

Research Article

Stability of Homomorphisms and Generalized Derivations on Banach Algebras

Abbas Najati¹ and Choonkil Park²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil 56199-11367, Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Choonkil Park, baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Received 14 June 2009; Accepted 18 November 2009

Recommended by Sin-Ei Takahasi

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms and generalized derivations associated to the following functional equation $f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = f(3x) + f(3y)$ on Banach algebras.

Copyright © 2009 A. Najati and C. Park. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised from a question of Ulam [1]. Let $(G_1, *)$ be a group and let (G_2, \diamond, d) be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in G_1$?

Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Aoki [3] and Rassias [4] provided a generalization of the Hyers' theorem for additive and linear mappings, respectively, by allowing the Cauchy difference to be unbounded (see also [5]).

Theorem 1.1 (Rassias). *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ε and p are constants with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (1.4)$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.5)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$ then inequality (1.3) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.5) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is linear.

In 1994, a generalization of the Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta [6], who replaced the bound $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$. For the stability problems of various functional equations and mappings and their Pexiderized versions, we refer the readers to [7–15]. We also refer readers to the books in [16–19].

Let A be a real or complex algebra. A mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a (ring) derivation if

$$D(a+b) = D(a) + D(b), \quad D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b) \quad (1.6)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. If, in addition, $D(\lambda a) = \lambda D(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, then D is called a linear derivation, where \mathbb{F} denotes the scalar field of A . Singer and Wermer [20] proved that if A is a commutative Banach algebra and $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a continuous linear derivation, then $D(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$. They also conjectured that the same result holds even D is a discontinuous linear derivation. Thomas [21] proved the conjecture. As a direct consequence, we see that there are no nonzero linear derivations on a semisimple commutative Banach algebra, which had been proved by Johnson [22]. On the other hand, it is not the case for ring derivations. Hatori and Wada [23] determined a representation of ring derivations on a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra (see also [24]) and they proved that only the zero operator is a ring derivation on a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra with the maximal ideal space without isolated points. The stability of derivations between operator algebras was first obtained by Šemrl [25]. Badora [26] and Miura et al. [8] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of ring derivations on Banach algebras. An additive mapping $D : A \rightarrow A$ is called a Jordan derivation in case $D(a^2) = D(a)a + aD(a)$ is fulfilled for all $a \in A$. Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is in general not true (see [27, 28]). The concept of generalized derivation has been introduced by M. Brešar [29]. Hvala [30] and Lee [31] introduced a concept of (θ, ϕ) -derivation (see also [32]). Let θ, ϕ be automorphisms of A . An additive mapping $F : A \rightarrow A$ is called a (θ, ϕ) -derivation in case $F(ab) = F(a)\theta(b) + \phi(a)F(b)$ holds for all pairs $a, b \in A$. An additive mapping $F : A \rightarrow A$ is called a (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation in case $F(a^2) = F(a)\theta(a) + \phi(a)F(a)$ holds for all $a \in A$. An additive mapping $F : A \rightarrow A$

is called a *generalized (θ, ϕ) -derivation* in case $F(ab) = F(a)\theta(b) + \phi(a)D(b)$ holds for all pairs $a, b \in A$, where $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a (θ, ϕ) -derivation. An additive mapping $F : A \rightarrow A$ is called a *generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation* in case $F(a^2) = F(a)\theta(a) + \phi(a)D(a)$ holds for all $a \in A$, where $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation. It is clear that every generalized (θ, ϕ) -derivation is a generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation.

The aim of the present paper is to establish the stability problem of homomorphisms and generalized (θ, ϕ) -derivations by using the fixed point method (see [7, 33–35]).

Let E be a set. A function $d : E \times E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on E if d satisfies

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in E$;
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in E$.

We recall the following theorem by Margolis and Diaz.

Theorem 1.2 (See [36]). *Let (E, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : E \rightarrow E$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in E$, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad (1.7)$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a nonnegative integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in E : d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq (1/(1-L))d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

2. Stability of Homomorphisms

Daróczy et al. [37] have studied the functional equation

$$f(px + (1-p)y) + f((1-p)x + py) = f(x) + f(y), \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 < p < 1$ is a fixed parameter and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is unknown, I is a nonvoid open interval and (2.1) holds for all $x, y \in I$. They characterized the equivalence of (2.1) and Jensen's functional equation in terms of the algebraic properties of the parameter p . For $p = 1/2$ in (2.1), we get the Jensen's functional equation. In the present paper, we establish the general solution and some stability results concerning the functional equation (2.1) in normed spaces for $p = 1/3$. This applied to investigate and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms and generalized derivations in real Banach algebras. In this section, we assume that \mathcal{X} is a normed algebra and \mathcal{Y} is a Banach algebra. For convenience, we use the following abbreviation for a given mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$,

$$Df(x, y) := f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) - f(3x) - f(3y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let X and Y be linear spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies

$$f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = f(3x) + f(3y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, if and only if f is additive.

