## Journal of the American Heart Association

## **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

## Blood Pressure and Cardiovascular Disease in Older Patients With Diabetes: Retrospective Cohort Study

Sangmo Hong , MD, PhD\*; Jung Hwan Park , MD, PhD\*; Kyungdo Han , PhD; Chang Beom Lee , MD, PhD; Dong Sun Kim , MD, PhD; Sung Hoon Yu , MD, PhD

**BACKGROUND:** Blood pressure (BP) targets in elderly patients with diabetes remain unclear. We evaluated the association between BP and cardiovascular disease in elderly patients with diabetes without cardiovascular disease or heart failure.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We performed a retrospective cohort study of 225 563 elderly (aged ≥65 years) patients with diabetes without cardiovascular disease or heart failure from 2009 to 2017 using the National Health Information Database. We divided the participants by systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP. Primary composite outcomes were stroke, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and all-cause death analyzed by Cox proportional hazards regression analysis adjusted for baseline covariates. During a median follow-up of 7.76 years, the incidence rate of primary composite outcomes was 26.62 per 1000 person-years. In multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling, the risk of the primary outcome had a U-curved association with SBP/diastolic blood pressure with a nadir between 120 and 129 mm Hg/65 and 69 mm Hg, respectively. Hypertension medication was associated with lower risk of primary composite outcomes in SBP ≥140 mm Hg (P for interaction for SBP <0.001) and diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg (P for interaction for diastolic blood pressure=0.018). In participants aged ≥80 years, SBP ≥160 mm Hg was only a marginally higher risk for primary composite outcomes (hazard ratio=1.11; 95% CI, 0.98–1.24).

**CONCLUSIONS:** In this large sample of older Korean patients with diabetes, cardiovascular events were more common in people with resting SBP or diastolic BP ≥140 or 95 mm Hg, respectively, and also more common in people with resting SBP or diastolic BP <120 or 65 mm Hg, respectively.

Key Words: diastolic blood pressure ■ hypertension ■ myocardial infarction ■ stroke ■ systolic blood pressure

n patients with diabetes, hypertension is common (60%–85%)<sup>1-3</sup> and is a major risk factor for both macrovascular and microvascular complications.<sup>4,5</sup> There is considerable evidence of the benefits of blood pressure (BP) control in patients with diabetes with hypertension to reduce the major macrovascular and microvascular complications of diabetes, as well as reduce mortality.<sup>6-8</sup> A meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials involving patients with diabetes or prediabetes showed that a reduction in systolic blood

pressure (SBP) to 131 to 135 mm Hg reduced the risk of all-cause mortality by 13%, whereas more intensive SBP control (≤130 mm Hg) was only associated with a greater reduction in strokes.<sup>9</sup>

The global population aged ≥60 years has more than doubled since 1980. One-third of older people (aged >60 years) have diabetes. The association between elevated SBP and the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the older population is also significantly positive. <sup>10,11</sup> Hence, BP control is also expected to offer

Correspondence to: Sung Hoon Yu, MD, PhD, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, 153, Gyeongchun-ro, Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do, 11923 Republic of Korea. E-mail: physicianyu@daum.net

\*S. Hong and J. H. Park are co-first authors.

 $Supplementary\ Material\ for\ this\ article\ is\ available\ at\ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.121.020999$ 

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 12.

© 2021 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

#### **CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

#### What Is New?

- In this large sample of older Korean patients with diabetes, the risk of cardiovascular events had a U-curved association with systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure with a nadir between 120 and 129 mm Hg/65 and 69 mm Hg, respectively.
- Hypertension medication was associated with lower risk of cardiovascular events in systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg/ ≥90 mm Hg, respectively, and with higher risk in systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure <110 mm Hg/<60 mm Hg, respectively.

## What Are the Clinical Implications?

- This study finding supports the recent guideline that recommends initiating hypertension medication in elderly patients with diabetes and systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg/≥90 mm Hg, respectively.
- Continuing antihypertensive therapy in elderly patients with diabetes and relative hypotension may increase cardiovascular events.

## **Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms**

CCI Charlson Comorbidity Index
DBP diastolic blood pressure

NHID National Health Information Database

SBP systolic blood pressure

benefits in older people and becomes increasingly important in the prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD). However, little information is available on target BP levels in older patients with hypertension with type 2 diabetes, and there is some discrepancy in BP targets among guidelines. <sup>12–15</sup>

In this study, we evaluated the potential relationships between BP level, CVD events, and mortality in older patients with diabetes without CVD using a large-scale population data set from the National Health Information Database (NHID).

#### **METHODS**

Anonymized data and materials have been made publicly available through National Health Insurance Sharing Service and can be accessed at https://nhiss.nhis.or.kr/.

## **Study Database**

Data used in our analysis were from the NHID, a public database on health care use and health screening that contains sociodemographic and mortality information for the entire population of South Korea. The NHID contains data for the years 2002 to 2017. The NHID, which is produced by the National Health Insurance Service, was launched in 2000 by integrating 375 insurance associations. The National Health Insurance Service provides, through the national health screening program, regular health checkups and cancer screenings biannually at no cost for all insured Koreans aged >40 years. The NHID provides longitudinal data for 97% of the Korean population, with linkage to the National Death Registry and the national health screening program. 16,17 This latter program was initiated in 2009 and includes a medical interview and postural examination, chest x-ray examination, blood test (including fasting plasma glucose and triglyceride levels). urine test, dental screening, and other tests. Approval for the study protocol was obtained from the institutional review board of Hanyang University Guri Hospital (GURI 2020-08-016). The need for informed consent was waived by the board.

## **Study Participants**

This was a retrospective cohort study that included 249 903 individuals. From the NHID, 922 061 people with diabetes participated in the national health screening program in 2009. Among the 922 061 participants, 642 042 individuals aged <65 years, 12 250 patients with a history of stroke, 6843 patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI), 24 340 patients with a history of heart failure (HF), and 11 023 individuals lacking complete data were excluded from our study. Therefore, the total number of eligible participants was 225 563. Participants were categorized into 8 groups by SBP and 9 groups by diastolic blood pressure (DBP).

## Definitions of Diabetes and Study Outcomes (Cardiovascular Events and Death)

Patients with type 2 diabetes were identified from the insurance claims data as having at least 1 claim per year for the prescription of antidiabetic medication under *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)* diagnostic codes E11 to E14, or from national health screening program data as having fasting plasma glucose of ≥126 mg/dL. The primary outcome of the study was a composite of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI, nonfatal HF, and all-cause death. Secondary outcomes were newly diagnosed MI, stroke, HF, or all-cause death. Stroke was

defined as an *ICD-10* code I63 or I64 during hospitalization with claims for brain magnetic resonance imaging or brain computerized tomography. Nonfatal MI was defined as an *ICD-10* code I21 or I22 during hospitalization. Nonfatal HF was defined as an *ICD-10* code I50 during hospitalization. The study population was followed from baseline to the date of death, onset of a cardiovascular event, or until December 31, 2017, whichever came first.

## **Clinical and Laboratory Measurements**

All participants completed a questionnaire on medical history, use of tobacco and alcohol, and exercise habits. Smoking habits were categorized as nonsmoker, ex-smoker, or current smoker. Alcohol consumption was classified as nondrinker, moderate drinker (<30 g per day), or heavy drinker (≥30 g per day). Regular exercise was defined as vigorous exercise 3 or more times per week or moderate exercise 5 or more times per week. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in meters squared). BP was measured through a standard national health screening program protocol. All participants had rested for at least 5 minutes in a seated position before the first measurement. If SBP was >120 mm Hg or DBP was >80 mm Hg, remeasurement was performed after an interval of 2 minutes or more. BP was measured by the auscultation method using a stethoscope or using an oscilloscopic automatic sphygmomanometer in a guiet environment. The device was calibrated daily. A cuff with an appropriately sized bladder was used. The standard bladder for adults is 12 cm wide and 26 cm long. A bladder with a width of at least 40% of the circumference of the arm and a length of 80% to 100% of the circumference of the arm was used. Examinees were recommended to avoid smoking, alcohol, or caffeine before measurement. Blood samples were collected after overnight fasting. Plasma glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol were measured. We calculated glomerular filtration rate using the 4-variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation.<sup>18</sup> Baseline comorbidities were identified as dyslipidemia (ICD-10 code E78 with lipid-lowering agents or serum total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) (estimated as a glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/ min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>). The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was used to estimate the comorbidity burden by reviewing the ICD-10 codes. An individual was considered to have a comorbidity when the respective diagnostic codes were present >2 times within 1 year before the inclusion date.

#### Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Categorical variables were described as frequency and percentage. Continuous variables were described as mean±SD for normally distributed data and as the geometric mean and 95% CI for data not normally distributed. We compared the baseline characteristics of 8 groups categorized by SBP and 9 groups categorized by DBP. Continuous variables were compared using 1-way ANOVA, whereas categorical variables were compared using the Mantel-Haenszel  $\chi^2$  test. The follow-up duration of each group was obtained. The incidence rates for stroke, MI, HF, and death were estimated for each group over the total follow-up period. Incidence curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was also conducted. All outcomes were analyzed by Cox proportional hazards regression analysis while controlling for baseline covariates. The proportional hazard assumption was assessed by visual inspection of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals plot and log-log survival plot. We deemed a 2-tailed P value < 0.05 to be significant. Analyses were performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and R version 3.4.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; http://www.R-project.org).

#### **RESULTS**

## **Baseline Characteristics of Participants**

The characteristics of the 8 SBP groups are described in Table 1. The group with higher SBP were older; more likely to be women, heavy drinkers, and hypertension medication users; had a higher BMI and fasting plasma glucose level; and had a higher prevalence of dyslipidemia and CKD. The group with higher SBP was less likely to comprise current smokers, regular exercisers, and insulin users. Similar patterns of baseline characteristics were noted in the 9 DBP groups (Table S1). Over half of the patients with SBP <120 mm Hg (55.6%) or DBP <65 mm Hg (59.6%) were prescribed hypertension medication.

## Blood Pressure and Primary Composite Outcomes

There were 77 447 (34.3%) primary composite outcomes in the 7.76-year mean follow-up period (Table 2). The incidence rate of primary composite outcomes was 47.90 per 1000 person-years. In patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg, the incidence rate of primary composite outcomes was 45.2 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other SBP groups (Table 2 and Figure S1). In multivariable Cox proportional hazard models of the SBP reference group (SBP 120–129 mm Hg), the

(Continued)

Table 1. Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics in SBP Groups

Characteristic	SBP, mm Hg								
	<100	100–110	110–120	120–130	130–140	140–150	150–160	>160	P value
No. of subjects	2228	9716	36 295	51 706	78 308	32 931	21 363	17 356	
Sex									<0.0001
Men	1109 (49.78)	4723 (48.61)	17 689 (48.74)	24 958 (48.27)	37 909 (48.41)	15 861 (48.16)	10 338 (48.39)	7975 (45.95)	
Women	1119 (50.22)	4993 (51.39)	18 606 (51.26)	26 748 (51.73)	40 399 (51.59)	17 070 (51.84)	11 025 (51.61)	9381 (54.05)	
Smoker									<0.0001
Non	1467 (65.84)	6574 (67.66)	25 105 (69.17)	36 446 (70.49)	55 937 (71.43)	23 549 (71.51)	15 505 (72.58)	12 911 (74.39)	
Ex	364 (16.34)	1548 (15.93)	5802 (15.99)	8499 (16.44)	12 650 (16.15)	5482 (16.65)	3468 (16.23)	2497 (14.39)	
Current	397 (17.82)	1594 (16.41)	5388 (14.85)	6761 (13.08)	9721 (12.41)	3900 (11.84)	2390 (11.19)	1948 (11.22)	
Alcohol consumption									<0.0001
Non	1786 (80.16)	7693 (79.18)	27 900 (76.87)	39 116 (75.65)	58 172 (74.29)	24 037 (72.99)	15 364 (71.92)	12 614 (72.68)	
Mild	364 (16.34)	1773 (18.25)	7142 (19.68)	10 664 (20.62)	16 786 (21.44)	7331 (22.26)	4859 (22.74)	3774 (21.74)	
Heavy	78 (3.5)	250 (2.57)	1253 (3.45)	1926 (3.72)	3350 (4.28)	1563 (4.75)	1140 (5.34)	968 (5.58)	
Regular exercise	815 (36.58)	3795 (39.06)	14 472 (39.87)	20 582 (39.81)	30 858 (39.41)	13 088 (39.74)	7965 (37.28)	6027 (34.73)	<0.0001
Dyslipidemia	879 (39.45)	4078 (41.97)	14 818 (40.83)	21 886 (42.33)	32 938 (42.06)	14 134 (42.92)	9119 (42.69)	7462 (42.99)	<0.0001
Chronic kidney disease	616 (27.65)	2328 (23.96)	8267 (22.78)	11 582 (22.4)	17 610 (22.49)	7557 (22.95)	4828 (22.6)	4243 (24.45)	<0.0001
Insulin	314 (18.62)	1315 (17.44)	4256 (15.4)	5620 (14.33)	8033 (13.7)	3345 (13.85)	2102 (13.87)	1779 (14.82)	<0.0001
No. of oral diabetes medications	medications								<0.0001
0	47 (2.79)	183 (2.43)	577 (2.09)	744 (1.9)	1167 (1.99)	544 (2.25)	305 (2.01)	309 (2.57)	
-	498 (29.54)	2119 (28.1)	7958 (28.79)	11 739 (29.94)	17 903 (30.52)	7498 (31.05)	4675 (30.86)	3652 (30.43)	
2	644 (38.2)	3091 (40.99)	11 453 (41.44)	16 454 (41.96)	24 916 (42.48)	10 315 (42.72)	6603 (43.58)	5200 (43.33)	
3	403 (23.9)	1737 (23.04)	6195 (22.41)	8446 (21.54)	12 230 (20.85)	4800 (19.88)	2989 (19.73)	2412 (20.1)	
4	80 (4.74)	353 (4.68)	1295 (4.69)	1647 (4.2)	2196 (3.74)	889 (3.68)	531 (3.5)	391 (3.26)	
5	14 (0.83)	54 (0.72)	154 (0.56)	175 (0.45)	235 (0.4)	(68.0) 36	45 (0.3)	37 (0.31)	
9	(0) 0	3 (0.04)	6 (0.02)	9 (0.02)	9 (0.02)	4 (0.02)	2 (0.01)	1 (0.01)	
Hypertension medication	1130 (50.72)	5286 (54.41)	21 517 (59.28)	34 148 (66.04)	56 295 (71.89)	25 673 (77.96)	17 293 (80.95)	14 470 (83.37)	<0.0001
Mean±SD									
Age, y	71.15±5.08	70.88±4.79	71±4.81	71.02±4.78	71.14±4.77	71.19±4.83	71.27±4.83	71.59±5.01	<0.0001
BMI, kg/m²	22.86±3.24	23.48±3.16	24.08±3.11	24.44±3.1	24.76±3.13	24.98±3.16	25.01±3.2	25±3.33	<0.0001
FBG, mg/dL	134.56±44.71	134.7±43.31	135.05±42.49	134.03±40.86	134.83±40.48	135.98±40.39	137.02±40.99	139.31±42.65	<0.0001
SBP, mm Hg	92.5±4.33	103±3.32	113.52±3.64	122.55±3.17	132.97±3.42	142.12±2.9	151.72±2.72	167.3±9.08	<0.0001
DBP, mm Hg	59.44±5.74	64.03±6.29	70.11±6.35	74.66±6.96	78.8±7.11	82.82±8.35	86.75±8.99	92.08±10.24	<0.0001

