# **CRYSTALLIZATION P 55-58**

# Purification, crystallization and X-ray crystallographic analysis of RPTPH

# Myeongbin Kim and Seong Eon Ryu\*

Department of Bioengineering, College of Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea \*Correspondence: ryuse@hanyang.ac.kr

Receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs) belong to the protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) family and play a critical role in cell signaling. RPTPH, a type of RPTP, consists of long extracellular domains, a transmembrane domain, and a single intracellular domain with phosphatase activity. RPTPH is involved in phosphorylation of target proteins involved in the AKT signaling pathway and regulates T-cell function and cell apoptosis. The protein is also implicated in progression of colorectal and lung cancers. Despite the importance of RPTPH in tumor-related cell signaling and therapeutic drug development, the structure of this enzyme has not yet been determined. We overexpressed, purified, and crystallized the catalytic domain of RPTPH. The RPTPH crystal diffracted at a resolution of 1.56 Å. It belonged to the space group P3<sub>2</sub> with unit cell parameters a = b = 56.46 Å, c = 80.45 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$ , and  $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$ .

# **INTRODUCTION**

Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) dephosphorylate phosphotyrosine and phosphothreonine residues on target proteins. These enzymes play a critical role in cell signaling and are associated with cell proliferation, apoptosis, and survival (Tonks, 2006). Receptor-type PTPs (RPTPs), a subfamily of PTPs, are membrane-bound phosphatases, consisting of a transmembrane domain, extracellular domains, and intracellular catalytic domains. The extracellular regions of RPTPs have variable forms with combination of repeated fibronectin type III (FN3) domains, an  $\alpha$ -carbonic anhydrase domain, and immunoglobulin-like domains. These regions interact with diverse ligands such as adhesion proteins, and proteoglycans, and control the oligomerization of RPTPs to affect enzyme activity (Coles et al., 2011; Mohebiany et al., 2013). The intracellular regions of RPTPs are composed of one or two catalytic domains with a canonical PTP fold comprising a central  $\beta$ -sheet surrounded by  $\alpha$ -helices. The catalytic cysteine resides in the shallow pocket formed by the P-loop at one side of the PTP-fold. The active site cysteine plays as an electrophile and prone to oxidation. These catalytic domains dephosphorylate substrates or interact with target proteins to regulate cell signaling (Tonks, 2006). RPTP regulation is involved in various signaling pathways associated with diseases such as cancers, neurological disorders, and rheumatoid arthritis (Nikolaienko et al., 2012; Doody et al., 2015; Ohtake et al., 2018). Therefore, RPTPs are regarded as a promising drug target, and efforts to develop such drugs are ongoing (Xu and Fisher, 2012; Zhang et al., 2019).

RPTPH, or stomach cancer-associated protein tyrosine phosphatase 1 (SAP1), is an RPTP with extracellular FN3 domains and a single intracellular catalytic domain. The latter has the signature HCX5R motif in the active site, as other PTPs do, showing a phosphatase activity. Several studies have shown that RPTPH directly regulates the phosphorylation level of tyrosine protein kinases involved in the AKT signaling pathway, regulating T-cell function and cell apoptosis (Takada et al., 2002; Ito et al., 2003). Colorectal, and lung cancers also implicate RPTPH (Seo et al., 1997; Sato et al., 2015). Structural studies on the catalytic domains of PTPs provided insightful information on their therapeutic potential (Zhang, 2017). However, the structural features of RPTPH have not yet been elucidated. Here, we report the crystallization of the RPTPH catalytic domain for structure determination at an atomic resolution.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The oligonucleotide encoding the RPTPH catalytic domain was amplified and cloned into the pET28a expression plasmid of Escherichia coli. The HRV-3C protease recognition site was added to the gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The recombinant protein was expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) and purified by nickel affinity chromatography and gel filtration chromatography. The N-terminal tag for affinity chromatography was cleaved by HRV-3C protease. Because the wild-type RPTPH catalytic domain was unstable, the active site cysteine (C1020) and the surface-exposed cysteine (C818) were mutated to serine and alanine, respectively (C1020S and C818A). The active site cysteine is prone to oxidation and often mutated to serine in previous PTP structures. The position and characteristics of these residues were predicted by sequence alignment with a receptor-type PTP RPTPJ of which structures have been determined previously (PDB ID: 2CFV and 2NZ6). The mutant protein showed improved stability when purified using the same procedure as the wild-type protein. SDS-PAGE analysis showed that the protein had a high purity (Figure 1). The RPTPH sample was concentrated to 20 mg/ml for crystallization.