Proof. Let f satisfy (2.3). Letting $y = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$f(x) + f(2x) = f(3x) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$[f(x) + f(-x)] + [f(2x) + f(-2x)] = f(3x) + f(-3x) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $y = -x$ in (2.3), we get $f(x) + f(-x) = f(3x) + f(-3x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore by (2.5) we have $f(2x) + f(-2x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. This means that f is odd. Letting $y = -2x$ in (2.3) and using the oddness of f , we infer that $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence by (2.4) we have $f(3x) = 3f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore it follows from (2.3) that f satisfies

$$f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = 3[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing x and y by $(2y - x)/3$ and $(2x - y)/3$ in (2.6), respectively, we get

$$f(x) + f(y) = f(2x - y) + f(2y - x) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Replacing y by $-y$ in (2.7) and using the oddness of f , we get

$$f(2x + y) - f(x + 2y) = f(x) - f(y) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Adding (2.6) to (2.8), we get $f(2x + y) = 2f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Using the identity $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ and replacing x by $x/2$ in the last identity, we infer that $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Hence f is additive. The converse is obvious. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist functions $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(x, 2^k y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\| \leq \psi(x, y) \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 2L\varphi(x, y) \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique (ring) homomorphism $H : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2-2L}\phi(x), \quad (2.13)$$

$$H(x)[H(y) - f(y)] = [H(x) - f(x)]H(y) = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\phi(x) := \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{3}, \frac{2x}{3}\right). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. By the assumption, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $y = 0$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|f(x) + f(2x) - f(3x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0) \quad (2.17)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence

$$\|[f(x) + f(-x)] + [f(2x) + f(-2x)] - [f(3x) + f(-3x)]\| \leq \varphi(x, 0) + \varphi(-x, 0) \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $y = -x$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|[f(x) + f(-x)] - [f(3x) + f(-3x)]\| \leq \varphi(x, -x) \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore by (2.18) we have

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $y = -2x$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|f(x) - f(-x) - f(2x)\| \leq \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{3}, \frac{2x}{3}\right) \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Now, it follows from (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{3}, \frac{2x}{3}\right) \quad (2.22)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $E := \{g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, g(0) = 0\}$. We introduce a generalized metric on E as follows:

$$d_\phi(g, h) := \inf\{C \in [0, \infty] : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}\}. \quad (2.23)$$

It is easy to show that (E, d_ϕ) is a generalized complete metric space [34].

Now we consider the mapping $\Lambda : E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$(\Lambda g)(x) = \frac{1}{2}g(2x), \quad \forall g \in E, x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (2.24)$$

Let $g, h \in E$ and let $C \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d_\phi(g, h) \leq C$. From the definition of d_ϕ , we have

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq C\phi(x) \quad (2.25)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. By the assumption and the last inequality, we have

$$\|(\Lambda g)(x) - (\Lambda h)(x)\| = \frac{1}{2}\|g(2x) - h(2x)\| \leq \frac{C}{2}\phi(2x) \leq CL\phi(x) \quad (2.26)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So $d_\phi(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq Ld_\phi(g, h)$ for any $g, h \in E$. It follows from (2.22) that $d_\phi(\Lambda f, f) \leq 1/2$. Therefore according to Theorem 1.2, the sequence $\{\Lambda^k f\}$ converges to a fixed point H of Λ , that is,

$$H : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, \quad H(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^k f)(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} f(2^k x) \quad (2.27)$$

and $H(2x) = 2H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Also H is the unique fixed point of Λ in the set $E_\phi = \{g \in E : d_\phi(f, g) < \infty\}$ and

$$d_\phi(H, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_\phi(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2-2L}, \quad (2.28)$$

that is, inequality (2.13) holds true for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows from the definition of H , (2.10), and (2.16) that $DH(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $H(0) = 0$, by Lemma 2.1 the mapping H is additive. So it follows from the definition of H , (2.9), and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(xy) - H(x)H(y)\| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \|f(4^k xy) - f(2^k x)f(2^k y)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \psi(2^k x, 2^k y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. So H is homomorphism. Similarly, we have from (2.9) and (2.11) that

$$H(xy) = H(x)f(y), \quad H(xy) = f(x)H(y) \quad (2.30)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Since H is homomorphism, we get (2.14) from (2.30).

