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#### Abstract

FAM72 is a novel neuronal progenitor cell (NPC) self-renewal supporting protein expressed under physiological conditions at low levels in other tissues. Accumulating data indicate the potential pivotal tumourigenic effects of FAM72. Our in silico human genome-wide analysis (GWA) revealed that the FAM72 gene family consists of four human-specific paralogous members, all of which are located on chromosome (chr) 1 . Unique asymmetric FAM72 segmental gene duplications are most likely to have occurred in conjunction with the paired genomic neighbour SRGAP2 (SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase activating protein), as both genes have four paralogues in humans but only one vertebra-emerging orthologue in all other species. No species with two or three FAM72/SRGAP2 gene pairs could be identified, and the four exclusively human-defining ohnologues, with different mutation patterns in Homo neanderthalensis and Denisova hominin, may remain under epigenetic control through long non-coding (lnc) RNAs.


© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

## 1. Introduction

For decades, evolutionary biologists have used modern molecular biology to perform phylogenetic analyses to characterize species-specific gene activities [1-4]. The study of the human genome and its position on the phylogenetic tree of life can help identify genes responsible for higher brain functions. Further, as we learn more about the human genome, we are discovering protooncogenes involved in cancer [5,6]. It is particularly important to focus on understanding the specific genetic mutations and epigenetic influences in different types of cancer because the overall incidence of cancer is increasing rapidly, with a combined annual increase of approximately $3.5 \%$ across all cancers [7].

Recently, neuron-specific SRGAP2 was identified as a gene involved in dendritic spine formation [8], and neuronal BDNF and MAP2 were found not only to contribute to dendrite-dependent brain plasticity [ $9-11$ ] but also to play pivotal roles in non-neuronal cancer [12,13]. The recently identified FAM72 protein (otherwise known as Ugene, LMPIP or p17) has been shown to be involved in brain neuronal signalling pathways [14] and NPC maintenance [15] and has demonstrated promising clinical relevance for the survival and outcome of patients with various types of non-neuronal cancers [14,16-18].

[^0]Here, we investigated the genomic representation of FAM72 to improve predictions regarding its involvement in higher brain functions and as novel potential therapeutic target for the treatment of cancers. We report for the first time a unique set of two genes FAM72 and SRGAP2 that characterize the emergence of vertebrates and define the human species based on its cognitive functions.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Data sets

The human chromosome (chr) dataset was downloaded from the public database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The detailed dataset for chr 1 has the access No. NC_000001.11 (chr 1, GRCh38). The FAM72-related gene IDs and other data sources used in this study are provided as Supplementary data (Supplementary methods and Supplementary Fig. S1).

### 2.2. Data analysis

For the Neanderthal and the Denisova genomes, we extracted the FASTQ reads from the provided BAM files and realigned them locally to the GRCh38 assembly with the Bowtie2 aligner [19] with the standard parameters unchanged (Supplementary methods). For global multi-alignments, we relied on Muscle (MUltiple Sequence Comparison by Log-Expectation) [20], and all standard parameters were unchanged unless stated otherwise.

### 2.3. Taxonomy analysis

We developed a special tailored $C++11$ application with an embedded Burrows-Wheeler Aligner [21] as the central component. Using the integrated aligner, our application allowed for the tracing of a given sequence within a taxonomic context. We relied on the taxonomy offered by NCBI and reconstructed the taxonomic tree from publically available database dumps. Additionally, we retrieved the genomes of species within the taxonomy from assemblies offered by NCBI, and all data retrieval occurred automatically by evaluating database-information available on NCBI-servers.

