CLINICAL TRIAL



Dose-related effect of statins in patients with endovascular coiling or microsurgical clipping for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: updated study-level meta-analysis

Kyu-Sun Choi¹ · Jae Min Kim¹ · Hyeong-Joong Yi¹ · Seon-Heui Lee² · Taeho Lim³ · Wonhee Kim⁴ · Youngsuk Cho⁴ · Jin-Hwan Cheong¹

Received: 8 December 2016 / Accepted: 17 February 2017 / Published online: 14 March 2017 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

Abstract

Purpose We aimed to quantitatively assess the effects of short-term statin use on delayed ischemic neurologic deficits (DINDs) and clinical outcomes in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) through a meta-analysis of the available evidence.

Methods We searched the electronic databases up to April 8, 2016 to retrieve relevant studies comparing the outcomes between immediate statin-treated in statin-naïve patients and untreated patients following aneurysmal SAH. Meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3.

Results Eight randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) and 5 observational studies involving 2148 patients met the eligibility criteria. In the RCTs, statins were found to significantly reduce the occurrence of DINDs (relative risk (RR), 0.76; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.61–0.94; P = 0.01), but did not significantly reduce poor functional outcomes (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.87–1.16; P = 0.93) or mortality (RR,

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00228-017-2221-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Seon-Heui Lee sunarea87@gachon.ac.kr

- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea
- ² Department of Nursing Science, College of Nursing, Gachon University, 191 Hambakmoero, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 406-799, South Korea
- ³ Department of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea
- ⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, Hallym University, Seoul, South Korea

0.80; 95% CI, 0.58–1.11; P = 0.18). In observational studies, statin use was not associated with any reduction in DINDs, poor outcome, or mortality. Meta-analysis of RCTs indicated a significant reduction in DINDs and mortality in patients with high-dose statin use (RR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.42–0.95; P = 0.03; $I^2 = 0\%$; and RR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.15–0.86; P = 0.02; $I^2 = 0\%$, respectively).

Conclusion The present meta-analysis suggests that statin use may prevent DINDs in patients with aneurysmal SAH. Based on our findings, the role of statins in improving neurological outcome was limited. However, the risk of DINDs and mortality decreased with higher statin doses in a dose-dependent manner. Hence, further well-designed RCTs with modified protocols in specific patients are required.

Keywords Subarachnoid hemorrhage · Vasospasm · Delayed ischemic neurological deficit · Statins · Meta-analysis

Introduction

Cerebral vasospasm that follows subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) usually occurs between 7 and 10 days after ictus and spontaneously resolves after 21 days [1]. The onset of cerebral ischemia and infarction is speculated to be multifactorial, and involves distal microcirculatory failure, reduced collateral circulation, and genetic or physiological variations in cellular tolerance to ischemia [2, 3]. Delayed ischemic neurological deficits (DINDs), related to cerebral vasospasm, occur in 20–30% of patients with aneurysmal SAH and is a major cause of morbidity and mortality due to SAH [4, 5].

Several ongoing studies seek to prevent cerebral vasospasm and ischemic complications, on the basis of previous basic studies that have highlighted the decisive role of endothelial dysfunction at the microcirculatory level [6]. Some clinical trials have investigated the efficacy of statin, endothelin-1 antagonist, and magnesium sulfate on cerebral vasospasm [7]. Although extensive research on this pathophysiologic mechanism has been conducted, no effective prophylactic therapy has been elucidated thus far.

Several recent studies have evaluated the efficacy of statins for DINDs. The pleiotropic effects of statins, including endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression, decreased oxidative stress, and decreased microclot formation, are reportedly effective for experimental SAH [8, 9]. Three randomized controlled trials (RCTs; 2 involving 80 mg simvastatin and 1 involving 40 mg pravastatin) have confirmed the efficacy of statin; however, recently conducted RCTs do no support its clinical efficacy [10–14]. Furthermore, recent meta-analysis showed that statins decrease DINDs and mortality, without any effects on the functional outcome [15]. Thus, the effects of early statin treatment in patients with aneurysmal SAH remain controversial. Moreover, most of the studies used statins with varying potency, and hence, the dose-related effect of statins for DINDs and the clinical outcomes after aneurysmal SAH remain unclear. Here, we conducted a metaanalysis of RCTs and observational studies to quantitatively assess the effects of short-term statin use on DINDs, functional outcome, and survival in patients with aneurysmal SAH.

Methods

We conducted an extensive search of databases to identify studies that evaluated the effects of statins in patients with aneurysmal SAH according to the Cochrane Review Method [16].

Search strategy

A literature search for systematic reviews was conducted using three English databases—Ovid-Medline, Ovid-EMBASE, and Cochrane Library—up to April 8, 2016. To ensure a highly sensitive search, we designed search strategies that included pertinent MeSH keywords, common keywords, and their comprehensive combination. Search strategies were modified for each database by using free text terms and controlled vocabularies. The details of the search strategies are described in Online Resource 1. There was no language restriction, and no filters of any kind were applied for the strategy. The bibliographies of the published relevant articles were also reviewed to identify additional publications. After removing duplications, 2002 references were imported for an initial screening based on the title and abstract.

Study selection

According to certain pre-determined study selection criteria, two reviewers (K.-S.C. and S.-H.L.) independently screened the titles and abstracts of the references to exclude irrelevant studies, and a full-text review was subsequently performed for potentially relevant articles. RCTs and observational studies published in English were included if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) studies that focused on patients with aneurysmal SAH only, (2) comparative studies between statin-treated and non-statintreated group in statin-naïve patients, and (3) studies that reported at least one of the following outcome measures with DINDs: cerebral vasospasm, functional outcome, or mortality. Studies were excluded if they (1) were not original articles or (2) were pre-clinical studies; conference abstracts were considered if only they had been confirmed as peer-reviewed RCTs.

Data extraction

The study characteristics and results of selected studies were extracted by two independent reviewers (K.-S.C. and S.-H.L.), using a standardized data collection form. Any disagreement unresolved by discussion was reviewed by the other co-authors (T.L. and Y.-S.C.). The following variables were extracted from the studies: first author, year of publication, country, study design, characteristics of the study population, protocol of statin treatment (type of statin, dosage used, and duration of statin use), definitions of vasospasm, DINDs, poor functional outcome, mortality, and potential side effects. The initial clinical assessment, as reflected by the World Federation of Neurosurgeons Societies (WFNS) grade or Hunt-Hess (H-H) grade was also recorded.

