 ± 0.05 | 0.077 | 0.029 | 0.72 ± 0.11 | 0.60 ± 0.06 | 0.54 ± 0.04 | 0.158 | 0.060 |
| Soda | 1.25 ± 0.16 | 1.37 ± 0.11 | 1.37 ± 0.08 | 0.828 | 0.711 | 0.70 ± 0.08^{a} | 0.96 ± 0.07^{b} | 0.83 ± 0.06^{ab} | 0.017 | 0.834 |
| KHEI, Korean Healthy Eating Index. All results were adjusted for age, and KHEI and beverage consumption were adjusted for age and total energy intake. ^a ≥college (12 years of education). ^b ≥75 min/week of vigorous-intensity physical activity or ≥150 min/week of moderate-intensity physical activity. ^{(Modified} Korean Healthy Eating Index score ranged from 0 (non-adherence) to 100 points (perfect adherence). ⁴ p for differences were determined by the general linear model (GLM). Mean values with different superscripts (a, b, c) within a row were significantly different among the three groups on Tukey's multiple comparison test. ^a p for trends were obtained by imputing the median value of each group and treating it as a continuous variable. | esults were adjusted activity or ≥150 mir ences were determ iple comparison test | I for age, and KHEI n /week of moderate ined by the generate $: ^{o}p$ for trends were | and beverage con e-intensity physica Il linear model (G e obtained by impu | isumption activity. LM). Mear uting the r | were adjus Modified י values wi median valu | c, and KHEI and beverage consumption were adjusted for age and total energy intake. ^a ≥college (12 years of education). ^b ≥75 of moderate-intensity physical activity. ^c Modified Korean Healthy Eating Index score ranged from 0 (non-adherence) to 100 the general linear model (GLM). Mean values with different superscripts (a, b, c) within a row were significantly different trends were obtained by imputing the median value of each group and treating it as a continuous variable. | al energy intake. ³ 2 ing Index score rar cripts (a, b, c) with d treating it as a co | scollege (12 years c nged from 0 (non- in a row were sign ontinuous variable. | of educatio adherence nificantly | on). ^b ≥75 !) to 100 different |

Table 2. Weighted and age-adjusted characteristics of the study participants according to total coffee consumption