Finally it remains to prove the uniqueness of H . Let $H_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ another homomorphism satisfying (2.13). Since $d_\phi(f, H_1) \leq 1/(2 - 2L)$ and H_1 is additive, we get $H_1 \in E_\phi$ and $(\Lambda H_1)(x) = (1/2)H_1(2x) = H_1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, that is, H_1 is a fixed point of Λ . Since H is the unique fixed point of Λ in E_ϕ , we get $H_1 = H$. \square

We need the following lemma in the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 2.3 (See [38]). *Let X and Y be linear spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 1\}$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let X and Y be linear spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$f(2\mu x + \mu y) + f(\mu x + 2\mu y) = \mu[f(3x) + f(3y)] \quad (2.31)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$, if and only if f is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Proof. Let f satisfy (2.31). Letting $x = y = 0$ in (2.31), we get $f(0) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping f is additive. Letting $y = 0$ in (2.31) and using the additivity of f , we get that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. So by Lemma 2.4, the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear. The converse is obvious. \square

The following theorem is an alternative result of Theorem 2.2 with similar proof.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping for which there exist functions $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^k}x, y\right) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{2^k}y\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4^k \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^k}x, \frac{1}{2^k}y\right) = 0, \\ \|f(2\mu x + \mu y) + f(\mu x + 2\mu y) - \mu[f(3x) + f(3y)]\| &\leq \varphi(x, y), \\ \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\| &\leq \psi(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such that

$$2\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}x, \frac{1}{2}y\right) \leq L\varphi(x, y) \quad (2.33)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{2-2L}\phi(x), \\ H(x)[H(y) - f(y)] &= [H(x) - f(x)]H(y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\phi(x)$ is defined as in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. It follows from the assumptions that $\varphi(0,0) = 0$, and so $f(0) = 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 and we omit the details. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let $p, q, \delta, \varepsilon$ be non-negative real numbers with $0 < p, q < 1$. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(2\mu x + \mu y) + f(\mu x + 2\mu y) - \mu[f(3x) + f(3y)]\| &\leq \delta + \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\| &\leq \delta + \varepsilon(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q) \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{4\delta}{2-2^p} + \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2-2^p)}\varepsilon\|x\|^p, \\ H(x)[H(y) - f(y)] &= [H(x) - f(x)]H(y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \delta + \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad \psi(x, y) := \delta + \varepsilon(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q) \quad (2.37)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{p-1}$ and we get the desired results. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let p, q, ε be non-negative real numbers with $p > 1$ and $q > 2$. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(2\mu x + \mu y) + f(\mu x + 2\mu y) - \mu[f(3x) + f(3y)]\| &\leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)\| &\leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q) \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2^p - 2)}\varepsilon\|x\|^p, \\ H(x)[H(y) - f(y)] &= [H(x) - f(x)]H(y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad \psi(x, y) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q) \quad (2.40)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-p}$ and we get the desired results. \square

3. Stability of Generalized (θ, ϕ) -Derivations

In this section, we assume that \mathcal{Y} is a Banach algebra, and θ, ϕ are automorphisms of \mathcal{Y} . For convenience, we use the following abbreviation for given mappings $f, g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f,g}^{\theta,\phi}(x, y) &:= f(xy) - f(x)\theta(y) - \phi(x)g(y), \\ J_{f,g}^{\theta,\phi}(x) &:= f(x^2) - f(x)\theta(x) - \phi(x)g(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Now we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of generalized (θ, ϕ) -derivations and generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivations in Banach algebras.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f, g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{Y}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|J_{f,g}^{\theta,\phi}(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|Dg(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|J_{g,g}^{\theta,\phi}(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$. If there exists a constants $0 < L < 1$ such

$$4\varphi(x, y) \leq L\varphi(2x, 2y) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then there exist a unique (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - F(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{4-2L}\phi(x), \\ \|g(x) - G(x)\| &\leq \frac{L}{4-2L}\phi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $\phi(x)$ is defined as in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. It follows from the assumptions that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$. By the proof of Theorem 2.5, there exist unique additive mappings $F, G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying (3.7) and

$$F(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k f\left(\frac{1}{2^k}x\right), \quad G(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k g\left(\frac{1}{2^k}x\right) \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$. It follows from the definitions of F, G (3.3), and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{F,G}^{\theta,\phi}(x)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \|J_{f,g}^{\theta,\phi}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0, \\ \|J_{G,G}^{\theta,\phi}(x)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \|J_{g,g}^{\theta,\phi}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence

$$F(x^2) = F(x)\theta(x) + \phi(x)G(x), \quad G(x^2) = G(x)\theta(x) + \phi(x)G(x) \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence G is a (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation and F is a generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation. \square