Fable 1. Continued

Characteristic	SBP, mm Hg								
	<100	100–110	110–120	120–130	130–140	140–150	150–160	>160	P value
Median, Q1-Q3									
Age, y	70 (67–74)	70 (67–74)	70 (68–74)	70 (68–74)	70 (68–74)	70 (68–74)	70 (68–74)	70 (68–74)	<0.0001
BMI, kg/m²	22.77 (20.7–24.91) 23.42 (21.34	23.42 (21.34–25.46)	24 (22.03–25.97)	24.3 (22.38–26.33)	24.61 (22.68–26.64)	24.78 (22.89–26.84)	24.86 (22.89–26.93)	24.84 (22.83–27.01)	<0.0001
FBG, mg/dL	128 (105–149)	128 (107–149)	128 (108–149)	128 (108–148)	129 (109–149)	130 (110–150)	131 (111–151)	132 (113–154)	<0.0001
SBP, mm Hg	91 (90–96)	101.5 (100–106)	112 (110–118)	120 (120–125)	131 (130–136)	140 (140–144)	150 (150–153)	164 (160–170)	<0.0001
DBP, mm Hg	60 (56–61)	62 (60–70)	70 (67–74)	76 (70–80)	80 (74–82)	81 (79–90)	(06-08) 06	90 (86–100)	<0.0001
	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :			1					

BMI indicates body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG, fasting blood glucose; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

risk of the primary outcome increased significantly with not only higher SBP but also lower SBP after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication, and DBP (Figure 1A). In patients with DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg, the incidence rate of primary composite outcomes was 43.2 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other DBP groups (Table 2 and Figure S1). The risk of the primary outcome increased significantly in not only higher but also lower DBP groups than the DBP reference group (DBP 65-69 mm Hg) in multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling with age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication, and SBP (Figure 1B).

## Blood Pressure and Myocardial Infarction, Stroke, Heart Failure, and All-Cause Death

There were 11 683 (5.18%) incidents of MI in the 7.61year mean follow-up period (Table S2). The incidence rate of MI was 6.80 per 1000 person-years. In patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg, the incidence rate of MI was 6.39 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other SBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2A). The incidence rate of MI in patients with DBP 75 to 79 mm Ha was 6.01 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other DBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2A). In multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling, the risk of MI was higher in patients with type 2 diabetes and SBP ≥140 mm Hg (Figure 2A) or DBP ≥90 mm Hg (Figure 2B) compared with the reference group (SBP 120-129 mm Hg, DBP 65-69 mm Hg) after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication, and SBP or DBP.

There were 22 572 (10.00%) incidents of stroke in the 7.45-year mean follow-up period (Table S2). The incidence rate of stroke was 13.44 per 1000 person-years. In patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg, the incidence rate of stroke was 12.06 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other SBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2B). The incidence rate of stroke in patients with DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg was 11.31 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other DBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2B). In multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling, the risk of stroke was increased with higher BP and lower BP (SBP <100 mm Hg, and DBP <65 mm Hg) compared with the reference group (SBP 120–129 mm Hg, DBP 65–69 mm Hg) after adjusting

Number, Incidence Rate, and HR for Primary Composite Outcomes (Myocardial Infarction, Stroke, and All-Cause Mortality) Stratified by SBP and DBP Table 2.

	No. of patients	No. of events	Duration, person-years	Rate, events per 1000 person-years	HR (95% CI)	No. of patients	No. of events	Duration, person-years	Rate, events per 1000 person-years
SBP, mm Hg									
<100	1932	805	12 892	62.4	1.36 (1.25–1.47)	1.20 (1.11–1.30)	1.20 (1.10–1.30)	1.22 (1.12–1.33)	1.29 (1.12–1.40)
100-109	8612	3026	61 054	49.6	1.11 (1.07–1.16)	1.04 (0.99–1.08)	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	1.04 (1.00–1.09)	1.09 (1.04–1.14)
110–119	32 551	11 059	233 087	47.4	1.05 (1.02–1.08)	1.03 (1.00–1.06)	1.02 (0.99–1.05)	1.03 (1.00–1.06)	1.05 (1.02–1.08)
120-129	46 530	15 226	337 133	45.2	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)
130-139	70 892	23 815	510 965	46.6	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	1.06 (1.03–1.08)	1.06 (1.03–1.08)	1.05 (1.02–1.07)	1.03 (1.01–1.06)
140–149	30 001	10 339	215 231	48.0	1.08 (1.04–1.11)	1.11 (1.08–1.14)	1.11 (1.07–1.14)	1.09 (1.06–1.12)	1.06 (1.03–1.09)
50-159	19 351	6921	137 772	50.2	1.13 (1.09–1.16)	1.16 (1.12–1.20)	1.16 (1.12–1.20)	1.14 (1.10–1.17)	1.09 (1.05–1.13)
>160	15 694	6256	108 739	57.5	1.31 (1.27–1.36)	1.35 (1.30–1.39)	1.33 (1.29–1.38)	1.30 (1.27–1.35)	1.22 (1.17–1.27)
DBP, mm Hg									
09>	3486	1332	24 308	54.8	1.21 (1.14–1.30)	1.12 (1.05–1.20)	1.11 (1.04–1.19)	1.12 (1.04–1.19)	1.14 (1.07–1.22)
60-64	15 631	5606	110 546	50.7	1.14 (1.09–1.19)	1.10 (1.05–1.15)	1.09 (1.04–1.14)	1.09 (1.05–1.14)	1.11 (1.06–1.16)
69-99	14 266	4481	103 684	43.2	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)	1 (Ref.)
70-74	48 346	16 459	346 971	47.4	1.10 (1.06–1.14)	1.10 (1.06–1.14)	1.10 (1.06–1.14)	1.10 (1.06–1.14)	1.10 (1.06–1.14)
75–79	24 501	7824	177 759	44.0	1.05 (1.01–1.09)	1.08 (1.03–1.12)	1.08 (1.04–1.13)	1.08 (1.03–1.12)	1.07 (1.02–1.11)
80-84	64 746	22 307	464 584	48.0	1.13 (1.09–1.17)	1.15 (1.11–1.19)	1.15 (1.11–1.19)	1.14 (1.10–1.19)	1.13 (1.09–1.17)
85–89	18 792	6313	135 544	46.6	1.13 (1.08–1.18)	1.17 (1.12–1.23)	1.18 (1.13–1.23)	1.16 (1.11–1.22)	1.14 (1.09–1.19)
90-94	23 623	8490	168 341	50.4	1.21 (1.16–1.26)	1.25 (1.20–1.31)	1.25 (1.20–1.31)	1.23 (1.18–1.29)	1.19 (1.14–1.25)
>95	12 172	4635	85 137	54.4	1.36 (1.29–1.42)	1.41 (1.34–1.47)	1.40 (1.34–1.47)	1.37 (1.31–1.44)	1.31 (1.24–1.38)

glucose, and hypertension medication. Model 5: age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, Charlson Comorbidity Index, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose, hypertension medication, and systolic or diastolic blood pressure. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; HR, hazard ratio; Ref., reference; and SBP, systolic blood Model 1: age, and sex. Model 2: age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, and Charlson Comorbidity Index. Model 3: age, sex, smoking, consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, Charlson Comorbidity Index, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, and fasting plasma glucose. Model 4: age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, Charlson Comorbidity Index, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma pressure.




Figure 1. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for primary composite outcomes by (A) systolic blood pressure and (B) diastolic blood pressure.

Adjusted for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, Charlson Comorbidity Index, hypertension medication, and systolic or diastolic blood pressure.

for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication, and SBP or DBP (Figure 2C and 2D).

There were 24 190 (10.72%) incidents of HF in the 7.55-year mean follow-up period (Table S2). The incidence rate for HF was 14.19 per 1000 person-years. In patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg, the incidence rate of HF was 13.40 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other SBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2C). The incidence rate of HF in patients with DBP 75 to 79 mm Hg was 12.66 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other DBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2C). In multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling, the risk of HF was higher in patients with type 2 diabetes and SBP ≥150 mm Hg or SBP <100 mm Hg (Figure 2E) and DBP ≥95 mm Hg or DBP <60 mm Hg (Figure 2F) compared with the reference group (SBP 120-129 mm Hg, DBP 65-69 mm Hg) after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication, and SBP or DBP.