| Newer or influx Zimanth or clash (0105) Zimanth or clash (0105) Pain (0105) | NABs | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--------|--------|--------------------------------|---|--|----------------|--------|
| coffee 31 52 1 (3) 24 1 (3) </th <th></th> <th>Never or almost never (ref)</th> <th>≥1/month to <1/day (coffee) or <1/week (others)</th> <th>≥1/day (coffee) or ≥1/week (others)</th> <th>ptrend</th> <th>Pinter</th> <th>Never or almost never (ref)</th> <th>≥1/month to <1/day (coffee) or <1/week (others)</th> <th>≥1/day (coffee) or ≥1/week (others)</th> <th>Ptrend</th> <th>Pinter</th> | | Never or almost never (ref) | ≥1/month to <1/day (coffee) or <1/week (others) | ≥1/day (coffee) or ≥1/week (others) | ptrend | Pinter | Never or almost never (ref) | ≥1/month to <1/day (coffee) or <1/week (others) | ≥1/day (coffee) or ≥1/week (others) | P trend | Pinter |
| 241 221 1181 -228 1101 00000 0000000 $000000000000000000000000000000000000$ | Total coffee | | | | | | | | | | |
| | u | 241 | 562 | 1,181 | | | 428 | 1,014 | 1,573 | | |
| International internatintenundei international international international i | Age-adjusted model | 1.00 (ref) | 0.86 (0.50–1.50) | 1.08 (0.62–1.86) | 0.372 | I | 1.00 (ref) | 1.21 (0.77–1.91) | 0.90 (0.59–1.36) | 0.102 | |
| Index for book observations 130 <th< td=""><td>Multivariable model^a</td><td>1.00 (ref)</td><td>0.73 (0.42–1.28)</td><td>0.83 (0.47–1.46)</td><td>0.989</td><td>I</td><td>1.00 (ref)</td><td>1.01 (0.64–1.60)</td><td>0.70 (0.44–1.09)</td><td>0.014</td><td> </td></th<> | Multivariable model ^a | 1.00 (ref) | 0.73 (0.42–1.28) | 0.83 (0.47–1.46) | 0.989 | I | 1.00 (ref) | 1.01 (0.64–1.60) | 0.70 (0.44–1.09) | 0.014 | |
| | Stratified by obesity status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 $1.00 (e)$ $0.90 (23-1.10)$ $0.75 (0.3-1.2.0)$ 0.60 $1.00 (e)$ $0.90 (0.0-2.0)$ 0.01 X_{0} $1.00 (e)$ $0.30 (1-2)$ $0.32 (1-2)$ $0.32 (1-2)$ $0.30 (1-2)$ $0.01 (e)$ $0.30 (0-2.2.0)$ $0.01 (e)$ $0.30 (1-2)$ $0.01 (e)$ $0.30 (1-2)$ $0.01 (e)$ $0.30 (1-2)$ < | BMI, <25 | 1.00 (ref) | | 0.85 (0.40-1.85) | 0.588 | 0.369 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.34 (0.71–2.53) | 0.98 (0.52–1.83) | 0.394 | 0.258 |
| (C_{-6}) (in mer, S in vormen 100 (ef) 110 (c5-2) 120 (c5-2,10) 0.88 0.03 100 (ef) 130 (c5-2,0) 0.30 (c6-2,0) 0.30 (c6-2,0) <th0.30 (c6-2,0)<="" th=""> <th0.30 (c6-2,0)<="" th=""></th0.30></th0.30> | ≥25 | 1.00 (ref) | 0.50 (0.23–1.10) | 0.75 (0.37–1.55) | 0.640 | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.68 (0.36–1.31) | 0.44 (0.22-0.90) | 0.014 | |
| Sol mem Sol mem Index | WC, <90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.10 (0.55–2.20) | 1.02 (0.51–2.04) | 0.889 | 0.053 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.32 (0.72–2.43) | 1.09 (0.60–2.00) | 0.746 | 0.062 |
| ital 1.25 303 356 2.226 393 30 reditated model 100 (ref) 1.42 (9.97-20) 110 (12-5) 303 35 336 336 300 336 300 336 300 336 300 336 300 336 300 336 300 336 300 | ≥90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 0.28 (0.11–0.73) | 0.54 (0.22–1.34) | 0.668 | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.58 (0.28–1.22) | 0.31 (0.15–0.65) | 0.001 | |
| interfact 1,32 30 36 30 30 30 sedjated 100 (ef) 140 (39-2.09) 108 (37-16) 0.848 - 100 (ef) 0.90 (49-1.32) 0.91 (66-1.43) 0.455 sedjated 100 (ef) 142 (39-2.09) 108 (37-16) 0.843 0.70 (39-1.43) 0.37 0.30 (49-1.32) 0.31 (66-1.39) 0.350 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.37 0.37 (66-1.39) 0.350 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.37 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.37 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 (66-1.38) 0.37 0.38 0.33 | Green tea | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 1.325 | 303 | 356 | | | 2.226 | 399 | 390 | | |
| | Age-adiusted model | 1 00 (ref) | 1 44 (0 98–2 09) | 1 08 (0 72-1 61) | 0 848 | | -) | 0 79 (0 48–1 29) | 1 00 (0 68–1 47) | 0 945 | |
| Arring the protection | Multivariable model ^b | 1 00 (ref) | 1 47 (0 97–7 09) | 1 10 (0 73–1 66) | 0.805 | | 1 00 (ref) | 0 80 (0 49–1 32) | 0 91 (0 60–1 38) | 0.675 | |
| M, -25 100 (ref) 150 (86 - 2.96) 0.77 (0.38 - 1.53) 0.37 (0.35 - 1.63) 1.38 (0.72 - 1.33) 0.37 (0.37 - 1.33) 0.37 (0.66) 0.13 (0.72 - 1.33) 0.33 (0.32 - 0.33) 0.33 (0.52 - 0.33) 0.31 (0.67 - 0.30) 0.13 (0.33 - 1.14) 0.12 (0.72 - 1.33) 0.38 (0.23 - 0.93) 0.34 (0.33 - 1.13) 0.38 (0.23 - 0.93) 0.34 (0.33 - 1.13) 0.38 (0.23 - 0.93) 0.34 (0.33 - 0.33) 0.38 (0.23 - 0.93) 0.34 (0.23 - 0.93) <th< td=""><td>Stratified by obesity status</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | Stratified by obesity status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 100 (ref) 1.22 (0.79–221) 1.22 (0.79–223) 0.341 0.01 (ref) 0.44 (0.20–97) 0.61 (0.33–11.4) 0.12 $C 00i$ men, Si in women 100 (ref) 1.28 (0.39–2.23) 0.347 0.738 1.00 (ref) 1.28 (0.33–1.14) 0.12 $S = 00$ in men, Si in women 1.00 (ref) 1.28 (0.3–2.23) 0.347 0.73 1.00 (ref) 1.28 (0.3–2.03) 0.30 $S = 00$ in women 1.00 (ref) 1.28 (0.3–2.13) 1.28 (0.5–2.33) 0.443 0.247 0.24 <t< td=""><td>BMI. <25</td><td>1.00 (ref)</td><td>1.60 (0.86–2.96)</td><td>0.77 (0.38–1.58)</td><td>0.376</td><td>0.537</td><td>1.00 (ref)</td><td>1.16 (0.64–2.09)</td><td>1.28 (0.77–2.13)</td><td>0.357</td><td>0.048</td></t<> | BMI. <25 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.60 (0.86–2.96) | 0.77 (0.38–1.58) | 0.376 | 0.537 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.16 (0.64–2.09) | 1.28 (0.77–2.13) | 0.357 | 0.048 |
| WC, <00 in men, BS in women 1.00 (ref) 1.58 (0.90-2.75) 0.747 0.738 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.81-2.05) 0.280 290 in men, BS in women 1.00 (ref) 1.28 (0.70-2.13) 1.28 (0.65-2.36) 0.443 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.81-2.05) 0.280 290 in men, BS in women 1.00 (ref) 1.22 (0.70-2.13) 1.28 (0.65-2.36) 0.443 1.00 (ref) 1.23 (0.23-0.9) 0.049 1015 527 422 2004 $$ 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.5-1.80) 0.448 (0.78-1.00) 0.441 (0.78-1.00) 0.441 (0.78-1.70) 0.471 1105 527 422 202 202 0.031 202 0.031 1106 100 (ref) 1.38 ($1.28-266$) 1.48 ($0.95-225$) 0.092 $$ 1.00 (ref) 1.33 ($0.5-1.80$) 0.441 1100 (ref) 1.28 ($0.92-234$) 0.28 ($0.04-2.05$) 0.022 0.81 ($0.42-1.62$) 0.611 1100 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.21 ($0.7-1.92$) 1.18 ($0.7-1.82$) 0.81 0.611 | ≥25 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.32 (0.79–2.21) | 1.32 (0.78–2.23) | 0.341 | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.44 (0.20-0.97) | 0.61 (0.33-1.14) | 0.127 | |
| ≥ 0 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.22 ($0.70-2.13$) 1.28 ($0.69-2.36$) 0.443 1.00 (ref) 0.44 ($0.18-10$) 0.48 ($0.23-0.99$) 0.049 Juicedrink (excluding homemade) 1.015 527 422 2.014 638 363 363 Juicedrink (excluding homemade) 1.00 (ref) 1.38 ($1.2-2.64$) 1.56 ($1.04-2.32$) 0.042 -1 100 (ref) 113 ($0.57-189$) 1.38 ($0.52-202$) 0.061 Hivariable model 1.00 (ref) 1.83 ($1.2-2.64$) 1.56 ($1.04-2.32$) 0.002 -1 100 (ref) 113 ($0.57-180$) 113 ($0.78-170$) 0.474 M. -25 1.00 (ref) 1.84 ($1.28-2.66$) 1.48 ($0.98-2.25$) 0.022 -1 100 (ref) 113 ($0.57-180$) 113 ($0.78-130$) 0.071 M. -25 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref) 1.84 ($1.28-2.69$) 0.216 0.001 1.100 (ref) 113 ($0.78-1.80$) 0.213 0.213 M. -25 1.00 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.12 ($0.69-2.05$) 0.021 0.011 1.100 (ref) 1.13 ($0.74-162$) 0.211 M. -25 1.00 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.13 ($0.92-130$) 0.014 1.100 (ref) 1.12 ($0.76-1.22$) 0.201 0.201 M. -25 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.100 (ref) 1.20 ($1.72-2.102$) 0.201 M. -25 0.001 ref 0.001 0.001 0.001 </td <td>WC. <90 in men. 85 in women</td> <td>1.00 (ref)</td> <td>1.58 (0.90–2.75)</td> <td>0.97 (0.56–1.68)</td> <td>0.747</td> <td>0.738</td> <td>1.00 (ref)</td> <td>1.06 (0.59–1.91)</td> <td>1.29 (0.81–2.05)</td> <td>0.280</td> <td>0.044</td> | WC. <90 in men. 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.58 (0.90–2.75) | 0.97 (0.56–1.68) | 0.747 | 0.738 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.06 (0.59–1.91) | 1.29 (0.81–2.05) | 0.280 | 0.044 |