### 2.4. Cancer analysis

We analysed publically available cancer genome datasets at the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (Supplementary data) providing access to data from 20,958 tumour samples from 89 cancer studies (data available up to 21st January 2015) [22,23] and data from the 1000 Genome project [24-26] to identify mutations, copy-number alterations and mRNA expression levels (using a mRNA expression z-score threshold value of $\pm 2.0$ ).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Chr 1 contains four distinct loci for FAM72

Analysis of the entire human genome for FAM72 using a small nt homology search revealed that only chr 1 contains FAM72 gene family members. However, chr 1 contains four different loci (A-D) - one on the forward $(+)$ and three on the reverse $(-)$ strand. Remarkably, all four paralogous FAM72 genes have long introns (Supplementary Fig. S2, Figs. 1 and 2). Thus far, the latest GRCh38 predicts additional exons for FAM72 A and B, which in turn use alternate start codons to produce shorter isoforms. Considering prior information based on GRCh37, the existence of other in vivo isoforms is conceivable (Supplementary Fig. S2).

### 3.2. FAM72 short transcripts

When investigating the short FAM72 transcripts, NCBI's new GRCh38 gene assembly predicted a novel 363-bp (120 amino acids (aa)) gene transcript with an additional exon at the 5' site using the second in-frame ATG codon of its full-length counterpart. This observation is in contrast to the GRCh37.p13 gene assembly, which also predicted a short FAM72 transcript ( $330 \mathrm{bp}, 109 \mathrm{aa}$ ) but used the same start codon as the long 450-bp (149 aa) variant with an alternative splicing pattern (Supplementary Figs. S2B and C). The newly predicted 363-bp (120 aa) transcript (using NCBI's Gnomon software [27]), however, remains questionable because the in-frame start codon has a rather weak Kozak sequence compared with the start codon used by the longer 450-bp and the older 330-bp transcripts (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

### 3.3. The four FAM72 CDSs on chr 1 differ at six positions

A comparison of the four different CDSs (450 nts) of FAM72 at the four different loci (A-D) on chr 1 revealed that they differ at six positions. The start codon area is embedded in a typical Kozak sequence [28], and the first 18 nts specifically identify FAM72 throughout the entire human genome (Supplementary Figs. S2C and D).

### 3.4. Five differences in the human FAM72 paralogous proteins

A comparison of the four FAM72 proteins encoded by the four different loci (A-D) on chr 1 also revealed that, based on the six nt differences
identified in the four different CDSs, only five differences result in nonsynonymous changes at the protein level. The variation observed at the nt 6 position of the CDS does not produce a difference at the protein level (Supplementary Figs. S2B and E).

### 3.5. FAM72's 5'-UTRs and 3'-UTRs

FAM72 5'-UTRs exhibit differences at only five positions, and member A has a shorter known 5'-UTR. A comparison of all the 3'-UTRs indicated that they are highly homologous, with only a few variations at nine different positions, and are typically AT-rich with potential regulatory regions (Supplementary Figs. S2F and G) [29]. The FAM72 mRNA matches the 2-kbp size average (5'-UTR + CDS + 3'-UTR, excluding $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{A})$ ) but is rather non-standard with respect to its $3^{\prime}-/ 5^{\prime}-$ UTR ratio (Supplementary Table S1), with ratios ranging from 1.18 (FAM72C) to 2.60 (FAM72A long transcript) [30].

### 3.6. FAM72's GC and AT content

Because all FAM72 members are highly homologous, the overview of FAM72 GC and AT contents (Supplementary Table S2) shows that their GC and AT contents are almost identical except for the 5 '-UTR of member A (GC content smaller than AT content), which is shorter than all the other 5'-UTRs. The GC content is higher than the AT content only in the 5'-UTR sequence. The 3'-UTR AT content of approximately $68 \%$ is higher than that of any other segment of the entire sequence. The FAM72 proteins are encoded by a higher AT content (approximately $58 \%$ ) than GC content, and the AT content is particularly high in the last exon 4. In addition, the GC content at position 3 (GC3) of the CDS is rather low (approximately 47\%).