The outcomes of interest included the incidence of vasospasm (as detected via transcranial Doppler (TCD) or conventional angiography), DINDs, poor functional outcome, and mortality during follow-up. DIND was defined as the clinical manifestation of ischemia, regardless of the presence of radiographically confirmed vasospasm, not attributable to other causes such as rebleeding, hydrocephalus, or metabolic derangement. DINDs were described as "clinical/symptomatic vasospasm" or "delayed ischemic deficits" in some studies. We assessed the occurrence of DINDs as defined in individual studies. Poor functional outcome was evaluated by using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score or Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) score, based on the definitions of each study. If the above variables were not mentioned in the studies, we requested for the data via an email to each corresponding author.

Quality assessment

Quality assessment was also independently performed by the reviewers using the risk of bias tool of the Cochrane group. including selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, and reporting bias [16]. The methodological quality of the identified studies was assessed independently by K.-S.C. and S.-H.L. Reviewers selected the terms "low risk of bias," "high risk of bias," or "unclear" to define each study. Any unresolved disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through discussion or via review from the third author. Publication bias was not assessable in these trials. Tests for funnel plot asymmetry are generally only performed when at least 10 studies are included in the meta-analysis. As our analyses for each study design only included eight and five studies (for RCTs and observational studies, respectively), tests for asymmetry would be ineffective, as they would be unable to differentiate chance from asymmetry. We did not use the Cochrane Collaboration format to assess the risk bias of the observational studies included, and hence, only a qualitative description was made for each observational study.

Statistical analysis

In the main analysis, we investigated the association between statin use and DINDs/unfavorable functional outcome/ mortality after aneurysmal SAH. The results of RCTs and observational studies were pooled separately and then together. For dichotomous variables, a pooled relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated by using a fixed effects model in the absence of significant heterogeneity [17].

To assess heterogeneity, we estimated the proportion of between-study inconsistency via I^2 statistics, wherein values of 25, 50, and 75% were considered low, moderate, and high, respectively [18]. In addition, the chi square test with significance set at P < 0.10 was used to assess heterogeneity. When substantial heterogeneity was found, the random effects model was employed to pool the studies. A sensitivity analysis was performed by the sequential removal of individual studies, on at a time, and by the estimation of the overall pooled estimate for the remaining studies.

We conducted planned subgroup analyses based on the study design (RCTs versus observational studies), stain dose (higher versus lower statin dose), statin type (simvastatin versus other statins); type of predominant procedure (clipping versus coiling), proportion of high-grade patients (WFNS or H-H grade, ≥ 25 versus <25%), and methodological quality of the study (high versus low). Because well-designed RCTs or updated meta-analyses have a major impact on the primary study design, including study population, planned pattern of statin treatment, and clinical practice patterns, we evaluated the impact of publication date on the overall effect of pooled RRs for statin use via subgroup meta-analysis. We performed

all meta-analyses using Review Manager, version 5.3 (RevMan, Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014), and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study selection and characteristics

The process of identifying eligible studies is shown in Fig. 1. Searches of the databases led to the collection of 2338 articles. A total of 2002 studies remained after excluding the duplicate articles. Of these, 1899 irrelevant publications were excluded, based on the screening of titles and abstracts. A total of 103 potentially relevant studies were fully reviewed with the full text. Among them, 90 articles were excluded because of the following reasons: review articles (n = 15), abstracts from congresses (n = 27), animal studies (n = 15), letters (n = 16), gray literature (n = 2), study design does not fulfill the inclusion criteria (n = 10), shared identical population (n = 2), and study protocol (n = 2). Finally, 2148 patients from 13 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the meta-analysis [10–13, 19–27].



Fig. 1 Flow diagram for identification of relevant studies

The main characteristics of the 13 eligible publications are shown in Table 1. The selected studies included eight RCTs and five observational (four prospective) studies. A total of 1150 patients (53.5%) were assessed from 8 RCTs (555 received statins) [10-13, 19-22], whereas a total of 998 patients (46.5%) were assessed from 5 observational studies (504 received statins) [23-27]. Thus, the 13 eligible studies involved 1059 participants in the statin-treated group and 1089 in the non-statin-treated group. Two RCTs were published as abstracts, but had been peer-reviewed [20, 21]. Six of the eight RCTs explicitly stated that all analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis [10-13, 19, 20]. Statins were initially administered within 96 h of ictus in all of the included studies. Eight studies utilized "higher dose" statin (80 mg simvastatin or 40 mg atorvastatin), at an equivalent dose of 80 mg simvastatin per day for 14-21 days [11, 12, 19, 21-23, 26, 27]. In contrast, "lower dose" statin (40 mg pravastatin or 20-40 mg simvastatin), at an equivalent dose of $\leq 40 \text{ mg simvastatin}$, was used in 5 studies [10, 13, 20, 24, 25]. The SAH clinical grade was reported in 12 studies, and the initial status was comatose in 564 (27%) of 2109 patients (WFNS or H-H grades IV-V) [10, 12, 13, 19–27]. Deeply comatose patients (WFNS or H-H grade V) were excluded from two RCTs [12, 22]. The Fisher grade was described in 9 studies; 834 (44%) of 1899 patients were classified as Fisher grade IV [11, 13, 21-27]. The management of ruptured aneurysms markedly varied; microsurgical clipping was predominantly performed in seven studies [10, 12, 20, 22–24, 26], whereas the remaining were treated with endovascular coil embolization [11, 13, 19, 21, 25, 27].

Quality of the included studies

Details of our assessment of the quality of the included studies are presented in Online Resource 2. Six studies [10–13, 19, 22] included randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trials, whereas two studies [20, 21] were randomized only in terms of the choice of statin or non-statin treatment, without any blinding method. Five studies [10–13, 19] included an intention-to-treat analysis. All the observational studies included consecutive patients. The three more recent studies were prospectively performed in terms of outcome assessment [25–27]. All the five studies had a "before and after" design, and more recent patients were treated with statins. The investigators determining the outcomes were not blinded to patient information.