| Jurcedrink (excluding homemade) 37 442 2,014 638 363 Jurcedrink (excluding homemade) 1,015 527 442 2,014 638 363 Invariable model* 1,00 (ref) 1,31 (0.35–1.36) 1,38 (0.35–2.02) 0.081 Mix-abs 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–2.18) 1,38 (0.35–1.36) 0,414 Mix-abs 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–1.36) 0,421 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–1.36) 0,381 Mix-abs 1,30 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–1.36) 0,421 2,014 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–2.16) 0,421 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–2.18) 0,421 2,38 (0.45–1.49) 0,361 (0.47–1.30) 0,421 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (0.35–2.19) | >90 in men 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.22 (0.70–2.13) | 1.28 (0.69–2.36) | 0.443 | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.44 (0.18–1.07) | 0.48 (0.23-0.99) | 0.049 | |
| 1,015 527 442 2,014 638 33 sedjusted model 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (1,27-264) 1,56 (1,04-2.32) 0.042 1,00 (ref) 1,37 (0.99-189) 1,38 (0.95-2.02) 0.081 Itivariable model ^r 1,00 (ref) 1,38 (1,27-264) 1,56 (1,04-2.32) 0.042 1,00 (ref) 1,33 (0.95-186) 1,15 (0.78-170) 0.474 Attified by obsity status 1,00 (ref) 1,28 (1,05-2.33) 0.82 (0.45-1.49) 0.306 0.014 1,00 (ref) 1,34 (0.76-2.35) 0.281 M. <25 1,00 (ref) 1,25 (1.06-2.37) 1,91 (0.67-1.49) 0.306 0.014 1,00 (ref) 1,34 (0.76-1.35) 0.611 M. <25 1,00 (ref) 1,55 (1.06-2.37) 1,91 (0.69-2.169) 0.716 1,13 (0.76-1.39) 0.78 M. <25 1,00 (ref) 1,75 (1.06-2.37) 1,19 (0.69-2.169) 0.716 1,13 (0.74-1.32) 0.716 M. <25 1,00 (ref) 1,75 (1.06-2.37) 0.751 0.76 0.062 1,00 (ref) 1,34 (0.75-2.29) 0.781 <t< td=""><td>Fruit iuice/drink (excluding homemade)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Fruit iuice/drink (excluding homemade) | | | | | | | | | | |
| e-adjusted model1.00 (ref)1.83 (1.27-2.64)1.56 (1.04-2.32)0.002-1.00 (ref)1.37 (0.99-1.89)1.38 (0.95-2.02)0.031thrainble model*1.00 (ref)1.34 (1.28-2.66)1.86 (1.04-2.32)0.002-1.00 (ref)1.33 (0.95-1.86)1.15 (0.78-1.70)0.474thrifted by obsity status1.00 (ref)1.82 (0.95-2.78)0.82 (0.45-1.49)0.3060.0141.00 (ref)1.13 (0.76-1.84)1.38 (0.95-2.32)0.081M. <251.00 (ref)1.82 (0.95-2.78)0.82 (0.45-1.49)0.3060.0141.00 (ref)1.14 (0.76-2.33)0.281M. <251.00 (ref)1.26 (1.36-3.44)2.44 (1.44-4.16)0.0011.00 (ref)1.18 (0.76-1.84)1.38 (0.84-2.162)0.611N. <251.00 (ref)1.75 (1.06-2.87)1.19 (0.69-2.05)0.7660.0621.00 (ref)1.18 (0.76-1.84)1.38 (0.84-2.162)0.713N. <20 in men, 85 in women1.00 (ref)1.79 (1.29-2.81)1.19 (0.76-1.84)1.38 (0.84-2.162)0.88 (0.39-1.96)0.716290 in men, 85 in women1.00 (ref)1.19 (0.80-1.76)0.97 (0.64-1.44)0.9111.00 (ref)1.19 (0.76-1.83)1.29 (0.82-2.83)0.78 (0.32-2.83)Nu <257914867071.59 (0.57-2.13)0.93 (0.45-1.75)0.93 (0.45-1.75)0.760.5221.00 (ref)1.19 (0.74-2.07)1.79 (1.23-2.43)0.011Nu <251.00 (ref)1.19 (0.80-1.76)0.93 (0.54-1.76)0.5720.701.20 (ref)2.12 (1.22-402)0.76 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1,015</td><td>527</td><td>442</td><td></td><td></td><td>2,014</td><td>638</td><td>363</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | 1,015 | 527 | 442 | | | 2,014 | 638 | 363 | | |
| Itivariable model $1.00 (ref)$ $1.84 (1.28-2.66)$ $1.48 (0.98-2.25)$ 0.002 -1 $100 (ref)$ $1.33 (0.95-1.86)$ $1.15 (0.78-1.70)$ 0.774 stiffed by obseity status $1.00 (ref)$ $1.62 (0.95-2.78)$ $0.32 (0.45-1.49)$ 0.306 0.014 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.13 (0.74-1.92)$ $1.34 (0.76-2.35)$ 0.281 2.55 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.52 (0.95-2.78)$ $0.32 (0.45-1.49)$ 0.306 0.014 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.13 (0.74-1.92)$ $1.34 (0.76-2.35)$ 0.281 2.55 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.75 (1.06-2.37)$ $1.19 (0.69-2.05)$ 0.766 0.002 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.13 (0.74-1.92)$ $1.34 (0.76-2.32)$ 0.311 2.75 0.011 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.75 (1.06-2.34)$ $2.14 (1.44-4.16)$ 0.011 $1.10 (ref)$ $1.18 (0.74-1.92)$ $0.38 (0.39-1.96)$ 0.716 2.91 0.011 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.75 (1.06-2.34)$ $2.131 (1.27-4.19)$ 0.011 $1.10 (ref)$ $1.18 (0.74-2.28)$ $0.38 (0.39-1.96)$ 0.716 2.91 0.011 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.29 (0.87-1.91)$ $1.15 (0.76-1.72)$ 0.888 -1 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.13 (0.88-2.52)$ $0.38 (0.39-1.96)$ 0.011 2.91 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.29 (0.87-1.91)$ $1.15 (0.76-1.72)$ 0.888 -1 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.29 (0.39-1.26)$ 0.201 2.01 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.19 (0.66-2.21)$ $0.97 (0.64-1.46)$ 0.552 -1 $1.00 (ref)$ $1.29 (0.87-2.98)$ $1.29 (0.74-2.28)$ $1.29 (0.24-2.28)$ $0.201 (1.62-2.41)$ <td>Age-adjusted model</td> <td>1.00 (ref)</td> <td>1.83 (1.27–2.64)</td> <td>1.56 (1.04–2.32)</td> <td>0.042</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>1.00 (ref)</td> <td>1.37 (0.99–1.89)</td> <td>1.38 (0.95–2.02)</td> <td>0.081</td> <td>I</td> | Age-adjusted model | 1.00 (ref) | 1.83 (1.27–2.64) | 1.56 (1.04–2.32) | 0.042 | Ι | 1.00 (ref) | 1.37 (0.99–1.89) | 1.38 (0.95–2.02) | 0.081 | I |
| efficied by obesity status Mit/-25 1.00 (ref) 1.62 (0.95-2.78) 0.82 (0.45-1.49) 0.306 0.14 1.34 (0.76-2.35) 0.281 Mi<-25 1.00 (ref) 1.62 (0.95-2.78) 0.82 (0.45-1.49) 0.306 0.014 1.00 (ref) 1.34 (0.76-2.35) 0.281 0.281 NV.<25 1.00 (ref) 1.75 (1.06-2.87) 1.19 (0.69-2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.76-1.84) 1.34 (0.76-2.35) 0.511 NV.< <90 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.75 (1.06-2.87) 1.19 (0.69-2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.84-2.29) 0.81 (0.76-1.82) 0.511 Sol in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.75 (1.06-2.87) 1.19 (0.69-2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.84-2.29) 0.511 0.511 Padjusted model 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.91) 1.15 (0.76-1.84) 0.58 0.520 0.88 (0.39-1.96) 0.76 Padjusted model 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.76) 0.520 0.68 (0.76-1.72) 0.513 (0.76-1.52) 0.513 (0.76-1.52) < | Multivariable model ^c | 1.00 (ref) | 1.84 (1.28–2.66) | 1.48 (0.98–2.25) | 0.092 | I | 1.00 (ref) | 1.33 (0.95–1.86) | 1.15 (0.78–1.70) | 0.474 | |
| MI, <25 1.00 (ref) 1.62 (0.95-2.78) 0.82 (0.45-1.49) 0.306 0.014 1.00 (ref) 1.34 (0.76-2.35) 0.281 >25 1.00 (ref) 1.61 (1.36-3.44) 2.44 (1.44-4.16) 0.001 1.42 (0.87-2.29) 0.82 (0.42-1.62) 0.611 >26 0.067 1.00 (ref) 1.75 (1.06-2.87) 1.19 (0.69-2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.76-1.84) 1.38 (0.34-2.29) 0.81 (0.34-2.195) 0.181 $\times < 0$ in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.79 (ref) 1.18 (0.76-1.84) 1.38 (0.34-2.29) 0.181 $\times < 0$ in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref) 1.19 (0.69-2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.34-2.29) 0.181 $\times < 0$ in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref-1.44) 0.765 0.01 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.34-2.29) 0.181 $\times < 0$ in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref) 1.15 (0.7-1.45) 0.281 0.201 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.72-2.61) 0.011 $\times < 0$ in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.180 (ref) <td< td=""><td>Stratified by obesity status</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Stratified by obesity status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 1.00 (ref) 2.16 (1.36-3.44) 2.44 (1.44-4.16) 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.42 (0.87-2.29) 0.82 (0.42-1.62) 0.611 NC< <90 in men, 85 in women | BMI, <25 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.62 (0.95–2.78) | 0.82 (0.45–1.49) | 0.306 | 0.014 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.19 (0.74–1.92) | 1.34 (0.76–2.35) | 0.281 | 0.378 |