Remark 3.2. Applying Theorem 3.1 for the case $\varphi(x, y) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ ($\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p > 2$), there exist a unique (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - F(x)\| &\leq \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2^p - 2)} \varepsilon \|x\|^p, \\ \|g(x) - G(x)\| &\leq \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2^p - 2)} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The following theorem is an alternative result of Theorem 3.1 with similar proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f, g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{Y}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.2)–(3.5). If there exists a constant $0 < L < 1$ such

$$\varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 2L\varphi(x, y) \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then there exist a unique (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x) - F(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2-2L}\phi(x), \\ \|g(x) - G(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2-2L}\phi(x)\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $\phi(x)$ is defined as in Theorem 2.2.

Remark 3.4. Applying Theorem 3.3 for the case $\varphi(x, y) := \delta + \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ ($\delta, \varepsilon \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$), there exist a unique (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique generalized (θ, ϕ) -Jordan derivation $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x) - F(x)\| &\leq \frac{4\delta}{2-2^p} + \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2-2^p)}\varepsilon\|x\|^p, \\ \|g(x) - G(x)\| &\leq \frac{4\delta}{2-2^p} + \frac{2^p + 4 \times 3^p + 4^p}{6^p(2-2^p)}\varepsilon\|x\|^p\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Acknowledgment

The second author was supported by Hanyang University in 2009.

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [5] D. G. Bourgin, "Classes of transformations and bordering transformations," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 57, pp. 223–237, 1951.
- [6] P. Găvruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [7] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, "A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 361–376, 2006.
- [8] T. Miura, G. Hirasawa, and S.-E. Takahasi, "A perturbation of ring derivations on Banach algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 319, no. 2, pp. 522–530, 2006.
- [9] M. S. Moslehian, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 93942, 8 pages, 2006.
- [10] A. Najati, "Hyers-Ulam stability of an n -Apollonius type quadratic mapping," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 755–774, 2007.
- [11] A. Najati, "On the stability of a quartic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 569–574, 2008.

- [12] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 399–415, 2008.
- [13] A. Najati and C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 2, pp. 763–778, 2007.
- [14] A. Najati and C. Park, "On the stability of an n -dimensional functional equation originating from quadratic forms," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 1609–1624, 2008.
- [15] C. Park, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 275, no. 2, pp. 711–720, 2002.
- [16] P. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2002.
- [17] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 34, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 1998.
- [18] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fla, USA, 2001.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [20] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, "Derivations on commutative normed algebras," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 129, pp. 260–264, 1955.
- [21] M. P. Thomas, "The image of a derivation is contained in the radical," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 128, no. 3, pp. 435–460, 1988.
- [22] B. E. Johnson, "Continuity of derivations on commutative algebras," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 91, pp. 1–10, 1969.
- [23] O. Hatori and J. Wada, "Ring derivations on semi-simple commutative Banach algebras," *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 223–229, 1992.
- [24] P. Šemrl, "On ring derivations and quadratic functionals," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 80–84, 1991.
- [25] P. Šemrl, "The functional equation of multiplicative derivation is superstable on standard operator algebras," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 118–122, 1994.
- [26] R. Badora, "On approximate derivations," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 167–173, 2006.
- [27] J. M. Cusack, "Jordan derivations on rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 321–324, 1975.
- [28] I. N. Herstein, "Jordan derivations of prime rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 8, pp. 1104–1110, 1957.
- [29] M. Brešar, "Jordan derivations on semiprime rings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 1003–1006, 1988.
- [30] B. Hvala, "Generalized derivations in rings," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1147–1166, 1998.
- [31] T.-K. Lee, "Generalized derivations of left faithful rings," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 4057–4073, 1999.
- [32] C.-K. Liu and W.-K. Shiue, "Generalized Jordan triple (θ, ϕ) -derivations on semiprime rings," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1397–1406, 2007.
- [33] M. Amyari and M. S. Moslehian, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules," in *Topological Algebras and Applications*, vol. 427 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pp. 31–39, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2007.
- [34] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach," in *Iteration Theory*, vol. 346 of *Grazer Mathematische Berichte*, pp. 43–52, Karl-Franzens-Universitaet Graz, Graz, Austria, 2004.
- [35] S.-M. Jung and T.-S. Kim, "A fixed point approach to the stability of the cubic functional equation," *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 51–57, 2006.
- [36] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.
- [37] Z. Daróczy, K. Lajkó, R. L. Lovas, Gy. Maksa, and Zs. Páles, "Functional equations involving means," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 116, no. 1-2, pp. 79–87, 2007.
- [38] C. Park, "Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–97, 2005.