

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Osteoporosis and Sarcopenia

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/afos



Editorial

The Asian Federation of Osteoporosis Societies' call to action to improve the undertreatment of osteoporosis in Asia



Osteoporosis and its associated fractures lead to significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. Asia is not exempt, as it has been estimated that over 50% of all the hip fractures in the world will occur in Asia by 2050 [1]. A recent review estimated that around 30% of the hip fractures occurring worldwide will arise in Asian populations, most notably in China [2], due to an increasingly ageing population. The age-adjusted incidence of hip fracture among men and women in China [2,3], Japan [2], and Thailand [4] are increasing, with a possible stabilization of the rates in Hong Kong and Singapore [2].

The increased mortality following osteoporotic fractures has also been shown in Asian populations. A multicentre survey from mainland China found that the mortality was doubled after osteoporotic vertebral and hip fractures compared to that of the healthy population [5]. The 1-year mortality after a hip and vertebral fracture was 3.8% and 3.1% respectively, compared to 1.6% in the nonfracture population. Overall, the 5-year mortality was 16.9% [5]. In Taiwan, the 1-year mortality after a low-energy trauma hip fracture was found to be 12.4% [6]. The 1-year mortality rate after hip fracture was 23.9% in Korean nursing home residents [7]. Morbidity with impaired self-care ability was also high, with 40.6% affected after a hip fracture in the aforementioned study in mainland China [5]. The risk of a second osteoporotic fracture following the first osteoporotic fracture is increased by up to 4 times compared to those who have not yet had a fracture [8]. Thus, treating these high-risk patients should be a key priority.

Despite the significant mortality and morbidity following osteoporotic fractures, there is a lack of recognition of the importance of osteoporosis, with very few Asian countries making osteoporosis one of their national health priorities [9].

The ability to diagnose osteoporosis can pose a challenge in Asia. Many countries in Asia have predominant rural populations where there is difficulty in getting access to health care professionals who are knowledgeable about osteoporosis. In addition, access to bone mineral density measurements with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is highly variable, with many Asian countries having less than 1 DXA machine per million population [9], when the recommendation is 10.6 DXA units per million of the general population [10].

Antiosteoporosis medication (AOM) have been shown to be very effective in significantly reducing future osteoporotic fractures [11]. However, even when osteoporosis has been identified after a fragility fracture, a treatment gap remains. The low rate of

treatment with AOM following a fragility fracture has been welldocumented in studies from North America and Europe. Unfortunately, this treatment gap is also present in Asia. In a multicentre Asian study, only 33.0% of patients after a hip fracture received prescription medications for osteoporosis at 6 months after discharge [12]. In a study from mainland China, 69.6% of the patients received treatment after their fragility fractures, but 39.6% of them only received calcium with/without vitamin D supplementation [5]. In contrast, a single centre study from Malaysia showed that only 36.8% of the patients received treatment after a low trauma hip fracture, and out of these, 24.2% were on calcium/vitamin D only [13]. Similar results were seen in Korea, with one-third of the patients (33.5%) receiving an AOM prescription and only 9.4% of the patients were prescribed an AOM with calcium and vitamin D supplements after a hip fracture [14]. Even among those who received an AOM, the long-term compliance remains a problem. In the study from China, 33.1% of the study population continued their treatment for over 2 years [5]. In contrast, the median duration of treatment was only 1 month in the Malaysian study [13].

In addition, the low rate of treatment after osteoporotic fractures has been exacerbated by the fact that the number of patients being treated has actually fallen in the recent years, leaving more people at risk. In a study from the United States, treatment rates after an osteoporotic fracture declined from 23.8% to 10.6% in 2001-2002 to 15.9% and 8.5% in 2007-2009 in women and men respectively [15]. In Malaysia, treatment after a low-trauma hip fracture decreased from 56.7% in 2010 to 30.2% in 2014 [13]. It has been postulated that patients' concern about potential side effects of treatment, especially regarding one of the major treatment options—the bisphosphonates, has contributed to this declining treatment rate. After reports of adverse effects of bisphosphonates started to surface, a study linked an increase in internet searches for bisphosphonates between 2006 and 2010 with a subsequent reduction in oral bisphosphonate use by greater than 50% between 2008 and 2012 [16].