| WC< 1.00 (ref) 1.75 (1.06–2.87) 1.19 (0.69–2.05) 0.766 0.062 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.76–1.84) 1.38 (0.84–2.29) 0.181 ≥ 00 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 2.05 (1.20–3.49) 2.31 (1.27–4.19) 0.011 1.00 (ref) 1.49 (0.88–2.52) 0.88 (0.39–1.96) 0.776 ≥ 00 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (ref) 1.31 (0.76–1.84) 1.38 (0.39–1.96) 0.776 $\geq =$ -adjusted model 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87–1.91) 1.15 (0.76–1.72) 0.88 (0.67) 1.40 (ref) 1.79 (1.23–2.61) 0.01 titradiable model ^d 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80–1.76) 0.97 (0.64–1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 1.79 (1.23–2.61) 0.01 ML<<<55 | ≥25 | 1.00 (ref) | 2.16 (1.36–3.44) | 2.44 (1.44–4.16) | 0.001 | | 1.00 (ref) | 1.42 (0.87–2.29) | 0.82 (0.42–1.62) | 0.611 | |
| ≥ 00 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 2.05 (1.20-3.49) 2.31 (1.27-4.19) 0.011 1.00 (ref) 1.49 (0.88-2.52) 0.38 (0.39-1.96) 0.776 $\gamma = a$ djusted model 791 486 707 1,844 639 532 532 $\sigma = a$ djusted model 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.91) 1.15 (0.76-1.72) 0.858 - 1.00 (ref) 2.13 (1.55-2.93) 2.02 (1.42-2.88) 0.001 tritied by obsity status 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 Mi, <25 | WC, <90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.75 (1.06–2.87) | 1.19 (0.69–2.05) | 0.766 | 0.062 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.18 (0.76–1.84) | 1.38 (0.84–2.29) | 0.181 | 0.246 |
| 791 486 707 1,844 639 532 \circ -adjusted model 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.91) 1.15 (0.76-1.72) 0.858 - 1.00 (ref) 2.02 (1.42-2.88) 0.001 triviable model ^d 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 2.08 (1.50-2.87) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 Atffield by obsity status 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66-2.21) 0.89 (0.45-1.76) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.350 Mi, <25 | ≥90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | | 2.31 (1.27–4.19) | 0.011 | | 1.00 (ref) | 1.49 (0.88–2.52) | 0.88 (0.39–1.96) | 0.776 | |
| 791 486 707 1,844 639 532 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.91) 1.15 (0.76-1.72) 0.858 - 1.00 (ref) 2.13 (1.55-2.93) 2.02 (1.42-2.88) 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 2.13 (1.55-2.93) 2.02 (1.42-2.88) 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 2.08 (1.50-2.87) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66-2.21) 0.89 (0.45-1.76) 0.520 0.400 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.350 1.00 (ref) 1.38 (0.69-2.02) 0.93 (0.53-1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.353 0men 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 0men 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.31 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) 0.056 0men 1.00 (ref) | Soda | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.29 (0.87-1.91) 1.15 (0.76-1.72) 0.858 - 1.00 (ref) 2.13 (1.55-2.93) 2.02 (1.42-2.88) 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 2.08 (1.50-2.87) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 - 1.00 (ref) 2.08 (1.50-2.87) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66-2.21) 0.89 (0.45-1.76) 0.520 0.400 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.350 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.69-2.02) 0.93 (0.53-1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 1.23 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.053 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 0.001 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.31 (1.65-6.08) 0.706 0.706 0.706 0.706 | u | 791 | 486 | 707 | | | 1,844 | 639 | 532 | | |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.19 (0.80-1.76) 0.97 (0.64-1.46) 0.552 1.00 (ref) 2.08 (1.50-2.87) 1.79 (1.23-2.61) 0.013 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66-2.21) 0.89 (0.45-1.76) 0.520 0.400 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.350 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.69-2.02) 0.93 (0.53-1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.053 omen 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 omen 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) 0.026 | Age-adjusted model | 1.00 (ref) | 1.29 (0.87–1.91) | 1.15 (0.76–1.72) | 0.858 | Ι | 1.00 (ref) | 2.13 (1.55–2.93) | 2.02 (1.42–2.88) | 0.001 | Ι |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66-2.21) 0.89 (0.45-1.76) 0.520 0.400 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74-2.07) 1.29 (0.80-2.08) 0.350 0.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.69-2.02) 0.93 (0.53-1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 2.70 (1.65-4.42) 2.21 (1.22-4.02) 0.053 0.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 0.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 0.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) 0.026 0.016 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) 0.026 | Multivariable model ^d | 1.00 (ref) | 1.19 (0.80–1.76) | 0.97 (0.64–1.46) | 0.552 | Ι | 1.00 (ref) | 2.08 (1.50–2.87) | 1.79 (1.23–2.61) | 0.013 | I |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.21 (0.66–2.21) 0.89 (0.45–1.76) 0.520 0.400 1.00 (ref) 1.24 (0.74–2.07) 1.29 (0.80–2.08) 0.350 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.69–2.02) 0.93 (0.53–1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 2.70 (1.65–4.42) 2.21 (1.22–4.02) 0.053 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75–2.26) 1.11 (0.61–2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.15 (0.71–1.87) 0.706 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (0.57–2.01) 0.69 (0.37–1.30) 0.148 1.00 (ref) 1.16 (0.74–2.08) 0.706 in men, 85 in women 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (0.57–2.01) 0.69 (0.37–1.30) 0.148 1.00 (ref) 3.14 (1.62–6.08) 0.026 | Stratified by obesity status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.18 (0.69-2.02) 0.93 (0.53-1.62) 0.545 1.00 (ref) 2.70 (1.65-4.42) 2.21 (1.22-4.02) 0.053 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (o.75-2.26) 1.11 (0.61-2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85-2.06) 1.15 (0.71-1.87) 0.706 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (ref) 1.07 (ref) 0.690 (0.37-1.30) 0.148 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) 0.026 | BMI, <25 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.21 (0.66–2.21) | 0.89 (0.45–1.76) | 0.520 | 0.400 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.24 (0.74–2.07) | 1.29 (0.80–2.08) | 0.350 | 0.005 |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.30 (0.75–2.26) 1.11 (0.61–2.02) 0.996 0.689 1.00 (ref) 1.33 (0.85–2.06) 1.15 (0.71–1.87) 0.706 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (0.57–2.01) 0.69 (0.37–1.30) 0.148 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87–5.46) 3.14 (1.62–6.08) 0.026 | ≥25 | 1.00 (ref) | | 0.93 (0.53–1.62) | 0.545 | | 1.00 (ref) | 2.70 (1.65–4.42) | 2.21 (1.22-4.02) | 0.053 | |
| 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (0.57-2.01) 0.69 (0.37-1.30) 0.148 1.00 (ref) 3.19 (1.87-5.46) 3.14 (1.62-6.08) | WC, <90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.30 (0.75–2.26) | 1.11 (0.61–2.02) | 0.996 | 0.689 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.33 (0.85–2.06) | 1.15 (0.71–1.87) | 0.706 | <.001 |
| | ≥90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.07 (0.57–2.01) | 0.69 (0.37–1.30) | 0.148 | | 1.00 (ref) | 3.19 (1.87–5.46) | 3.14 (1.62–6.08) | 0.026 | |