Effects of interventions

Effect of statin use on DINDs

All 13 studies involving 2148 patients reported the occurrence of DINDs. The authors' definitions for DINDs markedly varied in terms of the duration of focal neurologic deficits or neurologic deterioration, and the need for TCD or angiographic evidence of vasospasm. Four studies used a purely clinical definition of DINDs [12, 13, 19, 25], whereas other studies verified DINDs by clinical symptoms in combination with TCD or angiographically confirmed vasospasm [10, 11, 20–24, 26, 27].

In the RCTs, DIND developed in 19% (105/555) patients in the statin-treated group, as compared to 26% (153/595) patients in the non-statin-treated group. Pooled RCT data showed that acute statin treatment was associated with a reduced risk of DINDs after aneurysmal SAH (RR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.61–0.94; P = 0.01; 8 studies; 1150 patients; Fig. 2). In observational studies, DIND was observed in 27% (137/504) of statin-treated patients and 31% (152/494) of non-statintreated patients. However, the difference between the 2 groups was not statistically significant (RR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.72–1.06; P = 0.16; 5 studies; 998 patients; Fig. 2).

When all 13 studies were combined using a fixed effects model, acute statin treatment was found to be associated with a significant reduction in DINDs after aneurysmal SAH (RR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71–0.94; P = 0.006; 13 studies; 2148 patients; Fig. 2). However, there was no definite evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 35\%$; P = 0.10).

Effect of statin use on unfavorable functional outcomes

Functional outcomes were assessed based on the mRS or GOS score in 10 of 13 studies (6 RCTs: 1073 patients; 4 observational studies: 898 patients) [10, 12, 13, 19–21, 23, 25–27]. Unfavorable functional outcome was defined as an mRS score of 3–6 in four studies [10, 12, 13, 25] or a GOS score of 1–3 in the other six studies [19–21, 23, 26, 27]. Functional outcome was assessed at variable time intervals from ictus (at discharge, 3 months, 6 months, or 1 year).

Among the RCTs, an unfavorable functional outcome was observed in 41% (211/517) of cases in the statin-treated group, in comparison with 41% (226/556) of cases in the non-statin-treated group. The difference between the 2 groups was not statistically significant (RR, 1.01; 95%, CI 0.87–1.16; P = 0.93; 6 studies; 1073 patients; Fig. 3). In the observational studies, poor neurological outcome was noted in 27% (141/455) of statin-treated patients and 31% (126/443) of non-statin-treated patients. Meta-analysis showed that stain use did not improve the probability of good neurologic outcomes (RR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.88–1.31; P = 0.48; 4 studies, 898 patients; Fig. 3).

When we assessed all 10 studies based on the occurrence of unfavorable functional outcomes, as defined in individual studies, meta-analysis using a fixed effects model showed that acute statin treatment did not significantly reduce the functional disability after aneurysmal SAH (RR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.92–1.16; P = 0.60; 10 studies; 1971 patients; Fig. 3). There was also no statistical difference in the unfavorable outcome when

Table 1 Chara	acteristics	s of studies included in th	he review							
Study	Design	No. of patients statin/ control	Age, year (range)	Statin dose/potency	Started within duration	H-H or WFNS (%) $\geq IV$	Fisher ≥IV (%)	Clip/Coil (%)	DINDs	Unfavorable outcome
Tseng 2005 [10]	RCT	80 (40/40)	53 ± 12	Pravastatin 40 mg/day, L	72 h max 14 days or until D/C	32.5	1	65/16	DID: focal neurologic deficits or GCS drop ≥2 with TCD vasoresem	mRS ≥3 at D/C
Lynch 2005 [11]	RCT	39 (19/20)	56 ± 15	Simvastatin 20 ma/dav H	48 h 14 dave	I	S	44/56	Clinical impression of DID with TCD	I
Chou 2008 [12]	RCT	39 (19/20)	53 ± 13	so mg/day, H Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H	17 uays 96 h max 21 days or mutil D/C	23	I	85/15	DIND: GCS drop 22 or unaccountable new focal neurologic deficit 22 h	mRS ≥3 at D/C
Jaschinski 2008 [20]] RCT	98 (40/58)	I	Pravastatin 40 mo/dav T	24 h 24 h mrtil D/C	34	I	43/24	DID: change in neurological status or new infarct on CT	GOS at ICU D/C
Vergouwen 2009 [19]	RCT	32 (16/16)	54 ± 11	Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H	72 h 15 days	25	I	23/77	DCI: gradual deterioration with focal neurologic impairment and/or decreased level of	$GOS \le 3$ at 6 months
Macedo 2009 [21]	RCT	21 (11/10)	I	Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H	72 h 21 days	10	38	0/100	consciousness of GCS drop ≥2 Change in neurological status with angiographic	GOS at D/C
Garg 2013 [22]	RCT	38 (19/19)	4 9 ± 9	Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H	96 h 14 days	ω	0	100/0	vasospasm or new infarct on CI Clinical cerebral vasospasm: new ischemic neurologic deficits in the first 2 weeks after the ictus with TCD or angiographic	GOS/mRS at 6 months
Kirkpatrick 2014 [13]	RCT	803 (391/412)	50 (20–69)	Simvastatin 40 mg/day, L	96 h 21 days or until D/C	23	51	33/66	vasospasm DID: GCS drop ≥2	mRS ≥ 3 at 6 months
Kramer 2008 [23]	SO	150 (71/79)	55 (53–59)	Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H	72 h 14 days	32	31	53/47	Clinical vasospasm: change in neurological status and ≥moderate vasospasm and hybrician's dorision to treatment	$GOS \leq 3$ at 6 weeks
Kerz 2008 [24] V 2000 [25]	SO SO	100 (49/51)	55 (47–64)	Simvastatin 20, 40 mg/day, L	24 h 14 days 48 h	33 25	62 35	45/32 38/57	prosectants a uccession to treatment GCS drop 22 or a new focal deficit with angiographic vassospasm	
McGirt 2009 [26]	SO SO	340 (170/170)	53 ± 14	40 mg/day, L Simvastatin 80 mg/day, H		23 23	37	81/19	Symptomatic cerebral vasospasm: change in by the cerebral vasospasm: change in heurological status and either inprovement.	GOS ≤2 at D/C
Sanchez-Peña 2012 [27]	SO	278 (142/136)	53 ± 14	Atorvastatin 40 mg/day, H	96 h 21 days	32	50	17/83	with triple H therapy, delayed infarct on CT, or concomitant radiographic vasospasm The event of clinical deterioration or new symptoms with TCD vasospasm	GOS ≤3 at 1 year