### 3.7. Common gene family neighbours of FAM72 loci

By comparing the four different gene loci of human FAM72, we discovered that they all resided next to the same four paralogous genes: SRGAP2 A-D (Fig. 1A) while all these four gene pairs are separated by homologous long non-coding (lnc) RNAs. Our comparison revealed that all FAM72 members (A-D) had uniform intron lengths, whereas the intron lengths of SRGAP2 members varied (Supplementary Figs. S2A, B and Supplementary Table S3).

A closer examination of the interspace between SRGAP2 and FAM72 indicated that FAM72 is a stable constant for this duplicated genome area, whereas the interspace displays rather complex variation, with the appearance of a new gene (lncRNA) with an as yet undefined exon structure (Figs. 1B, C and 2).

### 3.8. FAM72's orthologues

Interestingly, both gene families, FAM72 and SRGAP2, were composed of four human paralogues located as unique pairs on chr 1 (Fig. 1A). However, all other species investigated contained only one orthologue (Fig. 3) [31]. Thus, FAM72 and SRGAP2 appear to represent a unique gene couple that characterizes the emergence of vertebrates with a notochord (with one gene pair) and defines the human species containing four gene pairs (Fig. 3). The earliest emergence of the FAM72A/SRGAP2A gene pair has been identified in Danio rerio (Fig. 3).

Comparing the phylogenetic taxonomy of all individual FAM72 exons indicated that exons 1-3 are more stable than exon 4 and that primates are more closely related to Laurasiatheria (including cat, dog, and horse) than to Murinae (mouse and rat). The comparison also revealed two stable aa regions, on exons 1 and 3, and five variable aa regions that were observed in primates and Laurasiatheria but not in Murinae, indicating the importance of these regions for higher brain functions (Figs. 4 and 5).


Fig. 1. FAM72 and SRGAP2 form a stable gene pair with IncRNAs between their common introns on chr 1. (A) Comparison of the paired human FAM72 and SRGAP2 CDS gene loci on chr 1. FAM72 and SRGAP2 pairs are separated by approximately 3000-5000 bp and are located in each case on opposite strands from one another. FAM72A/SRGAP2A: 1q32.1; FAM72B/ SRGAP2C: 1p11.2; FAM72C/SRGAP2D: 1q21.2; FAM72D/SRGAP2B: 1q21.1. (B) Comparison of the paired human FAM72 and SRGAP2 CDS gene loci on chr 1 identified homologous lncRNA genes. Complex varied differences in the interspaces between SRGAP2 and FAM72 are shown in Figs. 1C and 2. An alignment of the SRGAP2 exons is presented in Supplementary Fig. S3. (C) Comparison of IncRNAs between FAM72 and SRGAP2 gene loci on chr 1. Filled boxes indicate the exons predicted by NCBI. Unfilled boxes are potential exons because of their sequence homology ( $96.18 \%$ ) with other IncRNA exons. The potential IncRNA between SRGAP2A and FAM72A awaits NCBI annotation.


Fig. 2. Comparison of DNA intervals between FAM72 and SRGAP2 gene loci on chr 1 . The nts from +287 to +757 between the IncRNAs and the FAM72A-D sequences are highly similar, where some part of an intron belongs to the first exon of another homologous FAM72 (see also Supplementary Fig. S2F). The nts from -351 to -548 between the IncRNAs and the SRGAP2A-D sequences are also highly similar, where some part of an intron belongs to the first exon of another homologous SRGAP2s (see also Supplementary Fig. S3).

If human, mouse and cat had a common ancestor, it could most likely be explained by the changes that occurred at aa $52,111,131$ and 138. However, the changes in aa 147 suggest a common ancestor for Euarchontoglires (primates and Murinae) and Laurasiatheria. At this point, either Murinae degenerated or primates and Laurasiatheria together diverged from Murinae (Fig. 5).

