

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the additive-quadratic mappings in non-Archimedean Banach spaces

C Park<sup>1</sup>, H Azadi Kenary<sup>2\*</sup> and TM Rassias<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence: azadi@mail.yu.ac.ir  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, Yasouj, 75914-353, Iran  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

Using the fixed point and direct methods, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces

$$r \left[ f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) + f\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) \right] = \gamma f(x) + \gamma f(y) + \gamma f(z),$$

where  $r, s, \gamma$  are positive real numbers.

**MSC:** Primary 39B55; 46S10

**Keywords:** Hyers-Ulam stability; fixed point method; non-Archimedean normed spaces

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: ‘When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation must be close to an exact solution of the equation?’ If the problem accepts a solution, we say that the equation is *stable*. The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [44] in 1940. In the next year, Hyers [23] gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [39] proved a generalization of Hyers’ theorem for additive mappings. This new concept is known as generalized Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. Furthermore, in 1994, a generalization of Rassias’ theorem was obtained by Găvruta [21] by replacing the bound  $\epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$ .

In 1983, a generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [43] for mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. In 1984, Cholewa [11] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $X$  is replaced by an Abelian group and, in 2002, Czerwik [13] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. The reader is referred to [1–42] and references therein for detailed information on stability of functional equations.

In 1897, Hensel [22] has introduced a normed space which does not have the Archimedean property. It turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many nice applications (see [16, 25–27, 33]).

**Definition 1.1** By a *non-Archimedean field*, we mean a field  $\mathbb{K}$  equipped with a function (valuation)  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ , the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $|r| = 0$  if and only if  $r = 0$ ;
- (2)  $|rs| = |r||s|$ ;
- (3)  $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$ .

**Definition 1.2** Let  $X$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *non-Archimedean norm* (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$  ( $r \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X$ );
- (3) The strong triangle inequality (ultrametric); namely,

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a non-Archimedean space.

Due to the fact that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| : m \leq j \leq n - 1\} \quad (n > m).$$

**Definition 1.3** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is *Cauchy* if and only if  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  converges to zero in a non-Archimedean space. By a complete non-Archimedean space we mean one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition 1.4** Let  $X$  be a set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *generalized metric* on  $X$  if  $d$  satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

**Theorem 1.5** ([13, 17]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $J : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $\alpha < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in X$ , either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

*for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that*

- (1)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$ ;
- (2) *the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $J$ ;*
- (3)  $y^*$  *is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;*
- (4)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(y, Jy)$  *for all  $y \in Y$ .*

In 1996, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [24] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed-point theorems with applications. By using fixed-point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [14, 15, 35, 36, 40]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, using the fixed-point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) \\
 &= \gamma f(x) + \gamma f(y) + \gamma f(z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $x, y, z \in X$ , in non-Archimedean normed space. In Section 3, using direct methods, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation (1.1) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

It is easy to see that a mapping  $f$  with  $f(0) = 0$  is a solution of equation (1.1) if and only if  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = A(x) + Q(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

## 2 Stability of functional equation (1.1): a fixed point method

In this section, we deal with the stability problem for the additive-quadratic functional equation (1.1). In the rest of the present article, let  $|2| \neq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with*

$$\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z) \leq |2|\alpha\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an odd mapping satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) - \gamma f(y) - \gamma f(z) \right\|_Y \leq \varphi(x, y, z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0)\}}{|2\gamma|(1-\alpha)} \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* Putting  $y = z = 0$  in (2.2) and replacing  $x$  by  $2x$ , we get

$$\left\| rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2}f(2x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{|2|}\varphi(2x, 0, 0) \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Putting  $y = x$  and  $z = 0$  in (2.2), we have

$$\left\| rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) \right\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{|2|}\varphi(x, x, 0) \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2x)}{2} - f(x) \right\|_Y &= \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \left\| \frac{\gamma}{2} f(2x) \pm r f\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \left\| r f\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} f(2x) \right\|_Y, \left\| r f\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) \right\|_Y \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|2\gamma|} \max \{ \varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0) \}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Consider the set  $S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y\}$  and introduce the generalized metric on  $S$ :