Eur J Clin Pharmacol (2017) 73:1071-1081

No. number, H-H Hunt-Hess grade, WFNS World Federation of Neurosurgeons Societies grade, DINDs delayed ischemic neurologic deficits, RCT randomized controlled trial, OS observational study, H/L high/low, D/C discharge, DID delayed ischemic deficit, GCS Glasgow Coma Scale, TCD transcranial Doppler, DCI delayed cerebral ischemia, CT computed tomography, mRS modified Rankin scale, GOS Glasgow Outcome Scale

Fig. 2 Meta-analysis of relevant studies assessing DINDs according to statin treatment (fixed effects model)

	stati	n	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 RCT							
Chou 2008	7	19	10	20	3.3%	0.74 [0.35, 1.54]	
Garg 2013	5	19	8	19	2.7%	0.63 [0.25, 1.57]	
Jaschinski 2008	15	40	35	58	9.6%	0.62 [0.40, 0.98]	
Kirkpatrick 2014	64	391	67	412	21.8%	1.01 [0.74, 1.38]	+
Lynch 2005	5	19	12	20	3.9%	0.44 [0.19, 1.01]	
Macedo 2009	1	11	4	10	1.4%	0.23 [0.03, 1.71]	
Tseng 2005	2	40	12	40	4.0%	0.17 [0.04, 0.70]	
Vergouwen 2009	6	16	5	16	1.7%	1.20 [0.46, 3.15]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		555		595	48.3%	0.76 [0.61, 0.94]	•
Total events	105		153				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	2.26, df=	7 (P =	0.09); l ² =	= 43%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.51 (P	= 0.01)				
1.1.2 Observational st	udy						
Kern 2009	29	72	25	58	9.3%	0.93 [0.62, 1.41]	+
Kerz 2008	10	49	8	51	2.6%	1.30 [0.56, 3.02]	
Kramer 2008	23	71	20	79	6.3%	1.28 [0.77, 2.12]	+
McGirt 2009	43	170	52	170	17.4%	0.83 [0.59, 1.17]	-
Sanchez-Pena 2012	32	142	47	136	16.1%	0.65 [0.44, 0.96]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		504		494	51.7%	0.87 [0.72, 1.06]	•
Total events	137		152				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	.49, df = 4	(P = 0	.24); 2 =	27%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.40 (P	= 0.16	i)				
Total (95% CI)		1059		1089	100.0%	0.82 [0.71, 0.94]	•
Total events	242		305				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	8.49, df=	12 (P :	= 0.10); P	= 35%			
							0 01 01 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	.= 2.75 (P	' = U.UU	16)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100

analyzed according to the dose of statins. Moreover, there was no definite evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$; P = 0.92). These findings indicate that acute statin treatment may not affect functional outcome after aneurysmal SAH.

Effect of statin use on mortality

Twelve studies with 1870 patients were available for the analysis of mortality (8 RCTs: 1150 patients; 4 observational studies: 720 patients) [10–13, 19–26]. Among the RCTs, death occurred in 53 of 555 (10%) statin-treated patients as compared to 73 of 595 (12%) non-statin-treated patients. The pooled RR for mortality at the end of the scheduled followup period was 0.80, with a possible trend towards reduced mortality (95% CI, 0.58–1.11; P = 0.18; 8 studies: 1150 patients; Fig. 4). Although there was a trend towards reduced mortality for the statin-treated group, statistical significance was not achieved, possibly due to the overwhelming weight (48.1%) of the STASH trial in the pooled analysis, as a result of its large sample size (803 patients) and the high proportion of patients with events [13]. After excluding this article, the pooled RR for mortality was found to be 0.53 (95% CI, 0.29–0.96; P = 0.04; $I^2 = 10\%$). Among the observational studies, death occurred in 64 of 362 (18%) statin-treated patients as compared to 56 of 358 (16%) non-statin-treated patients. Statin use in the acute post-ictal period of aneurysmal SAH was not associated with any reduction in mortality (RR, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.81–1.56; P = 0.49; 4 studies: 720 patients; Fig. 4).

Fig. 3 Meta-analysis of relevant		statin	n	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H	, Fixed, 95%	CI	
studies assessing functional	1.2.1 RCT										
outcome according to statin	Chou 2008	12	19	10	20	2.8%	1.26 [0.72, 2.20]		+-		
treatment (fixed effects model)	Jaschinski 2008	16	40	28	58	6.6%	0.83 [0.52, 1.32]		-		
treatment (fixed effects filodel)	Kirkpatrick 2014	157	391	157	412	44.3%	1.05 [0.89, 1.25]		•		
	Macedo 2009	5	11	6	10	1.8%	0.76 [0.33, 1.72]		-		
	Tseng 2005	17	40	21	40	6.1%	0.81 [0.51, 1.29]		-+		
	Vergouwen 2009	4	16	4	16	1.2%	1.00 [0.30, 3.32]		_		
	Subtotal (95% CI)		517		556	62.8%	1.01 [0.87, 1.16]		•		
	Total events	211		226							
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.89, df = 5 (P = 0.72); l ² = 0%										
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.08 (P	= 0.93)							
	1.2.2 observational st	udy									
	Kern 2009	36	72	27	58	8.7%	1.07 [0.75, 1.54]		+		
	Kramer 2008	28	71	28	79	7.7%	1.11 [0.74, 1.68]		+		
	McGirt 2009	37	170	31	170	9.0%	1.19 [0.78, 1.83]		-		
	Sanchez-Pena 2012	40	142	40	136	11.8%	0.96 [0.66, 1.39]		+		
	Subtotal (95% CI)		455		443	37.2%	1.07 [0.88, 1.31]		•		
	Total events	141		126							
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = (0.63, df = 3	8 (P = 0	.89); l ² = l	0%						
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.71 (P	= 0.48	i)							
	Total (95% CI)		972		999	100.0%	1.03 [0.92, 1.16]		1		
	Total events	352		352							
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	3.79, df = 9	P = 0	.92); l² = l	0%						400
	Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.52 (P	= 0.60)				0.01 0.1	1	10	100
	Test for subaroup diffe	rences: C	hi² = 0.	27. df = 1	(P = 0	.60). I ² =	0%	Favours [st	atin] Favou	rs [co	ntrol]