Initial crystallization was conducted by using commercial kits.

Crystals were obtained within 2 days from a solution containing 0.1 M MES-NaOH pH 6.5 and 13% (v/v) polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether (PEGMME) 550. The crystals were confirmed to be protein by staining with Izit dye. The crystal used for data collection appeared in a 2:1 mixture of protein and reservoir solutions (Figure 2). Data collection was conducted at beamline 7A at Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (Park et al., 2017). The crystal was soaked in a cryo-protectant solution [0.1 M MES-NaOH pH 6.5, 40% (v/v) PEGMME 550, and 10% glycerol] for 10 seconds for cryo-protection, then loaded onto a nylon loop of 0.05 - 0.1 mm size. The RPTPH crystal diffracted to a resolution of 1.56 Å, belonging to the space group P3, with unit cell parameters a = b = 56.46 Å, c = 80.45 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$ (Figure 3). The solvent content of the crystal was calculated to be 45.6% with Matthew's coefficient of 2.26 Å<sup>3</sup>/Da, indicating that the asymmetric unit contained a single molecule of RPTPH.

To conduct a molecular replacement (MR) process, the initial search model was prepared by the program Sculptor in Phenix (Liebschner et al., 2019). The crystal structure of RPTPJ catalytic domain (PDB entry 2NZ6) with sequence identity 47.9% was selected as an input model. The software Phaser was used

to solve the structure from the prepared model with sufficient scores (TFZ = 30.9, and LLG = 914.5) (McCoy et al., 2007). The initial refinement of MR solution resulted in the values of R-work/ R-free of 32.8% and 35.6%, respectively. Further refinement and manual model building were performed to obtain a high quality crystal structure of RPTPH. The current R-work/R-free values are 17.8% and 20.7%, respectively.

# METHODS

### **Protein expression**

The gene encoding human RPTPH (residues 798-1086; Uniprot Q9HD43-1) was amplified using PCR, and HRV-3C protease and restriction enzyme recognition sequences were inserted. The PCR product and pET28a plasmid DNA were digested by Ndel and Xhol restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs) and purified by agarose gel extraction. These nucleotides were ligated at room temperature for an hour by T4 DNA ligase (Enzynomics). Two mutations for cysteine residues were introduced using the Quikchange mutagenesis (Stratagene) protocol. The nucleotide sequences were confirmed using a DNA sequencing service



FIGURE 1 I Purified RPTPH catalytic domain protein on SDSpolyacrylamide gel. (A) The first lane is the molecular weight marker, and the other lanes (2-10) are the elution fractions of size exclusion chromatography. (B) The size exclusion chromatography profile of RPTPH purification. Samples of lane 2-10 in (a) are indicated above the X-axis. X and Y-axis represent elution volume and absorption unit, respectively (inset: elution peak volumes of RPTPH and standard proteins).



FIGURE 2 I A crystal of the RPTPH catalytic domain protein. The approximate dimension of the crystal is 0.20 × 0.08 × 0.08 mm.



FIGURE 3 I Representative X-ray diffraction image of the RPTPH crystal. Three dashed lines represent resolution ranges of 2.7 Å, 1.9 Å, and 1.6 Å.

(Bionics). Table 1 presents the primer sequences used to manipulate the DNA.

### Purification of the recombinant protein

Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with the pET28a expression vector encoding the recombinant protein. Cells were cultured at 37°C in LB medium with 30 µg/ml kanamycin until OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.6. To induce protein overexpression, isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside with a final concentration of 0.1 mM was added to the culture medium. Cells were further incubated at 18°C for 16 hours and then harvested by centrifugation. The pellets were resuspended and disrupted by sonication in a lysis buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 7 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). The soluble fraction of the lysate was separated by high-speed centrifugation and applied to a column filled with nickel affinity chromatography resin (Qiagen). Subsequently, the resin was washed with a wash buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 30 mM imidazole). The recombinant protein was eluted with a buffer containing 500 mM imidazole, and this was followed by a buffer exchange (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). The N-terminal hexa-histidine tag was cleaved by HRV-3C protease at 4°C for 18 hours. After cleavage, the protein solution was applied to a nickel affinity chromatography resin to eliminate uncleaved proteins. The protein was further purified using a