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ \mu \in (0, +\infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\|_Y \leq \mu \max \{ \varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0) \}, \forall x \in X \},$$

where, as usual,  $\inf \phi = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(S, d)$  is complete (see [30]). Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : S \rightarrow S$  such that  $Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $g, h \in S$  be given such that  $d(g, h) = \epsilon$ . Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\|_Y \leq \epsilon \max \{ \varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0) \}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\|_Y &= \left\| \frac{1}{2}g(2x) - \frac{1}{2}h(2x) \right\|_Y \\ &= \frac{\|g(2x) - h(2x)\|_Y}{|2|} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{|2|} \max \{ \varphi(4x, 0, 0), \varphi(2x, 2x, 0) \} \\ &\leq \alpha \cdot \epsilon \max \{ \varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0) \} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . So  $d(g, h) = \epsilon$  implies that  $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\epsilon$ . This means that  $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$  for all  $g, h \in S$ .

It follows from (2.6) that  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{|2\gamma|}$ . By Theorem 1.5, there exists a mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $A$  is a fixed point of  $J$ , i.e.,

$$2A(x) = A(2x) \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The mapping  $A$  is a unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $M = \{g \in S : d(h, g) < \infty\}$ . This implies that  $A$  is a unique mapping satisfying (2.7) such that there exists a  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\|f(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \mu \max \{ \varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0) \}$  for all  $x \in X$ ;

- (2)  $d(J^n f, A) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = A(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X;$$

(3)  $d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(f, Jf)$ , which implies the inequality  $d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{|2\gamma|(1-\alpha)}$ . This implies that the inequalities (2.3) holds.

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| rA\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rA\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma A(x) - \gamma A(y) - \gamma A(z) \right\|_Y \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|2|^n} \left\| rf\left(\frac{2^n(x+y+z)}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{2^n(x-y+z)}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{2^n(x+y-z)}{s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rf\left(\frac{2^n(-x+y+z)}{s}\right) - \gamma f(2^n x) - \gamma f(2^n y) - \gamma f(2^n z) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|2|^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|2|^n} \cdot |2|^n \alpha^n \varphi(x, y, z) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & rA\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \\ & \quad + rA\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma A(x) - \gamma A(y) - \gamma A(z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Hence,  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying (1.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and  $q$  is a real number with  $q > 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) - \gamma f(y) - \gamma f(z) \right\|_Y \leq \theta (\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2|2|\theta \|x\|^q}{|2\gamma|(|2| - |2|^q)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = |2|^{q-1}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{|2|} \varphi(x, y, z) \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an odd mapping satisfying (2.2). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\alpha \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0)\}}{|2\gamma|(1 - \alpha)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* Let  $(S, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (2.6) and using (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_Y &\leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max\left\{\varphi(x, 0, 0), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{|2\gamma|} \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

So  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{\alpha}{|2\gamma|}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.4** Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and  $q$  is a real number with  $0 < q < 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an odd mapping satisfying (2.8). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{2|2|^\theta \|x\|^q}{|2\gamma|(|2|^q - |2|)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = |2|^{1-q}$  and we get the desired result. □

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z) \leq |4|\alpha\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.11}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.2). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi(x, x, 0)\}}{|4\gamma|(1 - \alpha)} \tag{2.12}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* Consider the set  $S^* = \{g : X \rightarrow Y; g(0) = 0\}$  and the generalized metric  $d^*$  in  $S^*$  defined by

$$d^*(g, h) = \inf\{\mu \in (0, +\infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\|_Y \leq \mu \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi(x, x, 0)\}, \forall x \in X\},$$

where, as usual,  $\inf \phi = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(S^*, d^*)$  is complete (see [30]). Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : (S^*, d^*) \rightarrow (S^*, d^*)$  such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{4}g(2x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Putting  $y = x$  and  $z = 0$  in (2.2), we have

$$\left\| 2rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - 2\gamma f(x) \right\|_Y \leq \varphi(x, x, 0) \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Substituting  $y = z = 0$  and then replacing  $x$  by  $2x$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$\left\| 4rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(2x) \right\|_Y \leq \varphi(2x, 0, 0). \tag{2.14}$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2x)}{4} - f(x) \right\|_Y &= \frac{1}{|4\gamma|} \left\| 2\left(2rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - 2\gamma f(x)\right) - \left(4rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(2x)\right) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|4\gamma|} \max\left\{ |2| \left\| 2rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - 2\gamma f(x) \right\|_Y, \left\| 4rf\left(\frac{2x}{s}\right) - \gamma f(2x) \right\|_Y \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|4\gamma|} \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi(x, x, 0)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.6** *Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and  $q$  is a real number with  $q > 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.8). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{|4|2|2|\theta\|x\|^q}{|4\gamma|(|4| - |2|^q)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 by taking  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = |2|^{q-2}$  and we get the desired result. □