There were 46 626 (20.67%) incidents of all-cause death in the 7.76-year mean follow-up period (Table S2 and Figure S2G). The incidence rate for all-cause death was 26.6 per 1000 person-years. In patients with SBP 120–129 mm Hg, the incidence rate of all-cause death was 25.22 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other SBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2G). The incidence rate of stroke in patients with DBP

65–69 mm Hg was 23.68 per 1000 person-years and lower than in the other DBP groups (Table S2 and Figure S2B). In multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling, the risk of all-cause death was increased in older patients with type 2 diabetes and SBP ≥140 mm Hg or <120 mm Hg (Figure 2G), and DBP ≥70 mm Hg or <65 mm Hg (Figure 2H) compared with the reference group (SBP 120–129 mm Hg, DBP 65–69 mm Hg) after adjusting for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, BMI, dyslipidemia, CKD, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, CCI, hypertension medication and SBP or DBP.

### Sensitivity and Subgroup Analysis

Sensitivity analysis after excluding the first year of observation had consistent findings: the risk of primary composite outcomes was lowest in patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg and with DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg, and the risk of primary composite outcomes increased significantly with not only higher but also lower SBP and DBP than the reference group, with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg or DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg (Figure 3A and 3B).

Subgroup analysis of those aged ≥80 years and those aged <80 years revealed a significant interaction for the risk of the primary outcome according to SBP (*P* for interaction=0.014; Figure 3C), but not according to DBP (*P* for interaction=0.225; Figure 3D). The risk for primary composite outcomes was only marginally higher in participants aged ≥80 years and SBP ≥160 mm Hg (hazard ratio [HR], 1.11; 95% CI, 0.98–1.24;




Figure 2. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for myocardial infarction by (A) systolic blood pressure and (B) diastolic blood pressure, stroke by (C) systolic blood pressure and (D) diastolic blood pressure, heart failure by (E) systolic blood pressure and (F) diastolic blood pressure, and all-cause death by (G) systolic blood pressure and (H) diastolic blood pressure.

Adjusted for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, Charlson Comorbidity Index, hypertension medication, and systolic or diastolic blood pressure.




Figure 3. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI for primary composite outcomes by (A) systolic blood pressure and (B) diastolic blood pressure after excluding the first year of observation, by (C) systolic blood pressure and (D) diastolic blood pressure in subgroup with aged ≥80 years or with aged <80 years, and by (E) systolic blood pressure and (F) diastolic blood pressure in subgroup with or without hypertension medication.

Adjusted for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose level, Charlson Comorbidity Index, hypertension medication, and systolic or diastolic blood pressure.

Figure 3C), but was significantly higher in participants aged <80 years than the reference group, with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg (Figure 3C). Subgroup analyses were performed with hypertension medication and

without hypertension medication for the risk of primary composite outcomes (Figure 3E and 3F). There was a significant interaction between the subgroups with or without hypertension medication for the risk of the primary outcome according to the SBP group (*P* for interaction <0.001; Figure 3E) and the DBP group (*P* for interaction=0.018; Figure 3F). Hypertension medication was associated with a lower risk for primary composite outcomes in SBP ≥140 mm Hg and DBP ≥90 mm Hg and higher risk for primary composite outcomes in SBP <110 mm Hg or DBP <60 mm Hg (Figure 3E and 3F). The spline curve for primary composite outcomes by SBP and DBP and with or without hypertension medication had consistent findings. The risk of secondary outcomes after excluding the first year of observation also had consistent findings (Figure 4).

## **DISCUSSION**

In this large retrospective study, we examined the association between SBP and DBP and the risk of MI, stroke, HF and death in older patients with diabetes and without CVD. SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg and DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg presented the lowest risk of primary composite outcomes in all participants. Hypertension medication was associated with lower risk of primary

composite outcomes in SBP ≥140 mm Hg and DBP ≥90 mm Hg and higher risk for primary composite outcomes in SBP <110 mm Hg and DBP <60 mm Hg. In participants aged ≥80 years, SBP ≥160 mm Hg was only marginally higher risk for primary composite outcomes.

Hypertension is common in older patients with type 2 diabetes. Although tight BP control is particularly beneficial in younger patients with diabetes, there is little evidence for BP goals in older patients with hypertension with type 2 diabetes. The ACCORD (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes) BP trial has shown that intensive lowering of SBP (<120 mm Hg) in patients with hypertension with diabetes did not improve overall CVD events or deaths, except for a significant reduction in the risk for stroke compared with the conventional lowering of SBP (<140 mm Hg).<sup>7</sup> In the same study, blood pressure control after the first year of follow-up was 119.3/64.4 mm Hg in the intensive group and 133.5/70.5 mm Hg in the standard group. Other studies reported that further SBP lowering (up to 130-135 mm Hg) was associated with the lowest




Figure 4. Spline curve for composite primary outcomes by systolic or diastolic blood pressure and by systolic or diastolic blood pressure with or without hypertension medication adjusted for age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medications, fasting plasma glucose, hypertension medication, Charlson Comorbidity Index, and systolic or diastolic blood pressure. HR indicates hazard ratio; and Ref, reference.

cardiovascular event rate. 8,19,20 These findings were compatible with our study and were explained by a U-curved association between SBP/DBP and primary composite outcomes in this study. The risk of primary composite outcomes in SBP 110 to 119 mm Hg (HR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.02–1.08) and DBP 60 to 64 mm Hg (HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.06–1.16) was similar to that in SBP 130 to 139 mm Hg (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 1.01–1.06) and DBP 70 to 74 mm Hg (HR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.06–1.14) compared with the SBP and DBP reference groups. In addition, the risk of stroke in participants with SBP 130 to 139 mm Hg was significantly higher than the reference group (SBP 120–129 mm Hg), but the risk of MI, HF, and all-cause death was not.

We also observed that SBP <120 mm Hg/DBP <65 mm Hg was associated with poor prognosis in patients with diabetes aged ≥65 years. A few studies in older patients with diabetes showed similar results. Post hoc analysis of the cohort of participants with diabetes in the INVEST (International Verapamil SR-Trandolapril Study) (mean age >65 years) reported that SBP <110 mm Hg was associated with significantly increased risk (HR, 2.18; 95% Cl, 1.17-4.09) of all-cause mortality compared with SBP 125 to 130 mm Hg. A previous observational study of 1294 patients with type 2 diabetes aged ≥69.1 years showed a U-shaped association between SBP and mortality, but not in those aged <69.1 years.<sup>21</sup> Although hypertension is an established risk factor for HF, one study reported that low SBP (SBP <120 mm Hg) was associated with increased risks of HF among patients with diabetes without a history of HF.<sup>22</sup> The HOT (Hypertension Optimal Treatment) study reported that lowering DBP to a target level of ≤80 mm Hg in patients with type 2 diabetes resulted in a 51% reduction in major CVD events compared with the conventional target (≤90 mm Hg).<sup>23</sup> Other studies with isolated systolic hypertension, which is common in older people, showed a U-curved association between DBP and CVD events, with a nadir between 60 and 70 mm Hg.<sup>24,25</sup> A recent cohort study of 1.3 million adults in a general outpatient population revealed a U-curved relationship between the diastolic blood pressure and the composite outcome.<sup>26</sup> The risk of composite outcomes was increased below 60 mm Hg, and the author suggested that this relationship was explained by age and other covariates. Our study results were also compatible with the above study. The association between DBP and the risk of the composite outcomes was U-curved, with a nadir between 65 and 69 mm Hg. The risk of the composite outcomes was increased at <65 mm Hq. The association between lower blood pressure and poor outcomes could be explained by comorbidities common in older patients with lower blood pressure. Therefore, we adjusted for CCI in multivariable Cox proportional hazard modeling and performed sensitivity analyses excluding

participants who were diagnosed with stroke, MI, HF, or all-cause death during the first year of follow-up. However, the association of lower blood pressure with increased risk of stroke, MI, HF, and all-cause death in study participants did not change.