### TABLE 1 I Protein production

Source organism	Homo sapiens
Forward primer for cloning	GGCC <u>CATATG</u> CTGGAAGTTCTGTTCCAGGGACC TGGAGATATACCC
Reverse primer for cloning	GGCC <u>CTCGAG</u> TTATGCTTGCGCAGA
Forward primer for mutagenesis (C818A)	GAACGTGATAGCAACGCCGGTTTTGCTGACGAA
Reverse primer for mutagenesis (C818A)	TTCGTCAGCAAAACCGGCGTTGCTATCACGTTC
Forward primer for mutagenesis (C1020S)	CGCCTATTGTTCACTCCTCTGCCGGTGTGGG
Reverse primer for mutagenesis (C1020S)	CCCACACCGGCAGAGGAGTGAACAATAGGCG
Expression vector	pET28a
Expression host	E. coli BL21 (DE3)
Complete amino acid sequence of the construct produced	MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHMLEVLFQGPGDIP AEDFADHVRKNERDSNAGFADEYQQLSLVGHSQ SQMVASASENNAKNRYRNVLPYDWSRVPLKPIH EEPGSDYINASFMPGLWSPQEFIATQGPLPQTVG DFWRLVWEQQSHTLVMLTNCMEAGRVKCEHYW PLDSQPCTHGHLRVTLVGEEVMENWTVRELLLLQ VEEQKTLSVRQFHYQAWPDHGVPSSPDTLLAFW RMLRQWLDQTMEGGPPIVHSSAGVGRTGTLIAL DVLLRQLQSEGLLGPFSFVRKMRESRPLMVQTE AQYVFLHQCILRFLQQSAQA

The underlined nucleotide sequences are for restriction enzyme recognition site. The underlined residues are a hexa-histidine tag and protease recognition site. Sephacryl S-100 gel filtration chromatography column (Cytiva) equilibrated with a gel filtration chromatography buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM dithiothreitol). The protein was concentrated to 20 mg/ml and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. Table 1 shows the protein production information.

## Crystallization

Crystallization was performed at 291 K using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method. Initial crystallization was conducted by mixing equal volumes of protein and reservoir solutions from commercial kits with the liquid handling system Mosquito (STP Labtech). The crystallization drops were incubated in a 96-well crystallization plate sealed with clear sealing tape. The initial crystal appeared after 2 days. Staining with Izit dye (Hampton Research) was performed to confirm whether the crystals were

# TABLE 2 I Crystallization

Method	Sitting-drop vapor-diffusion
Plate	96-well 2-drop MRC crystallization plates (Swissci)
Temperature	18°C
Protein concentration	20 mg/ml
Composition of protein solution	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM dithiothreitol
Composition of reservoir solution	0.1 M MES-NaOH pH 6.5, 13% (v/v) PEGMME 550
Volume and ratio of drop	0.8 μl protein solution and 0.4 μl reservoir solution (2:1)
Volume of reservoir	70 μl

### TABLE 3 I Data collection statistics

Diffraction source	Beamline 7A, PAL
Wavelength (Å)	0.97933
Temperature (K)	100
Crystal-detector distance (mm)	180
Rotation range per image (°)	1
Total rotation range (°)	180
Exposure time per image (sec)	0.5
Space group	P3 <sub>2</sub>
a, b, c (Å)	56.46, 56.46, 80.45
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 90.00, 120.00
Resolution range (Å)	24.45 – 1.56 (1.62 – 1.56)*
Total number of reflection	149080
Number of unique reflection	38471
Completeness (%)	94.2 (87.7)
R <sub>merge</sub> (%)	6.9 (31.7)
l/σl	10.6 (2.9)
CC <sub>1/2</sub>	0.997 (0.389)
Redundancy	3.9 (2.5)

\*The values in the parenthesis are for the highest resolution shell.

protein molecules or inorganic compounds. The crystal used for data collection appeared and grew in drops containing a 2:1 ratio of protein solution and reservoir solution (0.1 M MES-NaOH pH 6.5, 13% (v/v) PEGMME 550). Table 2 presents detailed information on the crystallization process.

### **Data collection and processing**

X-ray crystallographic data were collected on beamline 7A at Pohang Accelerator Laboratory. Prior to diffraction, the crystal was transferred into 1.0  $\mu$ l of cryoprotectant solution (0.1 M MES-NaOH pH 6.5, 40% (v/v) PEGMME 550, and 10% glycerol) and flash-frozen in a nitrogen stream at 100 K. Datasets were collected by rotating the crystal with an exposure time of 0.5 seconds per frame of one degree. The program HKL-2000 was used to process, merge, and scale the diffraction datasets (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The MR solution was obtained using the crystal structure of RPTPJ (PDB entry 2NZ6) as an initial model and the programs Xtriage, Scultor, Phaser, and phenix.refine in Phenix suite were used for the structure determination (Liebschner et al., 2019). Table 3 shows the data collection statistics.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the staff members of beamline 7A at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL) for diffraction data collection. This work was supported by the biomedical technology development projects, National Research Foundation, KOREA [NRF-2015M3A9B5030302 and NRF-2021M3A9G8024747].