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{|4|}\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.16}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.2). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{\alpha \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi(x, x, 0)\}}{|4\gamma|(1 - \alpha)} \tag{2.17}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* It follows from (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_Y &\leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max\left\{\varphi(x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{|4\gamma|} \max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), |2|\varphi(x, x, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.5. □

**Corollary 2.8** Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number and  $q$  is a real number with  $0 < q < 2$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.8). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{|4|2|2|^\theta \|x\|^q}{|4\gamma|(|2|^q - |4|)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof* The proof follows from Theorem 2.7 by taking  $\varphi(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we can choose  $\alpha = |2|^{2-q}$  and we get the desired result. □

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (1.1). Let  $f_e(x) := \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$  and  $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ . Then  $f_e$  is an even mapping satisfying (1.1) and  $f_o$  is an odd mapping satisfying (1.1) such that  $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ .

On the other hand

$$\|D_{f_o}(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{\max\{D_f(x, y, z), D_f(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|} \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(x, y, z), \varphi(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|}$$

and

$$\|D_{f_e}(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{\max\{D_f(x, y, z), D_f(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|} \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(x, y, z), \varphi(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $D_f(x, y, z)$  is the difference operator of the functional equation (1.1). So we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9** Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z) \leq |4|\alpha\varphi(x, y, z)$$



for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.2). Then there exist a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\|_Y, \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\|_Y \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2x, 0, 0)\}, \max\{\varphi(x, x, 0), \varphi(-x, -x, 0)\}\}}{|4\gamma|(1-\alpha)}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\max\{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2x, 0, 0)\}, |2| \max\{\varphi(x, x, 0), \varphi(-x, -x, 0)\}\}}{|8\gamma|(1-\alpha)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $X$  is a non-Archimedean normed space and that  $Y$  be a complete non-Archimedean space. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $\alpha < 1$  with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha\varphi(x, y, z)}{|2|}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (2.2). Then there exist a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_Y \\ & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2x, 0, 0)\}, \max\{\varphi(x, x, 0), \varphi(-x, -x, 0)\}\}}{|4\gamma|(1-\alpha)}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\max\{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2x, 0, 0)\}, |2| \max\{\varphi(x, x, 0), \varphi(-x, -x, 0)\}\}}{|8\gamma|(1-\alpha)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

### 3 Stability of functional equation (1.1): a direct method

In this section, using direct method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation (1.1) in non-Archimedean space.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that, for any  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$\Omega(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

exists and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be an odd mapping satisfying

$$\left\| rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) - \gamma f(y) - \gamma f(z) \right\|_X \leq \varphi(x, y, z). \tag{3.3}$$

Then the limit

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

exists for all  $x \in G$  and defines an additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \Omega(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0$$

then  $A$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.4).

*Proof* By (2.10), we know

$$\left\| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \varphi(x, 0, 0), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \right\} \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_X \\ & \leq \frac{|2|^n}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, 0\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.1) and (3.6) that the sequence  $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, it follows that  $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. Set

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right).$$

By induction on  $n$ , one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_X \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in G$ . By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.7) and using (3.2), one obtains (3.4). By (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| rA\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rA\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rA\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma A(x) - \gamma A(y) - \gamma A(z) \right\|_X \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \left\| rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^n s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{2^n s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2^n s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{2^n s}\right) - \gamma f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \gamma f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - \gamma f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right) \right\|_X \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Therefore, the mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies (1.1).