Two decades ago, the Framingham data suggested an age-dependent threshold for hypertension.<sup>27</sup> This study showed a different association between SBP and primary composite outcomes by age group (P for interaction=0.014). In patients with diabetes who were aged ≥80 years, the risk for primary composite outcomes was marginally higher in SBP ≥160 mm Hg than SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg (HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.98-1.24; Figure 3C). Based on our study results, the suggested SBP threshold is ≈130 mm Hg in patients with diabetes aged 65 to 79 years, and ≈160 mm Hg in patients with diabetes aged ≥80 years. Most guidelines do not indicate a BP goal in older patients with both diabetes and hypertension, because most hypertension trials do not include an older population, do not present age-specific results, or have only a small number of older patients with diabetes in the study population. The American Diabetes Association recommends a treatment goal of <140/90 mm Hg in patients with diabetes (<130/90 mm Hg in patients with diabetes at higher cardiovascular risk), and cautiously suggests the same treatment goal in older patients with diabetes. 15,28 Interestingly, in subgroup analysis, hypertension medication was associated with lower risk of primary composite outcomes in SBP ≥140 mm Hg and DBP ≥90 mm Hg (Figure 3E and 3F). This finding supports the current American Diabetes Association cutoff value for initiating antihypertension treatment in elderly patients with diabetes.<sup>29</sup> However, another cutoff is needed for patients with diabetes aged ≥80 years (Figure 3C).

Another concerning finding of this study is that the prevalence of prescribed hypertensive medications was quite high in patients with SBP <120 mm Hg (55.6%) and DBP <65 mm Hg (59.6%). Hypertension medication was associated with poor prognosis in patients with SBP <110 mm Hg or DBP <60 mm Hg (Figure 3E and 3F). One of 8 patients (12.9%) in our study who were on hypertensive medications might have been overtreated for hypertension. This finding suggests that continuing antihypertensive therapy in people with relative hypotension may increase, rather than decrease, cardiovascular events.

The strengths of our study are that we used a large-scale nationwide database representing the entire Korean population. Second, we conducted fully adjusted analyses with all available confounding cardio-vascular risk factors. However, this study also has some limitations. First, the retrospective observational study design has inherent limitations. Although the analyses were adjusted for most available demographic and clinical variables, some unidentified parameters could affect

the results. Second, there was some possibility of selection bias, because we selected participants whose BP was measured in the national health screening program, which requests that participants voluntarily visit clinics. Therefore, there was some possibility that study participants might have higher mobility than individuals who did not participate in the national health screening program and, particularly in volunteers aged >75 years, may have less overall morbidity because of fewer serious chronic health conditions compared with the general elderly Korean population. However, our study excluded patients with stroke (including those with cerebral palsy) before analysis and might cover most elderly patients with diabetes in an outpatient setting. Third, we defined MI and stroke based on claims data; this may not be a completely accurate method for determining the number of cases. To overcome this problem, we defined outcomes using an operational definition by combining diagnostic and prescription records. Fourth, the BP measurement protocol of the national health screening program, which focused on screening hypertension, does not follow published guidelines and may have introduced measurement bias, which is likely to have estimated BP with reasonable accuracy in low or normal BP participants, but truncated the actual BP of individuals with high values. However, a recent study evaluated the performance of hypertension screening in medical institutions conducting the national health screening program in the Republic of Korea. According to this study, medical institutions used mainly oscilloscopic devices for BP measurement, and most had measurement manuals and training protocols. The majority of the institutions measured BP multiple times with a resting period and used the average values as an individual's BP level.<sup>30</sup> Fifth, this study is not a prospective study; therefore, causality cannot be determined. However, to minimize the possible effects of reverse causality, participants with preexisting MI or stroke were excluded. Lastly, we did not analyze the cause of death, because the details were unavailable in the national database.

In conclusion, this retrospective cohort study of older patients with diabetes without CVD suggests that patients with SBP 120 to 129 mm Hg and DBP 65 to 69 mm Hg had the lowest risk of primary composite outcomes for MI, stroke, HF, and all-cause death. This study also suggested that hypertension medication may decrease CVD events in patients with SBP ≥140 mm Hg and DBP >90 mm Hg and increase CVD events in patients with SBP <110 mm Hg and DBP <60 mm Hg.

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Received April 16, 2021; accepted September 27, 2021.

#### Affiliations

Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University, College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea (S.H., J.H.P., C.B.L., D.S.K., S.H.Y.); and Department

of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Soongsil University, Seoul, Republic of Korea (K.H.).

#### Acknowledgments

The NHID was provided by the National Health Insurance Service of Korea. The authors would like to thank the National Health Insurance Service for their cooperation.

Author contributions: S.H., J.H.P, K.H., C.B.L., D.S.K., and S.H.Y. contributed to the literature search, study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and figure design. K.H. performed statistical analysis. S.H. and J.H.P. wrote the first draft of the article. K.H., C.B.L., D.S.K., and S.H.Y. contributed to refining the article.

#### Sources of Funding

None.

#### **Disclosures**

None.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Tables S1-S2 Figures S1-S2

#### **REFERENCES**

- Kim BY, Won JC, Lee JH, Kim HS, Park JH, Ha KH, Won KC, Kim DJ, Park KS. Diabetes fact sheets in Korea, 2018: an appraisal of current status. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2019;43:487–494. doi: 10.4093/ dmi.2019.0067
- Won JC, Lee JH, Kim JH, Kang ES, Won KC, Kim DJ, Lee MK. Diabetes fact sheet in Korea, 2016: an appraisal of current status. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2018;42:415–424. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2018.0017
- Mitchell BD, Stern MP, Haffner SM, Hazuda HP, Patterson JK. Risk factors for cardiovascular mortality in Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites. San Antonio Heart Study. Am J Epidemiol. 1990;131:423–433. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115517
- Adler AI, Stratton IM, Neil HA, Yudkin JS, Matthews DR, Cull CA, Wright AD, Turner RC, Holman RR. Association of systolic blood pressure with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 36): prospective observational study. *BMJ*. 2000;321:412–419. doi: 10.1136/bmj.321.7258.412
- Hurst C, Thinkhamrop B, Tran HT. The association between hypertension comorbidity and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes patients: a nationwide cross-sectional study in Thailand. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2015;39:395–404. doi: 10.4093/dmi.2015.39.5.395
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. BMJ. 1998;317:703–713.
- Group AS, Cushman WC, Evans GW, Byington RP, Goff DC Jr, Grimm RH Jr, Cutler JA, Simons-Morton DG, Basile JN, Corson MA, et al. Effects of intensive blood-pressure control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:1575–1585.
- Patel A, Group AC, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Woodward M, Billot L, Harrap S, Poulter N, Marre M, et al. Effects of a fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide on macrovascular and microvascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the ADVANCE trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;370:829–840.
- Bangalore S, Kumar S, Lobach I, Messerli FH. Blood pressure targets in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus/impaired fasting glucose: observations from traditional and bayesian random-effects meta-analyses of randomized trials. Circulation. 2011;123:2799–2810. 9 p following 810.
- Corrao G, Rea F, Monzio Compagnoni M, Merlino L, Mancia G. Protective effects of antihypertensive treatment in patients aged 85 years or older. J Hypertens. 2017;35:1432–1441. doi: 10.1097/ HJH.00000000000001323
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R, Prospective SC. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet*. 2002;360:1903–1913.
- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement DL, Coca A, de Simone G, Dominiczak A, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:3021–3104. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339

- Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, Khan NA, Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, Ramirez A, Schlaich M, Stergiou GS, Tomaszewski M, et al. 2020 international society of hypertension global hypertension practice guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2020;75:1334–1357. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSI ONAHA.120.15026
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APHA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/ PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018;71:e13-e115. doi: 10.1161/HYP.00000 000000000065
- American Diabetes A. 10. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: standards of medical care in diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care*. 2020;43:S111–S134.
- Lee J, Lee JS, Park SH, Shin SA, Kim K. Cohort profile: the national health insurance service-national sample cohort (NHIS-NSC), South Korea. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46:e15. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv319
- Song SO, Jung CH, Song YD, Park CY, Kwon HS, Cha BS, Park JY, Lee KU, Ko KS, Lee BW. Background and data configuration process of a nationwide population-based study using the Korean national health insurance system. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2014;38:395–403. doi: 10.4093/ dmi.2014.38.5.395
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of diet in renal disease study group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1999;130:461–470. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-130-6-199903160-00002
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, Mattheus M, Devins T, Johansen OE, Woerle HJ, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:2117–2128. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1504720
- Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondu N, Shaw W, Law G, Desai M, Matthews DR, et al. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:644–657. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611925
- 21. Rönnback M, Isomaa B, Fagerudd J, Forsblom C, Groop PH, Tuomi T, Groop L, Botnia Study G. Complex relationship between blood