### 3.9. FAM72 and Homo

The Hominidae form a taxonomic family of primates, including four extant genera: chimpanzees, gorillas, humans, and orangutans [33-35]. Recent genome analysis has demonstrated that Denisovans were cousins of the Neanderthals and interbred probably with Homo erectus or


Fig. 3. FAM72 in the phylogenetic tree. A search for all four FAM72 exons across all species. Red = high homology, blue = low homology. All four exons were identified in vertebrates and appear as a pair with SRGAP2 as early as in Danio rerio. Drosophila does not have FAM72. Species analysis for SRGAP2 can be found in Supplementary Fig. S4.


Fig. 4. Schematic comparison of FAM72 proteins across all species. Exons 1 and 3 display partially high conservation of $10-14$ aa across all species, whereas exon 4 contains more variations. Of particular interest are the stable cysteine-74 ( $\mathrm{C}_{74}$ ) at the exon $2 / 3$ transition and the other 8 stable Cs , whereas five variables Cs exist with $\mathrm{C}_{147}$, along with $\mathrm{A}_{52}, \mathrm{D}_{111 / 138}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{131}$, of special interest because of their importance in brain plasticity. The relatively high cysteine level (8.7\%) is explained by the short exons, the short protein length (to increase protein stability and possible S-S bridges), potential ion binding sites, and FAM72's potential membrane association [32].
H. heidelbergensis [36,37]. We analysed the FAM72 gene family of modern humans (GRCh38 of Homo sapiens) and compared it with the genomes of Neanderthals and Denisovans. Our data revealed that all exons of all FAM72 genes are identical among the three Homo genomes. In contrast, the introns display interesting variations. Although FAM72A and D of Homo sapiens differ from those of Neanderthals and Denisovans, the FAM72B of Denisovans differs from those of Homo sapiens and Neanderthals. This variability indicates that Neanderthals and Denisovans are common ancestors of Homo sapiens for FAM72A because FAM72A orthologues also exist in non-human species. However, for FAM72B, no clear statement can be made; Neanderthals appear to be more closely related to modern humans than Denisovans. Based on FAM72B, modern humans and Denisovans appear to have developed in different directions from the Neanderthals (Fig. 6). Of note, inter-species variations (Fig. 6) are fewer in number than intra-species (A-D) variations (Supplementary Fig. S2D).


Fig. 5. Schematic comparison of FAM72 exons across all species. Danio rerio (d), Mus musculus ( $m$ ), Rattus norvegicus ( $r$ ), Felis catus ( $c$ ), and Homo sapiens ( $h$ ). Black: evolutionarily stable nt; red: nt that has undergone evolutionary changes; blue: nt that has (or has not) undergone evolutionary changes. The ratios of $\mathrm{C}_{147} / \mathrm{Y}_{147}$ indicate that $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{h}$ had a common ancestor coding for TAT ( $=\mathrm{Y}$ ). Afterward, either $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{c}$ and m diverted from one another or $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}$ and c diverted, whereby h and c underwent an additional evolutionary optimization step for achieving higher brain functions. Although the changes at aa 52,111 , 131, and 138 can be explained by a common ancestor, the changes at aa 147 raise doubt regarding a common $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h}$ ancestor versus a potential common $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{c}$ ancestor.


Fig. 6. Schematic comparison of FAM72 expression in the genus Homo aligned against the GRCh38 assembly of Homo sapiens. Mutation of FAM72 nts in the Neanderthal and Denisova genome sequences with chr 1 of Homo sapiens based on alignment using the Bowtie2 aligner at the mapping quality threshold value of 10 .