Fig. 4 Meta-analysis of relevant studies assessing mortality according to statin treatment (fixed effects model) 1077

9	40	13	58	8.4%	1.00 [0.47, 2.12]				
37	391	35	412	26.8%	1.11 [0.72, 1.73]			-	
0	19	3	20	2.7%	0.15 [0.01, 2.72]	•			
2	11	6	10	5.0%	0.30 [0.08, 1.17]				
2	40	8	40	6.3%	0.25 [0.06, 1.11]				
2	16	2	16	1.6%	1.00 [0.16, 6.25]				
	555		595	55.8%	0.80 [0.58, 1.11]		•		
53		73							
10, df=	= 7 (P = 0	0.18); I ²	= 31%						
1.35 (P = 0.18))							
v									
15	72	8	58	7.0%	1.51 [0.69, 3.31]		-	•	
7	49	14	51	10.8%	0.52 [0.23, 1.18]				
11	71	8	79	6.0%	1.53 [0.65, 3.59]		+	•	
31	170	26	170	20.5%	1.19 [0.74, 1.92]			-	
	362		358	44.2%	1.12 [0.81, 1.56]				
64		56							
1. df =	3 (P = 0.	21); I ² =	33%						
0.69 (P = 0.49))							
	917		953	100.0%	0.94 [0.75, 1.19]				
117		129			, ,				
91 df=	= 11 (P =	0.14)	² = 319	%		—			
0.50 (P = 0.62	1	0.			0.01	0.1 1	10	100
	hiz - 21	00 df -	1 /0 -	0.453 12-1	E1 00/	Fav	ours (statin)	Favours (c	ontroll
	37 0 2 2 2 53 10, df = 1.35 (f 7 11 31 64 11, df = 0.69 (f 91, df = 91, df =	9 40 37 391 0 19 2 11 2 40 2 16 555 53 10, df = 7 (P = (1.35 (P = 0.18), y 15 72 7 49 11 71 31 170 362 64 1, df = 3 (P = 0. 0.69 (P = 0.49); 917 117 91, df = 11 (P = 0. 0.50 (P = 0.62); 917	9 40 13 37 391 35 0 19 3 2 11 6 2 40 8 2 16 2 555 5 53 73 10, df = 7 (P = 0.18); ^P 1.35 (P = 0.18) y 15 72 8 7 49 14 11 71 8 31 170 26 362 64 56 1, df = 3 (P = 0.21); ^P = 0.69 (P = 0.49) 917 117 129 91, df = 11 (P = 0.14); 0.50 (P = 0.62)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37 391 35 81.4% 1.11 [0.72, 1.73] 0 19 3 20 2.7% 0.15 [0.01, 2.72] 2 11 6 10 5.0% 0.30 [0.08, 1.17] 2 40 8 40 6.3% 0.25 [0.06, 1.11] 2 40 8 40 6.3% 0.25 [0.06, 1.11] 2 16 2 16 1.6% 1.00 [0.16, 6.25] 555 595 55.8% 0.80 [0.58, 1.11] 10.47 [2.12] 10.47 [2.7] 40 8 40 6.3% 0.25 [0.06, 1.11] 2 16 2 16 1.6% 1.00 [0.16, 6.25] 10.47 [2.12] 53 73 10.01 [0.16, 6.25] 10.58 [0.58, 1.11] 10.47 [2.12] 10.47 [2.12] 10.47 [2.7] 91 15 72 8 58 7.0% 1.51 [0.69, 3.31] 10.47 [2.12] 11 71 8 79 6.0% 1.53 [0.65, 3.59] 131 [170 [2.6] [2.12] 14.2% 1.12 [0.81, 1.56] 64 66 1.051 [0.69 [2.12] 362 <t< td=""><td>3 40 13 56 6.4% 1.00 10.00 1.2 1.2 37 391 35 412 26.8% 1.11 10.72,1.73 0 19 3 20 2.7% 0.15 [0.01, 2.72] 2 11 6 10 5.0% 0.30 [0.08, 1.17] 2 40 8 40 6.3% 0.25 [0.06, 1.11] 2 16 2 16 1.6% 1.00 [0.16, 6.25] 555 595 55.8% 0.80 [0.58, 1.11] 10.47 53 73 10.04 1.00 [0.47, 1.92] 10.47 10.04 7 (P = 0.18); P = 31% 1.51 [0.69, 3.31] 17 49 14 51 10.8% 0.52 [0.23, 1.18] 11 71 8 79 6.0% 1.51 [0.69, 3.31] 7 49 14 51 10.8% 0.52 [0.23, 1.18] 31 170 26 170 20.5% 1.19 [0.74, 1.92]</td></t<>	3 40 13 56 6.4% 1.00 10.00 1.2 1.2 37 391 35 412 26.8% 1.11 10.72,1.73 0 19 3 20 2.7% 0.15 [0.01, 2.72] 2 11 6 10 5.0% 0.30 [0.08, 1.17] 2 40 8 40 6.3% 0.25 [0.06, 1.11] 2 16 2 16 1.6% 1.00 [0.16, 6.25] 555 595 55.8% 0.80 [0.58, 1.11] 10.47 53 73 10.04 1.00 [0.47, 1.92] 10.47 10.04 7 (P = 0.18); P = 31% 1.51 [0.69, 3.31] 17 49 14 51 10.8% 0.52 [0.23, 1.18] 11 71 8 79 6.0% 1.51 [0.69, 3.31] 7 49 14 51 10.8% 0.52 [0.23, 1.18] 31 170 26 170 20.5% 1.19 [0.74, 1.92]

Risk Ratio

H. Fixed. 95% C

0.15 [0.01, 2.72]

0.33 [0.04, 2.93]

statin

Study or Subgroup

1.3.1 RCT Chou 2008

Garg 2013

Events Total

0 19

19

Control

vents Total Weight

20

19 2.4%

27%

When all 12 studies were assessed using pooled analysis, no benefit of statin use after aneurysmal SAH on all-cause mortality was observed (RR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.75–1.19; P = 0.62; 12 studies: 1870 patients; Fig. 4). Moreover, there was no definite evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 31\%$; P = 0.14).