- pressure and mortality in type 2 diabetic patients: a follow-up of the Botnia Study. *Hypertension*. 2006;47:168–173. doi: 10.1161/01. HYP.0000199667.30253.b7
- Zhao W, Katzmarzyk PT, Horswell R, Li W, Wang Y, Johnson J, Heymsfield SB, Cefalu WT, Ryan DH, Hu G. Blood pressure and heart failure risk among diabetic patients. *Int J Cardiol.* 2014;176:125–132. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.06.051
- Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, Dahlof B, Elmfeldt D, Julius S, Menard J, Rahn KH, Wedel H, Westerling S. Effects of intensive bloodpressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the hypertension optimal treatment (hot) randomised trial. Hot study group. *Lancet*. 1998;351:1755–1762. doi: 10.1016/S0140 -6736(98)04311-6
- Somes GW, Pahor M, Shorr RI, Cushman WC, Applegate WB. The role of diastolic blood pressure when treating isolated systolic hypertension. *Arch Intern Med.* 1999;159:2004–2009. doi: 10.1001/archi nte.159.17.2004
- Boutitie F, Gueyffier F, Pocock S, Fagard R, Boissel JP, intervention IPSCIDAOA. J-shaped relationship between blood pressure and mortality in hypertensive patients: new insights from a meta-analysis of individual-patient data. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;136:438–448. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-136-6-200203190-00007
- Flint AC, Conell C, Ren X, Banki NM, Chan SL, Rao VA, Melles RB, Bhatt DL. Effect of systolic and diastolic blood pressure on cardiovascular outcomes. N Engl J Med. 2019;381:243–251. doi: 10.1056/NEJMo a18.03180
- Port S, Demer L, Jennrich R, Walter D, Garfinkel A. Systolic blood pressure and mortality. *Lancet*. 2000;355:175–180. doi: 10.1016/S0140 -6736(99)07051-8
- American Diabetes A. 12. Older adults: standards of medical care in diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care*. 2020:43:S152–S162.
- Solini A, Grossman E. What should be the target blood pressure in elderly patients with diabetes? *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39:S234–S243. doi: 10.2337/dcS15-3027
- Lee SW, Lee HY, Ihm SH, Park SH, Kim TH, Kim HC. Status of hypertension screening in the Korea national general health screening program: a questionnaire survey on 210 screening centers in two metropolitan areas. *Clin Hypertens*. 2017;23:23. doi: 10.1186/s4088 5-017-0075-z

# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Table S1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics according to diastolic blood pressure groups

Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	<60	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85-89	90-94	95≤	
Number of subjects	3486	15631	14266	48346	24501	64746	18792	23623	12172	P-value
Sex (%)										0.0006
Male	1749 (50.17)	7781 (49.78)	7046 (49.39)	23754 (49.13)	12439 (50.77)	31991 (49.41)	9469 (50.39)	11666 (49.38)	6135 (50.4)	
Female	1737 (49.83)	7850 (50.22)	7220 (50.61)	24592 (50.87)	12062 (49.23)	32755 (50.59)	9323 (49.61)	11957 (50.62)	6037 (49.6)	
Smoking (%)										<.0001
Non	2285 (65.55)	10476 (67.02)	9734 (68.23)	33706 (69.72)	16960 (69.22)	46058 (71.14)	13388 (71.24)	17097 (72.37)	8680 (71.31)	
Ex	605	2697	2593	7917	4322	10265	3144	3720	2001	
Current	(17.36) 596	(17.25) 2458	(18.18) 1939	(16.38) 6723	(17.64) 3219	(15.85) 8423	(16.73) 2260	(15.75) 2806	(16.44) 1491	
	(17.1)	(15.73)	(13.59)	(13.91)	(13.14)	(13.01)	(12.03)	(11.88)	(12.25)	. 0001
Drink (%)		40040	40006	2.5502	45004	45500	4.40.5	4.00	0.4.50	<.0001
Non	2769 (79.43)	12043 (77.05)	10786 (75.61)	36502 (75.5)	17834 (72.79)	47593 (73.51)	13485 (71.76)	16876 (71.44)	8450 (69.42)	
Mild	625	3129	3026	9934	5584	14170	4408	5443	2960	
Heavy	(17.93) 92	(20.02) 459	(21.21) 454	(20.55) 1910	(22.79) 1083	(21.89) 2983	(23.46) 899(	(23.04) 1304	(24.32) 762	
•	(2.64) 1353	(2.94) 6313	(3.18) 5996	(3.95) 19280	(4.42) 10212	(4.61) 25967	4.78) 7507	(5.52) 8943	(6.26) 4377	
Regular exercise (%)	(38.81)	(40.39)	(42.03)	(39.88)	(41.68)	(40.11)	(39.95)	(37.86)	(35.96)	<.0001
Dyslipidemia (%)	1523 (43.69)	6511 (41.65)	6396 (44.83)	20017 (41.4)	10297 (42.03)	26233 (40.52)	7615 (40.52)	9654 (40.87)	4989 (40.99)	<.0001
Chronic kidney	895	3741	3249	10484	5091	13836	3890	5187	2641	<.0001
disease (%)	(25.67) 556	(23.93) 2165	(22.77) 1722	(21.69) 5322	(20.78) 2372	(21.37) 6122	(20.7) 1691	(21.96) 2096	(21.7) 986	
Insulin (%)	(19.58)	(17.38)	(14.84)	(14.38)	(12.85)	(13)	(12.52)	(12.86)	(12.58)	<.0001
Number of oral diabetes	`	<i>'</i>	•00	=0.4		0.45			4.40	<.0001
0	94 (3.31)	324 (2.6)	283 (2.44)	791 (2.14)	357 (1.93)	817 (1.74)	237 (1.75)	303 (1.86)	149 (1.9)	
1	784	3439	3394	10682	5616	14333	4320	5076	2459	
	(27.61) 1114(	(27.61) 5238	(29.26) 4882	(28.87) 15666	(30.43) 7880	(30.44) 20009	(31.97) 5721	(31.14) 7029	(31.37) 3474	
2	39.23)	(42.06)	(42.08)	(42.34)	(42.69)	(42.5)	(42.34)	(43.13)	(44.32)	
3	681 (23.98)	2841 (22.81)	2510 (21.64)	8132 (21.98)	3802 (20.6)	9944 (21.12)	2696 (19.95)	3258 (19.99)	1482 (18.91)	
4	147(	537	465	1553	730	1774	490	574	253	
	5.18) 19	(4.31) 71	(4.01) 64	(4.2) 163	(3.95) 70	(3.77) 202	(3.63) 45	(3.52) 58	(3.23) 19	
5	(0.67)	(0.57)	(0.55)	(0.44)	(0.38)	(0.43)	(0.33)	(0.36)	(0.24)	
6	1 (0.04)	4 (0.03)	3 (0.03)	10 (0.03)	3 (0.02)	6 (0.01)	(0.01)	0 (0)	3 (0.04)	
Hypertension medication (%)	2103 (60.33)	9377 (59.99)	9253 (64.86)	31299 (64.74)	16463 (67.19)	45116 (69.68)	14032 (74.67)	18168 (76.91)	9674 (79.48)	<.0001
$Mean \pm SD$										
Age (years)	71.52±4.9	71.27±4.8	70.92±4.5	71.03±4.7	70.71±4.5	70.98±4.7	70.7±4.62	71.06±4.8	70.89±4.7	<.0001
BMI (kg/m²)	3 23.45±3.0 5	2 23.74±3.0 5	9 24.25±2.9 6	5 24.3±3.09	9 24.56±3.0 8	4 24.65±3.1 3	24.92±3.1 7	1 24.95±3.2 1	6 25.04±3.3	<.0001
Glucose (mg/dL)	133±44.0 6	133.72±4 2.07	132.55±3 9.94	134.79±4 1.06	135.11±3 9.62	136.16±4 1.28	135.76±4 0.1	137.77±4 1.47	140.09±4 1.62	<.0001
SBP (mmHg)	$109.67\pm1$	114.44±1	$123.89\pm1$	123.91±1	$130.75\pm1$	132.4±11.	$139.89\pm1$	146.3±12.	$158.39\pm1$	<.0001
DBP (mmHg)	2.95 55.7±2.83	2.85 61.21±1.5	1.33 67.07±1.4	2.58 70.76±1.3	2.08 77.01±1.5	72 80.44±1.0	1.3 86.82±1.6	81 90.32±0.9	4.72 100.97±5.	<.0001
PP* (mmHg)	71.52±4.9	3 71.27±4.8 2	2 70.92±4.5 9	2 71.03±4.7 5	5 70.71±4.5 9	6 70.98±4.7 4	5 70.7±4.62	71.06±4.8	21 70.89±4.7 6	<.0001
Median (Q1-Q3)	3	-		J				•	v	
Age (years)	70 (68-	70 (68-	70 (68-	70 (68-	70 (67-	70 (68-	70 (67-	70 (68-	70 (67-	<.0001
G- (J)	74) 23.34	74) 23.63	74) 24.14	74) 24.22	74) 24.44	74) 24.52	74) 24.77	74) 24.84	74) 24.89	.0001
$BMI\;(kg/m^2)$	(21.37-	(21.75-	(22.31-	(22.23-	(22.53-	(22.59-	(22.81-	(22.84-	(22.89-	<.0001
Change (m-/JI)	25.32) 126 (105-	25.65) 127 (107-	26.03) 127 (107-	26.22) 128 (109-	26.4) 129 (110-	26.56) 130 (110-	26.84) 130 (110-	26.89) 131 (112-	27.05) 133 (115-	< 0001
Glucose (mg/dL)	148) 109 (101-	148) 114 (105-	146) 124 (116-	149) 121 (111-	149) 130 (120-	150)	150) 138 (135-	152) 145 (140-	154) 160 (150-	<.0001
SBP (mmHg)	117)	122)	131)	130)	138)	130 (122- 140)	144)	150)	170)	<.0001
DBP (mmHg)	56 (54- 58)	60 (60- 62)	67 (66- 68)	70 (70- 71)	77 (75- 78)	80 (80- 80)	87 (85- 89)	90 (90- 90)	100 (99- 100)	<.0001