Table 3. Multivariable-adjusted ORs and 95% CI between non-alcoholic beverages (NABs) consumption and elevated levels of hs-CRP by obesity status

| | | × | Men (<i>n</i> = 1,984) | | | | Wor | Women (<i>n</i> = 3,015) | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Type of coffee consumed | Never or almost never | Only SS-coffee consumer | Both types of coffee consumer | Only NSS-coffee consumer | Dinter | Never or almost never | Only SS-coffee consumer | Both types of coffee consumer | Only NSS-coffee consumer | $p_{\rm inter}$ |
| C | 241 | 1,080 | 320 | 343 | | 428 | 1,153 | 654 | 780 | |
| Age-adjusted model | 1.00 (ref) | 1.02 (0.59–1.78) | 1.07 (0.59–1.95) | 0.89 (0.48–1.64) | Ι | 1.00 (ref) | 1.17 (0.74–1.84) | 0.97 (0.6–1.54) | 0.89 (0.56–1.42) | I |
| Multivariable model ^a | 1.00 (ref) | 0.93 (0.52–1.64) | 1.06 (0.57–1.96) | 0.94 (0.50–1.75) | Ι | 1.00 (ref) | 1.06 (0.65–1.71) | 1.03 (0.63–1.68) | 0.97 (0.60–1.56) | I |
| Stratified by obesity status | | | | | | | | | | |
| BMI, <25 | 1.00 (ref) | 0.93 (0.43–2.01) | 0.96 (0.39–2.36) | 0.76 (0.33–1.76) | 0.881 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.41 (0.73–2.74) | 1.07 (0.50–2.30) | 1.09 (0.57–2.11) | 0.311 |
| ≥25 | 1.00 (ref) | 0.83 (0.42–1.64) | 1.02 (0.47–2.25) | 0.88 (0.41–1.90) | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.64 (0.33–1.24) | 0.66 (0.33–1.31) | 0.60 (0.30–1.18) | |
| WC, <90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 1.05 (0.52–2.10) | 1.32 (0.60–2.92) | 0.92 (0.41–2.07) | 0.183 | 1.00 (ref) | 1.44 (0.77–2.69) | 1.18 (0.58–2.40) | 1.18 (0.64–2.18) | 0.137 |
| ≥90 in men, 85 in women | 1.00 (ref) | 0.49 (0.22–1.11) | 0.50 (0.19–1.32) | 0.51 (0.20–1.27) | | 1.00 (ref) | 0.49 (0.25–0.99) | 0.53 (0.25–1.09) | 0.45 (0.21–0.94) | |
| NSS, Non-sugar sweetened; SS, Sugar-sweetened; BMI, body mass index (kg/m ²); WC, waist circumference (cm); KHEI, Korean Healthy Eating Index. Data are expressed as OR (95% Cl). <i>P</i> interactions with obesity status were tested using a cross-product term in multivariable model with the type of coffee consumption. ^a Multivariable model was adjusted for age, income, education. | ugar-sweetened; ested using a cros | BMI, body mass ir ss-product term ir | ndex (kg/m²); WC, n multivariable m | waist circumferen odel with the tvp | ice (cm); K e of coffe | HEI, Korean Health e consumption. ªM | iy Eating Index. D ultivariable mode | ata are expressed | d as OR (95% CI). I | o interac- |