Subgroup analysis

The results of subgroup analysis are presented in Online Resources 3 and 4. The overall treatment effect of statins on functional outcome was consistent, as no significant effect was noted in any subgroup (data not shown). We performed subgroup analysis according to the type of study (RCTs versus observational studies; Figs. 2, 3, and 4). Although acute statin treatment significantly reduced the DINDs after aneurysmal SAH among RCTs, the effect of statin use on DINDs in the RCTs was not significantly different from that in the observational studies (P = 0.35). Similarly, there were no differences according to the type of predominant procedure (clipping versus coiling), or to the proportion of high-grade patients (≥ 25 versus <25%). Unlike the type of study design, the type of characteristics of each study population should be carefully considered, as the findings of subgroup meta-analysis may be complicated by the intra-group difference. For example, a study with >25% high-grade patients also included several low-grade patients. Hence, we also performed study-level subgroup meta-analysis according to the stain dose (higher versus lower statin dose), statin type (simvastatin versus other statins), and the methodological quality of the study (high versus low). Eight studies compared the use of high-dose statin versus placebo or no treatment, whereas five studies compared the use of low-dose statins versus placebo or no treatment. High-dose statin use significantly reduced the risk of DINDs by 22% (RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.64–0.95; P = 0.01; $I^2 = 21\%$, 1217 patients; Online Resource 5), although the occurrence of death did not differ between the high-dose group and no treatment group (RR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.65–1.35; P = 0.73; $I^2 = 32\%$, 757 patients; Online Resource 6). Meta-analysis of the RCTs showed a significant reduction in DINDs and mortality in the subgroup of patients with high-dose statin use (RR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.42–0.95; P = 0.03; $I^2 = 0\%$, 232 patients; Fig. 5; and RR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.15–0.86; P = 0.02; $I^2 = 0\%$, 232 patients; Fig. 6). There was no statistical difference in mortality and DINDs when analyzed according to the statin type or the methodological quality of the study.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed via the sequential removal of individual studies, one at a time, and the estimation of the overall RR for the remaining studies. No individual study significantly influenced the overall pooled estimates, indicating that this meta-analysis outcome was statistically reliable.

Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we found that statin therapy in the acute period of aneurysmal SAH does not improve the probability of favorable neurologic outcome or survival, although it was significantly associated with a reduced occurrence of DINDs in a dose-dependent manner. Among the RCTs, improved survival and reduced DINDs were observed in the pooled analysis when high-dose statins

Fig. 5 Meta-analysis of RCTs assessing DINDs according to statin dose (fixed effects model)



at an equivalent dose of 80 mg simvastatin per day were used. The present data indicated that there was limited dissociation between DINDs and the neurological outcome parameters according to the stain dose (higher- versus lower-dose statins) or the type of study design (RCTs versus observational studies).

The dissociation between vasospasm-related morbidity (DINDs) and unfavorable neurological outcomes can be attributed to methodological problems, insensitivity of the clinical outcome, sample size, and multiple other factors (excluding vasospasm) contributing to poor outcomes (e.g., spaceoccupying hematoma, intraventricular hemorrhage, seizures, and other ischemic complications related to the procedure or critical care) [28, 29]. Moreover, the severity of the initial ictus may represent a non-ischemic mechanism underlying the effect of SAH itself on clinical outcomes, probably involving the development of early brain injury after aneurysmal SAH [6, 30]. Ischemic complications after aneurysmal SAH may arise from the SAH itself or from procedure-related events, or may even be of mixed origin. Since the nature of ischemic origin is mixed in real-world clinical practice, it is difficult to analyze the positive effects of statins on post-SAH ischemia and the clinical outcomes. Moreover, it is likely that statins cannot reduce procedure-related ischemia. In cases where cerebral ischemia and vasospasm are occasionally reversible, the data on symptomatic vasospasms or DINDs may not have any prognostic significance in clinical trials concerning aneurysmal SAH [31]. In fact, data from the most recently published studies on the prophylactic use of many drugs, such as statins, magnesium sulfate, or endothelin-receptor antagonists, for aneurysmal SAH suggest similar dissociations between vasospasm-related morbidity and clinical outcomes [15, 31–33]. In the absence of an association between DIND reduction and neurological outcome improvement, the effects of statins in clinical care should be carefully interpreted [34].

The results of our updated meta-analysis indicate a doserelated effect of statins on DINDs and mortality after aneurysmal SAH. Although the high dose of statins did not improve the probability of favorable functional outcomes, the risk of DINDs and mortality decreased as the statin dose was increased in the acute period of aneurysmal SAH. After

Fig. 6 Meta-analysis of RCTs assessing mortality according to statin dose (random effects model)

	stati	n	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.21.1 Low-dose sta	itin						
Jaschinski 2008	9	40	13	58	24.3%	1.00 [0.47, 2.12]	
Kirkpatrick 2014	37	391	35	412	35.5%	1.11 [0.72, 1.73]	-
Tseng 2005	2	40	8	40	10.0%	0.25 [0.06, 1.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		471		510	69.8%	0.88 [0.49, 1.60]	•
Total events	48		56				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.12; Ch	2 = 3.6	0, df = 2 (P = 0.1	7); I ² = 44	%	
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.41	(P = 0.8	i8)				
1.21.2 High-dose sta	atin						
Chou 2008	0	19	3	20	31%	0 15 (0 01 2 72)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Gard 2013	1	19	3	19	5.3%	0.33 [0.04, 2.93]	
Lynch 2005	0	19	3	20	3.1%	0.15 [0.01, 2.72]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Macedo 2009	2	11	6	10	11.6%	0.30 (0.08, 1.17)	
Veraouwen 2009	2	16	2	16	7.1%	1.00 [0.16, 6.25]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		84		85	30.2%	0.36 [0.15, 0.86]	-
Total events	5		17				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.00; Ch	² = 1.9	9, df = 4 (P = 0.7	4); I ² = 09	6	
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.30	(P = 0.0	12)				
Total (95% CI)		555		595	100.0%	0.66 [0.39, 1.12]	•
Total events	53		73				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² :	= 0.16; Ch	i ² = 10.	10, df = 7	(P = 0.	18); I ² = 3	1%	<u>⊢ i i i</u>
Test for overall effect	Z= 1.53	(P = 0.1)	3)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Fest for subaroun dit	ferences:	Chi ² =	2 81 df=	1 (P =	0.09) 12=	64.5%	Favours [statin] Favours [control]