Table S2. Number, Incidence Rate, and Hazard Ratio of myocardiac infarction, stroke, heart failure and all-cause mortality stratified by SBP, and DBP.

		Numb er of	Numb er of	Duration (person-	Rate (events per		I	Hazard ratio (95% Cl	I)	
		patien ts	events	years)	1000 person- years)	Model1	Model2	Model3	Model4	Model4
Myocard	lial infarctio	n			j :)					
SBP	<100	1932	114	13744	8.29	1.30(1.05,1.61)	1.16(0.94,1.44)	1.15(0.93,1.42)	1.19(0.96,1.47)	1.23(0.99,1.53)
	100-109	8612	439	64410	6.82	1.06(0.95,1.19)	0.99(0.88,1.11)	0.98(0.87,1.10)	1.00(0.89,1.13)	1.03(0.91,1.16)
	110-119	32551	1616	246518	6.56	1.03(0.96,1.11)	1.01(0.94,1.08)	1.00(0.93,1.08)	1.02(0.94,1.09)	1.02(0.95,1.10)
	120-129	46530	2274	356025	6.39	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	130-139	70892	3654	542022	6.74	1.05(0.99,1.12)	1.07(1.01,1.14)	1.07(1.01,1.14)	1.06(1.00,1.12)	1.05(0.99,1.12)
	140-149	30001	1580	229144	6.90	1.10(1.02,1.18)	1.13(1.05,1.22)	1.13(1.05,1.22)	1.10(1.03,1.19)	1.09(1.01,1.17)
	150-159	19351	1083	147516	7.34	1.19(1.09,1.29)	1.23(1.13,1.33)	1.22(1.13,1.33)	1.18(1.09,1.29)	1.16(1.06,1.26)
	160≤	15694	923	117870	7.83	1.32(1.21,1.44)	1.35(1.24,1.48)	1.34(1.22,1.46)	1.29(1.18,1.41)	1.24(1.13,1.37)
DBP	<60	3486	182	25828	7.05	1.10(0.92,1.31)	1.01(0.85,1.21)	1.00(0.84,1.19)	1.01(0.84,1.20)	1.04(0.87,1.25)
	60-64	15631	849	116766	7.27	1.14(1.02,1.28)	1.10(0.99,1.23)	1.09(0.98,1.22)	1.10(0.99,1.23)	1.12(1.01,1.26)
	65-69	14266	684	109311	6.26	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	70-74	48346	2520	367247	6.86	1.12(1.02,1.23)	1.12(1.02,1.23)	1.12(1.02,1.23)	1.12(1.02,1.23)	1.12(1.02,1.23)
	75-79	24501	1128	187808	6.01	0.99(0.90,1.10)	1.03(0.92,1.14)	1.03(0.93,1.15)	1.02(0.92,1.14)	1.01(0.91,1.12)
	80-84	64746	3346	493870	6.78	1.10(1.00,1.20)	1.12(1.03,1.23)	1.13(1.03,1.23)	1.11(1.02,1.22)	1.09(1.00,1.20)
	85-89	18792	964	144457	6.67	1.08(0.96,1.20)	1.13(1.01,1.26)	1.13(1.02,1.27)	1.11(0.99,1.24)	1.07(0.95,1.20)
	90-94	23623	1322	179977	7.35	1.25(1.13,1.39)	1.30(1.18,1.44)	1.31(1.18,1.45)	1.27(1.15,1.41)	1.21(1.08,1.35)
	95≤	12172	688	91984	7.48	1.36(1.20,1.53)	1.41(1.25,1.59)	1.41(1.25,1.58)	1.36(1.21,1.53)	1.25(1.10,1.43)
Stroke										
SBP	<100	1932	193	13553	14.24	1.20(1.02,1.41)	1.11(0.94,1.30)	1.10(0.94,1.29)	1.12(0.96,1.32)	1.24(1.05,1.46)
	100-109	8612	790	63330	12.47	1.06(0.97,1.15)	1.01(0.93,1.10)	1.00(0.92,1.09)	1.02(0.93,1.11)	1.09(1.00,1.19)
	110-119	32551	2990	241907	12.36	1.02(0.97,1.08)	1.01(0.95,1.06)	1.00(0.95,1.05)	1.01(0.96,1.06)	1.04(0.98,1.09)
	120-129	46530	4216	349555	12.06	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	130-139	70892	7051	530396	13.29	1.12(1.07,1.17)	1.13(1.08,1.18)	1.13(1.08,1.18)	1.12(1.07,1.17)	1.09(1.04,1.14)
	140-149 150-159	30001 19351	3110	223539	13.91	1.16(1.10,1.23)	1.18(1.12,1.25)	1.18(1.12,1.24)	1.16(1.10,1.22)	1.10(1.05,1.17)
	160≤	15694	2160 2062	143454 113683	15.06 18.14	1.25(1.17,1.32) 1.54(1.45,1.64)	1.26(1.19,1.34) 1.55(1.46,1.65)	1.26(1.19,1.34) 1.53(1.44,1.63)	1.23(1.16,1.31) 1.49(1.40,1.59)	1.15(1.07,1.22) 1.34(1.25,1.44)
DDD	<60				13.79					
DBP	60-64	3486 15631	349 1449	25306 115044	12.60	1.21(1.07,1.38) 1.11 (1.02,1.21)	1.15(1.01,1.31) 1.09(1.00,1.18)	1.13(1.00,1.29) 1.08(0.99,1.17)	1.14(1.00,1.30) 1.08(0.99,1.18)	1.19(1.05,1.36) 1.11(1.02,1.21)
	65-69	14266	1216	107510	11.31	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1.11(1.02,1.21) 1(Ref.)
	70-74	48346	4588	360065	12.74	1.13(1.06,1.21)	1.13(1.05,1.21)	1.13(1.05,1.21)	1.12(1.05,1.20)	1.12(1.05,1.20)
	75-79	24501	2222	184181	12.06	1.11(1.03,1.20)	1.13(1.05,1.21)	1.13(1.05,1.21)	1.13(1.04,1.22)	1.10(1.02,1.19)
	80-84	64746	6556	482899	13.58	1.23(1.15,1.32)	1.24(1.16,1.33)	1.13(1.03,1.22)	1.23(1.15,1.32)	1.20(1.12,1.28)
	85-89	18792	2007	140569	14.28	1.35(1.24,1.46)	1.38(1.27,1.49)	1.38(1.28,1.50)	1.36(1.26,1.47)	1.30(1.20,1.41)
	90-94	23623	2645	175058	15.11	1.41(1.31,1.52)	1.43(1.33,1.54)	1.43(1.32,1.54)	1.40(1.30,1.51)	1.31(1.21,1.42)
	95≤	12172	1540	88786	17.35	1.60(1.47,1.75)	1.62(1.49,1.77)	1.61(1.48,1.76)	1.58(1.44,1.72)	1.42(1.29,1.56)
Heart fai								. ( ., .,		( , , , ,
SBP	<100	1932	247	13609	18.15	1.36(1.17,1.57)	1.27(1.10,1.47)	1.26(1.09,1.46)	1.31(1.13,1.51)	1.32(1.13,1.53)
	100-109	8612	905	63863	14.17	1.08(1.00,1.17)	1.04(0.96,1.13)	1.03(0.95,1.12)	1.06(0.98,1.15)	1.07(0.98,1.16)
	110-119	32551	3397	244715	13.88	1.06(1.00,1.11)	1.04(0.99,1.10)	1.04(0.99,1.09)	1.05(1.00,1.11)	1.06(1.00,1.11)
	120-129	46530	4736	353355	13.40	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	130-139	70892	7447	538542	13.83	1.04(1.00,1.08)	1.04(1.00,1.09)	1.04(1.00,1.09)	1.03(0.99,1.07)	1.03(0.99,1.07)
	140-149	30001	3175	227442	13.96	1.05(1,10.11)	1.06(1.01,1.12)	1.06(1.01,1.12)	1.03(0.98,1.09)	1.03(0.98,1.09)
	150-159	19351	2239	146225	15.31	1.18(1.11,1.25)	1.18(1.11,1.26)	1.18 (1.12,1.26)	1.15(1.08,1.21)	1.14(1.07,1.21)
	160≤	15694	2044	116421	17.56	1.37(1.30,1.46)	1.37(1.29,1.46)	1.36(1.28,1.44)	1.31(1.23,1.39)	1.29(1.21,1.39)
DBP	<60	3486	429	25518	16.81	1.20(1.07,1.35)	1.14(1.02,1.28)	1.12(1.00,1.26)	1.13(1.01,1.27)	1.17(1.04,1.31)
	60-64	15631	1732	115926	14.94	1.05(0.97,1.13)	1.03(0.95,1.11)	1.01(0.94,1.09)	1.02(0.95,1.10)	1.04(0.96,1.12)
	65-69	14266	1487	108298	13.73	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	70-74	48346	5115	364642	14.03	1.02(0.96,1.09)	1.02(0.95,1.08)	1.02(0.95,1.08)	1.01(0.95,1.08)	1.02(0.95,1.08)
	75-79	24501	2361	186519	12.66	0.93(0.86,1.00)	0.94(0.88,1.01)	0.95(0.88,1.02)	0.94(0.88,1.01)	0.93(0.86,1.00)
	80-84	64746	6974	490196	14.23	1.04(0.98,1.11)	1.04(0.98,1.11)	1.05(0.99,1.12)	1.04(0.97,1.10)	1.02(0.96,1.08)
	85-89	18792	1993	143416	13.90	1.06(0.98,1.14)	1.07(1.00,1.16)	1.08(1.00,1.16)	1.06(0.98,1.14)	1.02(0.94,1.10)
	90-94	23623	2622	178590	14.68	1.11(1.04,1.19)	1.12(1.04,1.20)	1.13(1.05,1.21)	1.09(1.02,1.17)	1.04(0.97,1.12)