To prove the uniqueness property of  $A$ , let  $L$  be another mapping satisfying (3.4). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(x) - L(x)\|_X \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \left\| A\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - L\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_X \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \max \left\{ \left\| A\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_X, \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - L\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\|_X \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; j \leq k < n+j \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore,  $A = L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$\xi(|2|^{-1}t) \leq \xi(|2|^{-1})\xi(t), \quad \xi(|2|^{-1}) < |2|^{-1}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that  $\kappa > 0$  and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| rf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x-y+z}{s}\right) + rf\left(\frac{x+y-z}{s}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + rf\left(\frac{-x+y+z}{s}\right) - \gamma f(x) - \gamma f(y) - \gamma f(z) \right\|_X \leq \kappa (\xi(\|x\|) + \xi(\|y\|) + \xi(\|z\|)) \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \kappa \xi(\|x\|), \frac{2}{|2|} \kappa \xi(\|x\|) \right\}.$$

*Proof* Defining  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\varphi(x, y, z) := \kappa(\xi(\|x\|) + \xi(\|y\|) + \xi(\|z\|))$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|2|\xi(|2|^{-1}))^n \varphi(x, y, z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . The last equality comes from the fact that  $|2|\xi(|2|^{-1}) < 1$ . On the other hand, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \varphi(x, 0, 0), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \kappa \zeta(\|x\|), \frac{2}{|2|} \kappa \zeta(\|x\|) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

exists for all  $x \in G$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0\right) \right\}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |2|^j \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, 0, 0\right), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0\right) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Theorem 3.1, we have the conclusion. This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{|2|^n} = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that, for any  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$\Omega(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)\}}{|2|^k}; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \tag{3.10}$$

exists and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be an odd mapping satisfying (3.3). Then the limit  $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in G$  and

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|2\gamma|} \Omega(x) \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)\}}{|2|^k}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0,$$

then  $A$  is the unique mapping satisfying (3.11).

*Proof* By (2.6), we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2x)}{2} - f(x) \right\|_X \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(2x, 0, 0), \varphi(x, x, 0)\}}{|2\gamma|} \tag{3.12}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $2^n x$  in (3.12), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\|_X \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{n+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0)\}}{|2\gamma||2|^n}. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.9) and (3.13) that the sequence  $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent. Set

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(2^p x)}{2^q} - \frac{f(2^q x)}{2^q} \right\|_X \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=p}^{q-1} \frac{f(2^{k+1}x)}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} \right\|_X \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(2^{k+1}x)}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} \right\|_X ; p \leq k < q-1 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|2\gamma|} \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)\}}{|2|^k} ; p \leq k < q \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  and  $p, q \geq 0$  with  $q > p \geq 0$ . Letting  $p = 0$ , taking  $q \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality and using (3.10), we obtain (3.11).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4|^n \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that, for any  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$\Theta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |4|^k \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), |2|^k \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\} ; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \tag{3.15}$$

exists and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (3.3). Then the limit  $Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$  exists for all  $x \in G$  and defines a quadratic mapping  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \Theta(x). \tag{3.16}$$

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |4|^k \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), |2| \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0$$

then  $Q$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.16).

*Proof* It follows from (2.15) that

$$\left\| f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \varphi(x, 0, 0), |2| \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \right\}. \tag{3.17}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  in (3.18), we have

$$\left\| 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 4^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_X \leq \frac{|4|^n}{|\gamma|} \max \left\{ \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0, 0\right), |2| \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, 0\right) \right\}. \tag{3.18}$$

It follows from (3.14) and (3.18) that the sequence  $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy sequence. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Similarly, we can obtain the followings. We will omit the proof.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{|4|^n} = 0 \tag{3.19}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that, for any  $x \in G$ , the limit

$$\Theta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)\}}{|4|^k}; 0 \leq k < n \right\} \tag{3.20}$$

exists and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be an even mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (3.3). Then the limit  $Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}$  exists for all  $x \in G$  and

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|4\gamma|} \Theta(x) \tag{3.21}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\max\{\varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)\}}{|4|^k}; j \leq k < n + j \right\} = 0,$$

then  $Q$  is the unique mapping satisfying (3.21).