excluding the large STASH trial that used a low dose of statins (40 mg simvastatin) from among the RCTs, a significant association was still observed between statin use and survival. These findings might be attributed to the pleiotropic effects of statins, which have been reported to increase cerebral endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression, improve endothelial function, increase cerebral blood flow, and protect against ischemia [8, 35]. In the present study, statin doses that achieved LDL-cholesterol lowering >45% were considered as high doses (80 mg simvastatin or 40 mg atorvastatin); this threshold for highpotency statins was adopted from previous studies [36, 37]. Similar to the cholesterol-lowering properties of statins, most pleiotropic effects are mediated by HMG-CoA reductase inhibition and are dose-dependent [36, 38, 39]. However, the recently published High-Dose Simvastatin for Aneurysmal SAH (HDS-SAH; 80 mg simvastatin versus 40 mg simvastatin) trial did not support the superiority of high-dose compared with lowerdose simvastatin treatment for patients with aneurysmal SAH [14]. However, due to limitations related to the study population and protocol, the findings of the HDS-SAH trial may not be generalizable to real-world practice. First, several poor-grade patients were included in this trial, and hence, acute statin treatment may not have altered the clinical course. Second, this comparative study did not compare cases with high-dose statins and no simvastatin treatment. In the STASH trial, the administration of lower-dose statins (40 mg simvastatin) daily did not yield a reduction in DINDs or any improvements in the clinical outcomes [13]. Hence, future trials should evaluate the efficacy and safety of highdose statins for aneurysmal SAH, in comparison with no statin treatment.

This meta-analysis has several limitations. First, a substantial amount of data included in this meta-analysis was obtained from nonrandomized observational studies. Although observational studies may be subject to hidden bias, these studies may also indicate the positive or negative effects of statins that may not be identified in RCTs, and may hence provide valuable evidence about the treatment effects in clinical practice [40, 41]. Second, our meta-analysis failed to identify cerebral infarctions that were closely associated with the clinical outcomes and link it with statin use, as the radiologic evaluation of the brain was not routinely repeated. Third, the publication of negative studies generally has less acceptance and impact in the literature; hence, it is possible that the lack of published negative studies concerning neurological outcomes may have affected the results of meta-analyses. Finally, our metaanalysis failed to obtain individual patient-level data from the assessed studies, which limited the further evaluation of the potential confounding factors in the assessment of functional outcomes after aneurysmal SAH.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the current systematic review and metaanalysis showed that statin use could potentially prevent DINDs in patients with aneurysmal SAH. Based on our current findings, although the role of statins in neurological outcome improvement was limited, the risk of DINDs and mortality decreased as the statin dose was increased, in a dosedependent manner. Since the potential biases and confounders could not be fully excluded in this meta-analysis, welldesigned RCTs that consider the potency of statins are required to confirm its association with clinical outcomes in the future.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HC15C1234).

Author contributions All authors contributed to the design of the study. K.-S.C., T.L., W.K., and Y.C. undertook the searches and screened studies for eligibility. K.-S.C., J.M.K., and H.-J.Y. assessed the quality of papers and performed statistical analyses. K.-S.C. and S.H-.L. drafted the manuscript. T.L., W.K., and J.-H.C. moderated disagreements during data collection and analyzed the data. K.-S.C. and S.-H.L. critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Connolly ES Jr, Rabinstein AA, Carhuapoma JR, Derdeyn CP, Dion J, Higashida RT, Hoh BL, Kirkness CJ, Naidech AM, Ogilvy CS, Patel AB, Thompson BG, Vespa P, American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, Council on Clinical Cardiology (2012) Guidelines for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 43:1711–1737
- Yundt KD, Grubb RL Jr, Diringer MN, Powers WJ (1998) Autoregulatory vasodilation of parenchymal vessels is impaired during cerebral vasospasm. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 18:419–424
- Takeuchi H, Handa Y, Kobayashi H, Kawano H, Hayashi M (1991) Impairment of cerebral autoregulation during the development of chronic cerebral vasospasm after subarachnoid hemorrhage in primates. Neurosurgery 28:41–48
- Kassell NF, Torner JC, Haley EC Jr, Jane JA, Adams HP, Kongable GL (1990) The International Cooperative Study on the Timing of Aneurysm Surgery. Part 1: overall management results. J Neurosurg 73:18–36
- Bederson JB, Connolly ES, Batjer HH, Dacey RG, Dion JE, Diringer MN, Duldner JE Jr, Harbaugh RE, Patel AB, Rosenwasser RH, American Heart Association (2009) Guidelines

for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a statement for healthcare professionals from a special writing group of the stroke council, American Heart Association. Stroke 40:994–1025