Table 4. Multivariable-adjusted ORs and 95% Cls of elevated levels of hs-CRP according to the type of coffee consumption

NSS, Non-sugar sweetened; SS, Sugar-sweetened; BMI, body mass index (kg/m²); WC, waist circumterence (ciri), which was accounted for age, income, education, tions with obesity status were tested using a cross-product term in multivariable model with the type of coffee consumption. "Multivariable model was adjusted for age, income, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, energy, soda and modified KHEI for men and was adjusted for age, income, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, menopausal status, energy, soda, and modified KHEI for women.

trials with large size samples.⁽¹²⁾ A hormone replacement therapy trial among US postmenopausal overweight/obese women reported an attenuated association between BMI and CRP by coffee consumption, which was in the same line with our findings that the inverse association remained only in obese women. In the present study, the inverse association shown only in obese women was observed for not only NSS-coffee but also SS-coffee. Although there was no significance in coffee consumers of both types, there was very similar tendency. A recent review study suggested that added sugar of coffee may attenuate the protective effect of coffee on cardiometabolic conditions such as lipid profiles, because it may play a role as an important confounder for the association of coffee with them. particularly over five cups of SS-coffee.⁽⁴²⁾ However, we could not classify participants into over five cups of SS-coffee/day group due to sample size. Moreover, since SS-coffee consumption was highly correlated with sugar intake (r>0.90), we could not adjust for sugar intake in the analysis of the type of coffee consumption. Therefore, we could not assert that SS-coffee is beneficial for hs-CRP regardless of sugar content. We found another inverse association with hs-CRP status in green tea in obese women. Antioxidant properties of green tea including flavonoids, catechins, and caffeine in green tea were previously postulated to reduce hs-CRP status, but there was no consistent beneficial effect in a meta-analysis of RCT.⁽¹¹⁾ In the present study, there were some limitations to analyze green tea and hs-CRP; green tea was not differentiated from other types of tea, and moreover, SS-tea and NSS-tea were not distinguishable. Therefore, further research is necessary to clarify the nature of the association between specific-tea consumption and hs-CRP.