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Original Submission: Aug 17, 2021 Revised Version Received: Sep 14, 2021 Accepted: Sep 14, 2021

### REFERENCES

Coles, C.H., Shen, Y., Tenney, A.P., Siebold, C., Sutton, G.C., Lu, W., Gallagher, J.T., Jones, E.Y., Flanagan, J.G., and Aricescu, A.R. (2011). Proteoglycan-specific molecular switch for RPTP<sub> $\sigma$ </sub> clustering and neuronal extension. *Science* **332**, 484-488.

Doody, K.M., Stanford, S.M., Sacchetti, C., Svensson, M.N., Coles, C.H., Mitakidis, N., Kiosses, W.B., Bartok, B., Fos, C., Cory, E., Sah, R.L., Liu-Bryan, R., Boyle, D.L., Arnett, H.A., Mustelin, T., et al. (2015). Targeting phosphatase-dependent proteoglycan switch for rheumatoid arthritis

### therapy. Sci Transl Med 7, 288ra276.

Ito, T., Okazawa, H., Maruyama, K., Tomizawa, K., Motegi, S., Ohnishi, H., Kuwano, H., Kosugi, A., and Matozaki, T. (2003). Interaction of SAP-1, a transmembrane-type protein-tyrosine phosphatase, with the tyrosine kinase Lck. Roles in regulation of T cell function. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 34854-34863.

Liebschner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B., Hung, L.W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J., Moriarty, N.W., Oeffner, R.D., Poon, B.K., Prisant, M.G., Read, R.J., et al. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **75**, 861-877.

McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**, 658-674.

Mohebiany, A.N., Nikolaienko, R.M., Bouyain, S., and Harroch, S. (2013). Receptor-type tyrosine phosphatase ligands: looking for the needle in the haystack. *FEBS J* **280**, 388-400.

Nikolaienko, R.M., Agyekum, B., and Bouyain, S. (2012). Receptor protein tyrosine phosphatases and cancer: new insights from structural biology. *Cell Adh Migr* **6**, 356-364.

Ohtake, Y., Saito, A., and Li, S. (2018). Diverse functions of protein tyrosine phosphatase  $\sigma$  in the nervous and immune systems. *Exp Neurol* **302**, 196-204.

Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol* **276**, 307-326.

Park, S.Y., Ha, S.C., and Kim, Y.G. (2017). The protein crystallography beamlines at the Pohang Light Source II. *Biodesign* **5**, 30-34.

Sato, T., Soejima, K., Arai, E., Hamamoto, J., Yasuda, H., Arai, D., Ishioka, K., Ohgino, K., Naoki, K., Kohno, T., Tsuta, K., Watanabe, S., Kanai, Y., and Betsuyaku, T. (2015). Prognostic implication of PTPRH hypomethylation in non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncol Rep* **34**, 1137-1145.

Seo, Y., Matozaki, T., Tsuda, M., Hayashi, Y., Itoh, H., and Kasuga, M. (1997). Overexpression of SAP-1, a transmembrane-type protein tyrosine phosphatase, in human colorectal cancers. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **231**, 705-711.

Takada, T., Noguchi, T., Inagaki, K., Hosooka, T., Fukunaga, K., Yamao, T., Ogawa, W., Matozaki, T., and Kasuga, M. (2002). Induction of apoptosis by stomach cancer-associated protein-tyrosine phosphatase-1. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 34359-34366.

Tonks, N.K. (2006). Protein tyrosine phosphatases: from genes, to function, to disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **7**, 833-846.

Xu, Y., and Fisher, G.J. (2012). Receptor type protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs) - roles in signal transduction and human disease. *J Cell Commun Signal* **6**, 125-138.

Zhang, Y., Roos, M., Himburg, H., Termini, C.M., Quarmyne, M., Li, M., Zhao, L., Kan, J., Fang, T., Yan, X., Pohl, K., Diers, E., Jin Gim, H., Damoiseaux, R., Whitelegge, J., et al. (2019). PTP $_{\sigma}$  inhibitors promote hematopoietic stem cell regeneration. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3667.

Zhang, Z.Y. (2017). Drugging the undruggable: therapeutic potential of targeting protein tyrosine phosphatases. *Acc Chem Res* **50**, 122-129.