### 3.10. FAM72 and cancer

High expression of FAM72 in breast cancer cells with higher PKC expression $[16,17]$ was confirmed by the analysis of 20,958 cases (Fig. 7). Further detailed information regarding frequency of mutations, including homozygous deletion, amplification, mRNA upregulation or multiple alterations, and specific mutations (e.g. affected aa) of FAM72 are shown in Supplementary Tables S4-8 and


Fig. 7. Schematic comparison of FAM72 (A-D) expression in various types of cancer. The frequency of mutations, including homozygous deletion, amplification, mRNA upregulation or multiple alterations, of FAM72 was $9 \%(18 / 200)$ in acute myeloid leukaemia (AML), $5 \%$ (3/60) in adenoid cystic carcinoma (AdCC), $10.9 \%$ (10/92) in adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC), 25\% (103/412) in bladder urothelial carcinoma (BUC), $7.5 \%$ ( $40 / 530$ ) in brain lower grade glioma (BLGG), $32 \%$ (350/1104) in breast-invasive carcinoma (BIC), $8.6 \%$ (88/1019) in cancer cell line encyclopaedia (CCLE), $6 \%$ (40/628) in colorectal adenocarcinoma (COAD), $7 \%$ (13/186) in oesophageal carcinoma (ESCA), $3 \%$ (20/611) in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), 14.3\% (76/530) in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), $6 \%$ (32/537) in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC), $12.3 \%$ (36/292) in papillary renal cell carcinoma (pRCC), 14\% (85/609) in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), 17.8\% (136/761) in lung adenocarcinoma (LAC), 20\% (102/504) in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC), $14.6 \%$ ( $7 / 48$ ) in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), $18 \%$ (109/609) in ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma (OSC), 20\% (37/186) in pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD), $7 \%$ (35/507) in papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC), 18.5\% (34/184) in pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (PCPG), $6.6 \%$ (33/499) in prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD), $1.6 \%$ ( $1 /$ 61) in prostate adenocarcinoma, metastatic (PRAD-Met), 27\% (72/265) in sarcoma, $16.5 \%$ (79/477) in skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM), 6\% (26/443) in stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), $6.8 \%$ (35/511) in thyroid carcinoma (THCA), 22.8\% (13/57) in uterine carcinosarcoma (UCS) and $12.6 \%$ (69/548) in uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC).

Supplementary Fig. S5. Previous cBioportal analyses have also indicated FAM72B (among others) as a potential biomarker of prostate cancer [38].

## 4. Discussion

We unravelled that the four human paralogous genes FAM72A-D have the four paralogous genes SRGAP2A-D as their paired neighbours. Most likely, the lncRNAs between the FAM72/SRGAP2 gene pairs control tissue-specific expression patterns [39,40]. We could not confirm an unusual intron length compared with ten other randomly selected genes (data not shown) [27]. However, the low GC3 content may explain the low expression levels of the FAM72 proteins [41-43].

Human brain cognitive functions, including memory and learning processes, greatly depend on synaptic plasticity, which is largely controlled by exon-dendrite interactions [44-46]. SRGAP2 has been associated with neurodevelopmental disorders [47] and, similar to FAM72, the SRGAP2 paralogues display a broad tissue expression pattern with higher levels in neuronal tissue, particularly in the human brain, where they regulate neurodevelopmental processes [31,48-51]. Recently, SRGAP2 was demonstrated to have paralogous gene-specific functions during brain development. Dysfunction of a SRGAP2 paralogue may result in severe brain diseases, ranging from epileptic seizures to cognitive deficits [48]. Duplication of human-specific genes is particularly important for genes involved in nervous system development $[52,53]$ but is also a genetic mechanism for evolutionary innovation in organismal adaptation [54].

WGD is associated with both brain disease (uncontrolled gene duplication) [55-60] and the origin of the human species [61]. However, genetic evolution for the innovative brain development required for higher brain functions in Homo sapiens and genetic variation-based brain diseases have been considered to be closely related [62,63].