The link between SSBs such as soda and fruit juice and elevated CRP has been examined in a cross-sectional study of US women nurses (Nurses' Health Study, NHS),(10) a study of US health professional men,⁽⁴³⁾ and a Mexican women teachers study.(32) Like our findings in women, SSBs were positively associated with hs-CRP status in a dose-dependent manner in the NHS study.⁽¹⁰⁾ In the Mexican women teachers study, women in the highest quartile of soda consumption had a 50% higher CRP level than those in the lowest quartile of soda consumption.(32) Although there was little evidence on overall fruit juices/drinks to compare with our findings, the findings in women in the NHS and men from the US health professional study were similar to our findings; there was no significant association between fruit juice and CRP in women.⁽¹⁰⁾ but the US health professional men study demonstrated that one serving per day increase in fruit drinks consumption was associated with a 25% increase in the levels of several inflammatory factors including CRP.⁽⁴³⁾

We found a sex difference in the association with hs-CRP in the present study, particularly for soda and fruit juices/drinks. As for coffee, there was no significant association in men, but the association tendency is similar between men and women. Thus, there may not be sex-difference for coffee and green tea. A possible explanation for sex-difference in soda and commercial fruit juices/drinks is that sex hormones may modulate the metabolic response of diet, and their role might be dependent on sugar profiles.^(44,45) However, until now, biological mechanisms underlying the associations between the consumption of a specific NAB and sex have rarely been demonstrated.

There are several possible mechanisms in the relationship between NAB consumption and hs-CRP status. First, coffee contains more than 100 chemical components including caffeine, polyphenols, and minerals.^(13,14) Green tea is a food rich in polyphenol flavonoids including catechin, gallocatechin, epigallocatechin, epicatechin gallate, and epigallocatechin 3-gallate, which has the ability of scavenging free radicals species.⁽⁴⁶⁾ These were suggested to be responsible for the antioxidants and anti-inflammatory effects of coffee and tea.^(11,12,15) Second, SSBs such as soda have a low satiating effect through provision of liquid calories; therefore, SSB drinkers may subsequently have more energy.⁽⁸⁾ Also, consumption of SSBs including soda, which contains rapidly absorbable sugar, has been shown to result in rapid increases in blood glucose and insulin concentrations.⁽⁸⁾ This property, high glycemic index (GI), is suggested to be associated with elevated inflammation levels.⁽⁴⁷⁾ Commercial fruit/vegetable juices have these properties and cannot be recommended as a healthy substitute or alternative.⁽⁴⁸⁾ Finally, the stronger associations with elevated hs-CRP in the obese group may be explained by the fact that obesity predisposes individuals to a pro-inflammatory state via increase in IL-6 may trigger the hepatic synthesis of CRP and TNF- α (tumor necrosis factor alpha) and reduce levels of adiponectin.

The present study had some limitations. First, although this study data was from a large representative population, it was a cross-sectional study design. Therefore, we could not establish causal links between NAB consumption and hs-CRP status. The associations of specific NABs with hs-CRP in the present study should be confirmed in large-scale prospective studies. Second, dietary data of the KNHANES were self-reported; thus potential recall and nonresponse biases remained. Third, the FFQ was not specifically developed for a study on NABs; therefore, the FFQ did not collect data on all kinds of beverages separately. Because different types of beverages were included in one question, sugar-added type and sugar-free type were not distinguishable for green tea and commercial fruit juice/drinks. Finally, NAB consumption may be correlated with other dietary patterns or unmeasured covariates which may affect hs-CRP levels. To display possible relationships with other foods consumed, we demonstrated radar charts in Supplemental Fig. 1*. For example, in women, participants with high green tea consumption tended to have high seaweed consumption. Participants with high SSB such as commercial fruit juice/drink and soda tended to have relatively high meat, poultry, and carbohydrate-rich foods such as snacks and ice cream, rice cake, and bread/noodles/cereal, but low multi-grain rice and fish and seafood. However, adjusting those food groups in place of the modified KHEI did not substantially change the associations.

In conclusion, coffee and green tea consumption was crosssectionally inversely associated with elevated hs-CRP status and that soda in women and commercial fruit juice/drinks in men were positively associated with elevated hs-CRP status, particularly in the obese population. However, for the adequate recommendation of NABs to the public, further studies should be needed considering the types of NABs, sugar content, and obesity status in the large-size prospective studies.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: MKK. Formal analysis: JSK and HWW. Methodology: JSK, MKK, and HWW. Writing - original draft: JSK and MKK. Writing - review and editing: JSK, MKK, and YMK. The authors received no specific funding for this work. The authors do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript to report.

Acknowledgments

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References

- Goff DC, Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63 (25 Pt B): 2935–2959.
- 2 Ricker MA, Haas WC. Anti-inflammatory diet in clinical practice: a review. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2017; **32**: 318–325.
- 3 Lee H, Lee IS, Choue R. Obesity, inflammation and diet. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* 2013; 16: 143–152.
- 4 Marriott BP, Cole N, Lee E. National estimates of dietary fructose intake increased from 1977 to 2004 in the United States. J Nutr 2009; 139: 1228S– 1235S.
- 5 World Health Organization. Guideline: Sugars Intake for Adults and Children. World Health Organization, 2015.
- 6 Popkin BM, Armstrong LE, Bray GM, Caballero B, Frei B, Willett WC. A new proposed guidance system for beverage consumption in the United States. Am J Clin Nutr 2006; 83: 529–542.
- 7 Luger M, Lafontan M, Bes-Rastrollo M, Winzer E, Yumuk V, Farpour-Lambert N. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review from 2013 to 2015 and a comparison with previous studies. *Obes Facts* 2017; **10**: 674–693.
- 8 von Philipsborn P, Stratil JM, Burns J, et al. Environmental interventions to reduce the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages and their effects on health. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019; 6: CD012292.
- 9 Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2007; 97: 667–675.
- 10 Yu Z, Ley SH, Sun Q, Hu FB, Malik VS. Cross-sectional association between sugar-sweetened beverage intake and cardiometabolic biomarkers in US women. *Br J Nutr* 2018; **119**: 570–580.
- 11 Haghighatdoost F, Hariri M. The effect of green tea on inflammatory mediators: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Phytother Res* 2019; **33**: 2274–2287.
- 12 Paiva C, Beserra B, Reis C, Dorea JG, Da Costa T, Amato AA. Consumption of coffee or caffeine and serum concentration of inflammatory markers: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2017; **59**: 652–663.
- 13 Higdon JV, Frei B. Coffee and health: a review of recent human research. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2006; 46: 101–123.
- 14 Chen S, Teoh NC, Chitturi S, Farrell GC. Coffee and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: brewing evidence for hepatoprotection? J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 29: 435–441.
- 15 Serban C, Sahebkar A, Antal D, Ursoniu S, Banach M. Effects of supplementation with green tea catechins on plasma C-reactive protein concentrations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition* 2015; **31**: 1061–1071.
- 16 Walker RW, Dumke KA, Goran MI. Fructose content in popular beverages made with and without high-fructose corn syrup. *Nutrition* 2014; **30**: 928– 935.
- 17 Lin WT, Kao YH, Sothern MS, et al. The association between sugarsweetened beverages intake, body mass index, and inflammation in US adults. Int J Public Health 2020; 65: 45–53.
- 18 Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, *et al.* Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; 43: 69–77.
- 19 Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, *et al.* Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2003; **107**: 499–511.
- 20 Kim DW, Song S, Lee JE, *et al.* Reproducibility and validity of an FFQ developed for the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). *Public Health Nutr* 2015; **18**: 1369–1377.
- 21 World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*. World Health Organization, 2010.
- 22 Yook SM, Park S, Moon HK, Kim K, Shim JE, Hwang JY. Development of Korean Healthy Eating Index for adults using the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. *J Nutr Health* 2015; 48: 419–428.