Thus, we have a potential "perfect storm" of circumstances that can create an epidemic of osteoporotic fractures in Asia in the near future. Firstly, general improvements in life expectancy will lead to an increase in the ageing population in Asia that will be at risk of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures. Secondly, limited diagnostic facilities and/or the lack of health professionals trained in osteoporosis may lead to an underdiagnosis of the problem. However, even after a diagnosis of osteoporosis has been made, doctors and/or patients can be reluctant to start treatment due to concerns about potential rare side effects of osteoporosis medication. Hence,

many patients who are at a high risk of future fractures remain untreated.

We therefore urge all the stakeholders involved in management of patients with osteoporosis to:

- make osteoporosis a national health priority in the respective member countries of the Asian Federation of Osteoporosis Societies.
- continue with educational programmes to inform health professionals on the positive risk-benefit ratio of treating osteoporosis.
- increase awareness among the public on the importance of treating osteoporosis and the positive risk-benefit ratio for the treatment options available.
- take measures to increase secondary fracture prevention, both in the identification of cases and in ensuring adequate treatment after the first osteoporotic fracture. Patients who have had recent fractures should be systematically identified using models of health care delivery that have been shown to be effective, for example, via a Fracture Liaison Service [17—19].

Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgments

This article was prepared on behalf of the AFOS (Asian Federation of Osteoporosis Societies) Call-To-Action Committee by Seng Bin Ang (Family Medicine Service and Menopause Unit, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore), Manoj Chadha (Department of Medicine, Hinduja Hospital, Mumbai, India), Ding-Cheng Chan (Superintendent Office, National Taiwan University Hospital Chu-Tung Branch, Zhudong Township, Taiwan), Wai Sin Chan (Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Centro Hospitalar Conde S. Januário, Macau), Eddie SL Chow (Department of Medicine & Geriatrics, Tuen Mun Hospital, Hong Kong SAR), Yoon-Sok Chung (Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea), Miles Francis Dela Rosa (Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Far Eastern University - Nicanor Reyes Medical Foundation, Quezon City, the Philippines), Fen Lee Hew (Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia), Jawl-Shan Hwang (Department of Internal Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan), Unnop Jaisamrarn (Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand), Tang Ching Lau (Division of Rheumatology, National University Hospital, Singapore), Julie Tan Li-Yu (Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Santo Tomas, Manila, the Philippines), Hou Ng (Department of Internal Medicine, Centro Hospitalar Conde S. Januário, Macau), Ye-Soo Park (Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Guri Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Guri, Korea), Alejandro V Pineda (Department of Family Medicine, University of Santo Tomas, Manila, the Philippines), Thawee Songpatanasilp (Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Phramongkutklao College of Medicine, Bangkok, Thailand), Yasuhiro Takeuchi (Toranomon Hospital Endocrine Center, Tokyo, Japan), Chih-Hsing Wu (Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City, Taiwan), Weibo Xia (Department of Endocrinology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China), Swan Sim Yeap (Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia).