From an evolutionary point of view, the FAM72/SRGAP2 gene pair seems to define the notochord-containing vertebrates, whereas the four paralogous FAM72/SRGAP2 couples seem to be a distinctive feature of humans. Because they are observed exclusively in humans, these four FAM72/SRGAP2 gene couples define the emergence of Homo sapiens as a distinct species of Hominidae because of the peculiar developmental feature that defines the human brain with its higher cognitive functions [53]. Assuming that the gene duplication was completed with the appearance of Homo australopithecus [31], it remains an enigma why no known species contains two or three FAM72 (and SRGAP2) genes - the other great apes (e.g., chimpanzee and gorilla) contain only one such gene pair. Notably, although all four FAM72 genes in the genus of hominids (Homo) underwent the same (post-duplication) phylogenetic development, they have distinct mutation patterns, raising questions regarding current gene evolution theories.

A simple evolution-based asymmetric segmental gene duplication, which generated four human ohnologues [64] or positional paralogues (topoparalogues) [65], as hypothetically previously discussed [53], seems to be epistemologically questionable because highly conserved areas with uniform exons and introns of both FAM72 and SRGAP2 genes are interrupted by variable parts of chr 1 (Figs. 2 and 8). Because the FAM72/SRGAP2 pair follows an 'All (Homo) or (N)One' (all other species) principle, another theory must be developed to explain this observation because the parameter time, as suggested previously [8,31], does not adequately explain this quantum leap between humans and the other great apes.

The identification of the NPC self-renewal supporting FAM72 gene [15] as a cancer-specific candidate is highly promising. Thus far, the phenomenon of (brain-) neuron-specific genes expressed in non-


Fig. 8. Comparison of the paired FAM72A and SRGAP2A gene loci on chr 1. Gene duplication (SRGAP2A - > SRGAP2B followed by SRGAP2B - > SRGAP2C and D) had previously been suggested. Non-preserved (green and blue) areas (see Figs. 1C, and 2) in the middle of preserved (red) areas suggest against FAM72 ohnology (WGD) by simple asymmetric segmental gene duplication (if not followed by a partial interregional SRGAP2 exon degeneration/deletion and intron variation, including lncRNA generation and variations). The grey area was lost in all truncated SRGAP2 versions B-D.
neuronal tissue has been rarely observed and remains poorly understood [ $12,66,67$ ]. It is tempting to speculate that, under physiological conditions, FAM72 is active in the nervous system to maintain the selfrenewal capacity of NPCs [8,14,15]. However, under pathophysiological conditions, FAM72 may cause post-mitotic neuronal cell death [14] and become a pivotal player in various cancer diseases in other tissues, particularly in breast cancer with higher PKC expression [16,17] (Fig. 4). Because FAM72 seems to characterize pathologically proliferating cells outside of the nervous system but is otherwise absent, it is an interesting therapeutic drug target candidate in cancer [68]. In this regard, it is notable that a GWA in East Asians identified a breast cancer susceptibility locus at 1q32.1 (FAM72A) within intron 2 of ZC3H11A and the $5^{\prime}$ UTR of the ZBED6 ( $\sim 2300 \mathrm{kbp}$ downstream of FAM72A) [69]. By contrast, thus far, SRGAP2 has not been linked to any cancer disease, and the biological relevance of these conjoint paralogous gene loci (FAM72 and SRGAP2) remains to be elucidated.

Three of the A-D FAM72 aa variations are located near the potential transmembrane domain (aa 74 - aa 94) of FAM72 [17], and the major changes at aa positions 94 (L->P), $99(\mathrm{G}->\mathrm{R})$ and 125 (W->R) (Supplementary Fig. S2 [70,71]) may indicate opposing functions [48].

## 5. Conclusion

The biological relevance of the FAM72 ohnology (neo-functionalization or degeneration because of the new genomic context and aa variations) and the extent to which each FAM72 paralogue contributes to its physiological or pathophysiological outcome remains to be investigated. The IncRNAs between the FAM72/SRGAP2 gene pairs may play a pivotal role in the control of these gene pairs to guide their specific contributions to neuronal development (FAM72 in NPCs) and neuronal activities (SRGAP2 in post-mitotic neurons) [39,40].
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