- Macdonald RL, Pluta RM, Zhang JH (2007) Cerebral vasospasm after subarachnoid hemorrhage: the emerging revolution. Nat Clin Pract Neurol 3:256–263
- Rabinstein AA, Lanzino G, Wijdicks EF (2010) Multidisciplinary management and emerging therapeutic strategies in aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. Lancet Neurol 9:504–519
- McGirt MJ, Lynch JR, Parra A, Sheng H, Pearlstein RD, Laskowitz DT, Pelligrino DA, Warner DS (2002) Simvastatin increases endothelial nitric oxide synthase and ameliorates cerebral vasospasm resulting from subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke 33:2950–2956
- Sabri M, Ai J, Marsden PA, Macdonald RL (2011) Simvastatin recouples dysfunctional endothelial nitric oxide synthase in experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage. PLoS One 6:e17062
- Tseng M, Czosnyka M, Richards H, Pickard JD, Kirkpatrick PJ (2005) Effects of acute treatment with pravastatin on cerebral vasospasm, autoregulation, and delayed ischemic deficits after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a phase II randomized placebocontrolled trial. Stroke 36:1627–1632
- Lynch JR, Wang H, McGirt MJ, Floyd J, Friedman AH, Coon AL, Blessing R, Alexander MJ, Graffagnino C, Warner DS, Laskowitz DT (2005) Simvastatin reduces vasospasm after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke 36:2024–2026
- Chou SH, Smith EE, Badjatia N, Nogueira RG, Sims JR II, Ogilvy CS, Rordorf GA, Ayata C (2008) A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of simvastatin in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke 39:2891–2893
- Kirkpatrick PJ, Turner CL, Smith C, Hutchinson PJ, Murray GD, STASH Collaborators (2014) Simvastatin in aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (STASH): a multicentre randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Neurol 13:666–675
- Wong GK, Chan DY, Siu DY, Zee BC, Poon WS, Chan MT, Gin T, Leung M, HDS-SAH Investigators (2015) High-dose simvastatin for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: multicenter randomized controlled double-blinded clinical trial. Stroke 46:382–328
- 15. Su SH, Xu W, Hai J, Wu YF, Yu F (2014) Effects of statins-use for patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Sci Rep 20144:4573
- Higgins JP, Green S Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0. http://www.cochrane-handbook.org
- DerSimonian R, Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7:177–188
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG (2002) Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med 21:1539–1558
- Vergouwen M, Meijers J, Geskus RB, Coert BA, Horn J, Stroes ES, van der Poll T, Vermeulen M, Roos YB (2009) Biological effects of simvastatin in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 29:1444–1453
- Jaschinski U, Scherer K, Lichtwarck M, Forst H (2008) Impact of treatment with pravastatin on delayed ischemic disease and mortality after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Crit Care 12:P112
- Macedo S, Belio Y, Siqueria C, Siqueria S, Brito L (2009) Effects of simvastatin in prevention of vasospasm in nontraumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage: preliminary data. Crit Care 13:P103
- 22. Garg K, Sinha S, Kale SS, Chandra PS, Suri A, Singh MM, Kumar R, Sharma MS, Pandey RM, Sharma BS, Mahapatra AK (2013) Role of simvastatin in prevention of vasospasm and improving functional outcome after aneurysmal sub-arachnoid hemorrhage: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot trial. Br J Neurosurg 27:181–186

- Kramer AH, Gurka MJ, Nathan B, Dumont AS, Kassell NF, Bleck TP (2008) Statin use was not associated with less vasospasm or improved outcome after subarachnoid hemorrhage. Neurosurgery 62:422–427
- 24. Kerz T, Victor A, Beyer C, Trapp I, Heid F, Reisch R (2008) A case control study of statin and magnesium administration in patients after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: incidence of delayed cerebral ischemia and mortality. Neurol Res 30:893–897
- Kern M, Lam MM, Knuckey NW, Lind CR (2009) Statins may not protect against vasospasm in subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Clin Neurosci 16:527–530
- McGirt MJ, Garces Ambrossi GL, Huang J, Tamargo RJ (2009) Simvastatin for the prevention of symptomatic cerebral vasospasm following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a singleinstitution prospective cohort study. J Neurosurg 110:968–974
- 27. Sanchez-Peña P, Nouet A, Clarençon F, Colonne C, Jean B, Le Jean L, Fonfrede M, Aout M, Vicaut E, Puybasset L (2012) Atorvastatin decreases computed tomography and S100-assessed brain ischemia after subarachnoid aneurysmal hemorrhage: a comparative study. Crit Care Med 40:594–602
- Vergouwen MD, Etminan N, Ilodigwe D, Macdonald RL (2011) Lower incidence of cerebral infarction correlates with improved functional outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 31:1545–1553
- 29. Etminan N, Vergouwen MD, Ilodigwe D, Macdonald RL (2011) Effect of pharmaceutical treatment on vasospasm, delayed cerebral ischemia, and clinical outcome in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 31:1443–1451
- Cahill J, Zhang JH (2009) Subarachnoid hemorrhage: is it time for a new direction? Stroke 40:S86–S87
- Ma J, Huang S, Ma L, Liu Y, Li H, You C (2012) Endothelinreceptor antagonists for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care 16: R198
- Shen J, Pan JW, Fan ZX, Xiong XX, Zhan RY (2013) Dissociation of vasospasm-related morbidity and outcomes in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage treated with clazosentan: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Neurosurg 119: 180–189
- Golan E, Vasquez DN, Ferguson ND, Adhikari NK, Scales DC (2013) Prophylactic magnesium for improving neurologic outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Crit Care 28:173–181
- 34. Diringer MN, Bleck TP, Claude Hemphill IIIJ, Menon D, Shutter L, Vespa P, Bruder N, Connolly ES Jr, Citerio G, Gress D, Hänggi D, Hoh BL, Lanzino G, Le Roux P, Rabinstein A, Schmutzhard E, Stocchetti N, Suarez JI, Treggiari M, Tseng MY, Vergouwen MD, Wolf S, Zipfel G, Neurocritical Care Society (2011) Critical care management of patients following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: recommendations from the Neurocritical Care Society's Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference. Neurocrit Care 15:211–240
- Sabri M, Macdonald RL (2010) Statins: a potential therapeutic addition to treatment for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage? World Neurosurg 73:646–653
- Violi F, Calvieri C, Ferro D, Pignatelli P (2013) Statins as antithrombotic drugs. Circulation 127:251–257
- Endres M (2005) Statins and stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 25: 1093–1110
- 38. Scheitz JF, Seiffge DJ, Tütüncü S, Gensicke H, Audebert HJ, Bonati LH, Fiebach JB, Tränka C, Lyrer PA, Endres M, Engelter ST, Nolte CH (2014) Dose-related effects of statins on symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage and

outcome after thrombolysis for ischemic stroke. Stroke 45: 509-514

- 39. Dormuth CR, Hemmelgarn BR, Paterson JM, James MT, Teare GF, Raymond CB, Lafrance JP, Levy A, Garg AX, Ernst P, Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (2013) Use of high potency statins and rates of admission for acute kidney injury: multicenter, retrospective observational analysis of administrative databases. BMJ 346:f880
- Egger M, Davey Smoth G, Altman DG (2001) Systematic reviews in healthcare: meta-analysis in context. BMJ Publishing Group, London, pp 211–227
- 41. Ní Chróinín D, Asplund K, Åsberg S, Callaly E, Cuadrado-Godia E, Díez-Tejedor E, Di Napoli M, Engelter ST, Furie KL, Giannopoulos S, Gotto AM Jr, Hannon N, Jonsson F, Kapral MK, Martí-Fàbregas J, Martínez-Sánchez P, Milionis HJ, Montaner J, Muscari A, Pikija S, Probstfield J, Rost NS, Thrift AG, Vemmos K, Kelly PJ (2013) Statin therapy and outcome after ischemic stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies and randomized trials. Stroke 44:448–456