	95≤	12172	1477	91065	16.22	1.26(1.16,1.37)	1.26(1.16,1.37)	1.27(1.17,1.38)	1.22(1.13,1.33)	1.13(1.04,1.24)
All Cau	se death									
SBP	<100	1932	559	14093	39.67	1.55(1.40,1.71)	1.27(1.15,1.40)	1.26(1.14,1.39)	1.27(1.15,1.41)	1.35(1.21,1.49)
	100-109	8612	1956	65607	29.81	1.21(1.14,1.28)	1.08(1.02,1.14)	1.07(1.01,1.13)	1.08(1.02,1.14)	1.12(1.06,1.19)
	110-119	32551	6851	251266	27.27	1.08(1.04,1.12)	1.04(1.00,1.07)	1.03(0.99,1.06)	1.03(1.00,1.07)	1.05(1.01,1.09)
	120-129	46530	9144	362578	25.22	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	130-139	70892	14076	552914	25.46	1.02(0.99,1.05)	1.04(1.01,1.07)	1.04(1.01,1.07)	1.04(1.00,1.07)	1.02(0.99,1.05)
	140-149	30001	6160	233665	26.36	1.05(1.01,1.09)	1.10(1.06,1.15)	1.10(1.06,1.14)	1.09(1.05,1.13)	1.06(1.02,1.10)
	150-159	19351	4046	150587	26.87	1.07(1.03,1.12)	1.12(1.08,1.17)	1.12(1.07,1.17)	1.10(1.06,1.15)	1.06(1.01,1.11)
	160≤	15694	3834	120488	31.82	1.30(1.24,1.36)	1.35(1.29,1.41)	1.33(1.27,1.39)	1.31(1.25,1.37)	1.23(1.17,1.29)
DBP	<60	3486	869	26326	33.01	1.30(1.19,1.42)	1.17(1.07,1.27)	1.15 (1.06,1.26)	1.16(1.06,1.26)	1.18(1.08,1.28)
	60-64	15631	3622	119203	30.39	1.24(1.17,1.31)	1.18(1.11,1.25)	1.17(1.10,1.24)	1.17(1.11,1.24)	1.18(1.12,1.25)
	65-69	14266	2635	111261	23.68	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)	1(Ref.)
	70-74	48346	10018	374433	26.76	1.14(1.08,1.19)	1.13(1.08,1.19)	1.13(1.08,1.19)	1.13(1.08,1.19)	1.13(1.08,1.19)
	75-79	24501	4671	191202	24.43	1.07(1.02,1.13)	1.12(1.06,1.18)	1.12(1.06,1.18)	1.12(1.06,1.18)	1.11(1.05,1.17)
	80-84	64746	13252	503830	26.30	1.14(1.09,1.19)	1.17(1.12,1.23)	1.18(1.12,1.23)	1.17(1.12,1.23)	1.16(1.10,1.21)
	85-89	18792	3662	147244	24.87	1.11(1.05,1.17)	1.17(1.11,1.24)	1.18(1.12,1.25)	1.17(1.11,1.24)	1.15(1.08,1.22)
	90-94	23623	5125	183735	27.89	1.22(1.16,1.29)	1.29(1.22,1.36)	1.29(1.22,1.36)	1.28(1.21,1.35)	1.25(1.17,1.32)
	95≤	12172	2772	93964	29.50	1.34(1.26,1.43)	1.42(1.34,1.51)	1.42(1.33,1.51)	1.40(1.31,1.49)	1.34(1.25,1.44)

Model 1; Age, sex

Model 2: Age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, Charlson Comorbidity Index

Model 3: Age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medication, fasting plasma glucose. Charlson Comorbidity Index

Model 4: Age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medication, fasting plasma glucose, hypertension medication, Charlson Comorbidity Index

Model 5: Age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise, body mass index, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, insulin treatment, number of oral diabetes medication, fasting plasma glucose, hypertension medication, Charlson Comorbidity Index, systolic or diastolic blood pressure

Figure S1. Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival and Incidence probability by eight groups of systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure for composite primary outcomes.




Figure S2. Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival and Incidence probability by eight groups of systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure for myocardial infarction (A), stroke (B), heart failure (C) and all-cause death (D)