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (1.1). Let  $f_e(x) := \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$  and  $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ . Then  $f_e$  is an even mapping satisfying (1.1) and  $f_o$  is an odd mapping satisfying (1.1) such that  $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ . On the other hand,

$$\|D_{f_o}(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(x, y, z), \varphi(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|}$$

and

$$\|D_{f_e}(x, y, z)\| \leq \frac{\max\{\varphi(x, y, z), \varphi(-x, -y, -z)\}}{|2|}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $D_f(x, y, z)$  is the difference operator of the functional equation (1.1). So we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{|4|^n} = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^*(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq k < n} \{ \max\{ \max\{ \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \}, \\ &\quad \max\{ \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0), \varphi(-2^k x, -2^k x, 0) \} \} / |2|^{k+1} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^*(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq k < n} \{ \max\{ \max\{ \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \}, \\ &\quad \max\{ \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0), \varphi(-2^k x, -2^k x, 0) \} \} / (|2||4|^k) \} \end{aligned}$$

exist for all  $x \in G$  and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (3.3). Then there exist an additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$  and a quadratic mapping  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_X \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) \right\|_X, \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\|_X \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{|2\gamma|} \Omega^*(x), \frac{1}{|4\gamma|} \Theta^*(x) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, if

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{j \leq k < n+j} \{ \max\{ \max\{ \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \}, \\ &\quad \max\{ \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0), \varphi(-2^k x, -2^k x, 0) \} \} / |2|^{k+1} \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{j \leq k < n+j} \{ \max\{ \max\{ \varphi(2^{k+1}x, 0, 0), \varphi(-2^{k+1}x, 0, 0) \}, \\ &\quad \max\{ \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0), \varphi(-2^k x, -2^k x, 0) \} \} / (|2||4|^k) \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then  $A, Q$  are the unique mappings satisfying (3.22).

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $G$  be a vector space and that  $X$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. Assume that  $\varphi : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Suppose that the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{**}(x) = & \frac{1}{|2|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq k < n} \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right) \right\}, \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{**}(x) = & \frac{1}{|2|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq k < n} \left\{ |4|^k \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right) \right\}, \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. |2| \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

exist for all  $x \in G$  and  $f : G \rightarrow X$  be a mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and satisfying (3.3). Then there exist an additive mapping  $A : G \rightarrow X$  and a quadratic mapping  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x) - Q(x)\|_X \leq \frac{\max\{\Omega^{**}(x), \Theta^{**}(x)\}}{|y|} \tag{3.23}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, if

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{j \leq k < n+j} \left\{ |2|^k \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right) \right\}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\} \right\} \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{j \leq k < n+j} \left\{ |4|^k \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^k}, 0, 0 \right) \right\}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |2| \max \left\{ \varphi \left( \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right), \varphi \left( \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{-x}{2^{k+1}}, 0 \right) \right\} \right\} \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then  $A, Q$  are the unique mappings satisfying (3.23).

#### 4 Conclusion

We linked here two different disciplines, namely, the non-Archimedean normed spaces and functional equations. We established the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.



#### Authors' contributions

All authors conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, Yasouj, 75914-353, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Campus, Athens, 15780, Greece.