- 23 World Health Organization. *The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment*. Health Communications Australia, 2000.
- 24 Seo MH, Lee WY, Kim SS, et al. 2018 Korean Society for the Study of Obesity Guideline for the Management of Obesity in Korea. J Obes Metab Syndr 2019; 28: 40–45.
- 25 Choi J, Joseph L, Pilote L. Obesity and C-reactive protein in various populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2013; 14: 232–244.
- 26 Nazmi A, Victora CG. Socioeconomic and racial/ethnic differentials of Creactive protein levels: a systematic review of population-based studies. BMC Public Health 2007; 7: 212.
- 27 Tonstad S, Cowan JL. C-reactive protein as a predictor of disease in smokers and former smokers: a review. *Int J Clin Pract* 2009; **63**: 1634–1641.
- 28 Imhof A, Froehlich M, Brenner H, Boeing H, Pepys MB, Koenig W. Effect of alcohol consumption on systemic markers of inflammation. *Lancet* 2001; 357: 763–767.
- 29 Kasapis C, Thompson PD. The effects of physical activity on serum Creactive protein and inflammatory markers: a systematic review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005; 45: 1563–1569.
- 30 Monfort-Pires M, Folchetti LD, Previdelli AN, Siqueira-Catania A, de Barros CR, Ferreira SRG. Healthy Eating Index is associated with certain markers of inflammation and insulin resistance but not with lipid profile in individuals at cardiometabolic risk. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2014; **39**: 497–502.
- 31 O'Connor L, Imamura F, Lentjes MAH, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Forouhi NG. Prospective associations and population impact of sweet beverage intake and type 2 diabetes, and effects of substitutions with alternative beverages. *Diabetologia* 2015; 58: 1474–1483.
- 32 Tamez M, Monge A, López-Ridaura R, et al. Soda intake is directly associated with serum C-reactive protein concentration in Mexican women. J Nutr 2018; 148: 117–124.
- 33 Ryu C, Hong S. R Visualization (1st ed.). Seoul: Insignt, 2015.
- 34 Butt MS, Sultan MT. Coffee and its consumption: benefits and risks. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2011; 51: 363–373.
- 35 The National Coffee Association. *National Coffee Drinking Trends*. New York: The National Coffee Association, 2017.
- 36 Siddiqui IA, Afaq F, Adhami VM, Ahmad N, Mukhtar H. Antioxidants of the beverage tea in promotion of human health. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2004; 6: 571–582.
- 37 Nearly half of Americans drink soda daily. Saad L. https://news.gallup.com/ poll/156116/nearly-half-americans-drink-soda-daily.aspx. Accessed 11 Jan 2021.
- 38 Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, et al. Global, regional, and national consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, fruit juices, and milk: a systematic assessment of beverage Intake in 187 countries. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0124845.
- 39 Lim H, Lee HJ, Choue R, Wang Y. Trends in fast-food and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and their association with social environmental status in South Korea. J Acad Nutr Diet 2018; 118: 1228–1236.e1.
- 40 Neves MF, Trombin VG, Marques VN, Martinez LF. Global orange juice market: a 16-year summary and opportunities for creating value. *Trop Plant Pathol* 2020; 45, 166–174.
- 41 Korea Agro-Fisheries & Food Trade Corporation. 2017 Processed Food Segment Market Report: Beverages. Naju: Food Information Statistics System, 2017; Report No. 11-1543000-001804-01.
- 42 Thomas DR, Hodges ID. Dietary research on coffee: improving adjustment for confounding. *Curr Dev Nutr* 2019; 4: nzz142.
- 43 de Koning L, Malik VS, Kellogg MD, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sweetened beverage consumption, incident coronary heart disease, and biomarkers of risk in men. *Circulation* 2012; **125**: 1735–1741.
- 44 Knopp RH, Paramsothy P, Retzlaff BM, *et al.* Gender differences in lipoprotein metabolism and dietary response: basis in hormonal differences and implications for cardiovascular disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2005; 7: 472– 479.
- 45 Song HJ, Paek YJ, Choi MK, Yoo KB, Kang JH, Lee HJ. Gender differences in the relationship between carbonated sugar-sweetened beverage intake and the likelihood of hypertension according to obesity. *Int J Public Health* 2017; 62: 573–581.

- 46 Floegel A, Kim DO, Chung SJ, et al. Development and validation of an algorithm to establish a total antioxidant capacity database of the US diet. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2010; 61: 600–623.
- 47 Liu S, Manson JE, Buring JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Ridker PM. Relation between a diet with a high glycemic load and plasma concentrations of highsensitivity C-reactive protein in middle-aged women. Am J Clin Nutr 2002; 75: 492–498.
- 48 Imamura F, O'Connor L, Ye Z, et al. Consumption of sugar sweetened beverages, artificially sweetened beverages, and fruit juice and incidence of type 2 diabetes: systematic review, meta-analysis, and estimation of population

attributable fraction. BMJ 2015; 351: h3576.

49 Ellulu MS, Patimah I, Khaza'ai H, Rahmat A, Abed Y. Obesity and inflammation: the linking mechanism and the complications. Arch Med Sci 2017; **13**: 851–863.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).