References

- [1] Cooper C, Campion G, Melton 3rd LJ. Hip fractures in the elderly: a world-wide projection. Osteoporos Int 1992:2:285–9.
- [2] Cooper C, Cole ZA, Holroyd CR, Earl SC, Harvey NC, Dennison EM, et al. Secular trends in the incidence of hip and other osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 2011;22:1277–88.
- [3] Xia WB, He SL, Xu L, Liu AM, Jiang Y, Li M, et al. Rapidly increasing rates of hip fracture in Beijing, China. J Bone Min Res 2012;27:125–9.
- [4] Wongtriratanachai P, Luevitoonvechkij S, Songpatanasilp T, Sribunditkul S, Leerapun T, Phadungkiat S, et al. Increasing incidence of hip fracture in Chiang Mai, Thailand. J Clin Densitom 2013;16:347–52.
- [5] Wang O, Hu Y, Gong S, Xue Q, Deng Z, Wang L, et al. A survey of outcomes and management of patients post fragility fractures in China. Osteoporos Int 2015;26:2631–40.
- [6] Hung LW, Tseng WJ, Huang GS, Lin J. High short-term and long-term excess mortality in geriatric patients after hip fracture: a prospective cohort study in Taiwan. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014:15:151.
- [7] Cho HM, Lee K, Min W, Choi YS, Lee HS, Mun HJ, et al. Survival and functional outcomes after hip fracture among nursing home residents. J Korean Med Sci 2016;31:89–97.
- [8] Klotzbuecher CM, Ross PD, Landsman PB, Abbott 3rd TA, Berger M. Patients with prior fractures have an increased risk of future fractures: a summary of the literature and statistical synthesis. J Bone Min Res 2000;15:721–39.
- [9] The Asia-Pacific Regional Audit: Epidemiology, costs & burden of osteoporosis in 2013, a report from the International Osteoporosis Foundation [Internet]. Nyon (Switzerland): International Osteoporosis Foundation; c2017 [cited 2017 Oct 13]. Available from: https://www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/ files/media/PDFs/Regional%20Audits/2013-Asia_Pacific_Audit-Key_Findings_ 0_0.pdf.
- [10] Kanis JA, Johnell O. Requirements for DXA for the management of osteoporosis in Europe. Osteoporos Int 2005;16:229–38.
- [11] Compston J, Cooper A, Cooper C, Gittoes N, Gregson C, Harvey N, et al. UK clinical guideline for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Arch Osteoporos 2017;12:43.
- [12] Kung AW, Fan T, Xu L, Xia WB, Park IH, Kim HS, et al. Factors influencing diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis after a fragility fracture among postmenopausal women in Asian countries: a retrospective study. BMC Womens Health 2013:13:7.
- [13] Yeap SS, Nur Fazirah MF, Nur Aisyah C, Zahari Sham SY, Samsudin IN, Thambiah SC, et al. Trends in post osteoporotic hip fracture care from 2010 to 2014 in a private hospital in Malaysia. Osteoporos Sarcopenia 2017;3: 112—6
- [14] Yu YM, Lee JY, Lee E. Access to anti-osteoporosis medication after hip fracture in Korean elderly patients. Maturitas 2017;103:54–9.
- [15] Balasubramanian A, Tosi LL, Lane JM, Dirschl DR, Ho PR, O'Malley CD, et al. Declining rates of osteoporosis management following fragility fractures in the U.S., 2000 through 2009. J Bone Jt Surg Am 2014;96:e52.
- [16] Jha S, Wang Z, Laucis N, Bhattacharyya T. Trends in media reports, oral bisphosphonate prescriptions, and hip fractures 1996-2012: an ecological analysis. J Bone Min Res 2015;30:2179–87.
- [17] Ganda K, Puech M, Chen JS, Speerin R, Bleasel J, Center JR, et al. Models of care for the secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int 2013;24:393—406.
- [18] International Osteoporosis Foundation. Capture the Fracture Programme [Internet]. Nyon (Switzerland): International Osteoporosis Foundation; c2017 [cited 2017 October 5]. Available from: https://www.iofbonehealth. org/capture-fracture.
- [19] Wu CH, Tu ST, Chang YF, Chan DC, Chien JT, Lin CH, et al. Fracture liaison services improve outcomes of patients with osteoporosis-related fractures: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Sarcopenia 2017;3(3 Suppl):551–2.

Swan Sim Yeap* Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Unnop Jaisamrarn Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Ye-Soo Park Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Guri Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Guri, Korea

> Yasuhiro Takeuchi Toranomon Hospital Endocrine Center, Tokyo, Japan

Weibo Xia Department of Endocrinology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China for the AFOS Call-To-Action Committee¹ * Corresponding author. Department of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, No 1, Jalan SS12/1A, Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.

E-mail address: swanyeap@gmail.com (S.S. Yeap).

7 November 2017 Available online 29 November 2017

 $[\]overline{^1}$ The Members of the AFOS (Asian Federation of Osteoporosis Societies) Call-To-Action Committee are listed in Acknowledgments section.