Received: 29 September 2011 Accepted: 27 July 2012 Published: 6 August 2012

#### References

1. Aoki, T: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **2**, 64-66 (1950)
2. Arriola, LM, Beyer, WA: Stability of the Cauchy functional equation over  $p$ -adic fields. *Real Anal. Exch.* **31**, 125-132 (2005/06)
3. Azadi Kenary, H: Stability of a pexiderial functional equation in random normed spaces. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2011). doi:10.1007/s12215-011-0027-5
4. Azadi Kenary, H: Non-Archimedean stability of Cauchy-Jensen type functional equation. *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **2**(2), 93-103 (2011)
5. Azadi Kenary, H: Approximate additive functional equations in closed convex cone. *J. Math. Ext.* **5**(2), 51-65 (2011)
6. Azadi Kenary, H: On the stability of a cubic functional equation in random normed spaces. *J. Math. Ext.* **4**(1), 105-113 (2009)
7. Azadi Kenary, H, Rezaei, H, Talebzadeh, S, Lee, SJ: Stabilities of cubic mappings in various normed spaces: direct and fixed point methods. *J. Appl. Math.* **2012**, Article ID 546819 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/546819
8. Azadi Kenary, H, Rezaei, H, Ebadian, A, Zohdi, AR: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias RNS approximation of Euler-Lagrange-type additive mappings. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2012**, Article ID 672531 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/672531
9. Azadi Kenary, H, Shafaat, K, Shafiee, M, Takbiri, G: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Apollonius type quadratic mapping in RN-spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **4**(1), 82-91 (2011)
10. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(1), Article ID 4 (2003)
11. Cholewa, PW: Remarks on the stability of functional equations. *Aequ. Math.* **27**, 76-86 (1984)
12. Chung, J, Sahoo, PK: On the general solution of a quartic functional equation. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **40**, 565-576 (2003)
13. Czerwik, S: *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*. World Scientific, River Edge (2002)
14. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach. *Grazer Math. Ber.* **346**, 43-52 (2004)
15. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2008**, Article ID 749392 (2008)
16. Deses, D: On the representation of non-Archimedean objects. *Topol. Appl.* **153**, 774-785 (2005)
17. Diaz, J, Margolis, B: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **74**, 305-309 (1968)
18. Eshaghi-Gordji, M, Abbaszadeh, S, Park, C: On the stability of a generalized quadratic and quartic type functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 153084 (2009)
19. Eshaghi-Gordji, M, Kaboli-Gharetapeh, S, Park, C, Zolfaghri, S: Stability of an additive-cubic-quartic functional equation. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2009**, Article ID 395693 (2009)
20. Fechner, W: Stability of a functional inequality associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation. *Aequ. Math.* **71**, 149-161 (2006)
21. Găvruta, P: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184**, 431-436 (1994)
22. Hensel, K: *Uebereine news Begrundung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*. *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.* **6**, 83-88 (1897)
23. Hyers, DH: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **27**, 222-224 (1941)
24. Hyers, DH, Isac, G, Rassias, TM: *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Birkhäuser, Basel (1998)
25. Katsaras, AK, Beoyiannis, A: Tensor products of non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions. *Georgian Math. J.* **6**, 33-44 (1999)
26. Khrennikov, A: *Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models*. Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 427. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1997)
27. Kominek, Z: On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation. *Demonstr. Math.* **22**, 499-507 (1989)
28. Lee, S, Im, S, Hwang, I: Quartic functional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **307**, 387-394 (2005)
29. Mohammadi, M, Cho, YJ, Park, C, Vetro, P, Saadati, R: Random stability of an additive-quadratic-quartic functional equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 754210 (2010)
30. Mihet, D, Radu, V: On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343**, 567-572 (2008)
31. Mursaleen, M, Mohiuddine, SA: On stability of a cubic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **42**, 2997-3005 (2009)
32. Najati, A, Park, C: The pexiderized Apollonius-Jensen type additive mapping and isomorphisms between  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **14**, 459-479 (2008)
33. Nyikos, PJ: On some non-Archimedean spaces of Alexandroff and Urysohn. *Topol. Appl.* **91**, 1-23 (1999)
34. Park, C: Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of  $n$ -sesquilinear-quadratic mappings on Banach modules over  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **180**, 279-291 (2005)
35. Park, C: Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2007**, Article ID 50175 (2007)

36. Park, C: Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2008**, Article ID 493751 (2008)
37. Parnami, JC, Vasudeva, HL: On Jensen's functional equation. *Aequ. Math.* **43**, 211-218 (1992)
38. Radu, V: The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations. *Fixed Point Theory* **4**, 91-96 (2003)
39. Rassias, TM: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **72**, 297-300 (1978)
40. Rätz, J: On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation. *Aequ. Math.* **66**, 191-200 (2003)
41. Saadati, R, Park, C: Non-Archimedean  $\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy normed spaces and stability of functional equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 2488-2496 (2010)
42. Saadati, R, Park, JH: On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **27**, 331-344 (2006)
43. Skof, F: Local properties and approximation of operators. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Fis. Milano* **53**, 113-129 (1983)
44. Ulam, SM: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Science Editions. Wiley, New York (1964)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-174

**Cite this article as:** Park et al.: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the additive-quadratic mappings in non-Archimedean Banach spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 2012:174.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---