

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

# Search for lepton-flavor-violating $\tau \rightarrow \ell V^0$ decays at Belle

Belle Collaboration

Y. Nishio <sup>ab</sup>, K. Inami <sup>ab,\*</sup>, T. Ohshima <sup>ab</sup>, I. Adachi <sup>n</sup>, H. Aihara <sup>d</sup>, K. Arinstein <sup>e</sup>, V. Aulchenko <sup>e</sup>, T. Aushev <sup>f,g</sup>, T. Aziz <sup>h</sup>, A.M. Bakich <sup>i</sup>, V. Balagura <sup>g</sup>, E. Barberio <sup>j</sup>, I. Bedny <sup>e</sup>, K. Belous <sup>k</sup>, U. Bitenc <sup>1</sup>, S. Blyth <sup>m</sup>, A. Bondar <sup>e</sup>, M. Bračko <sup>n,o,1</sup>, T.E. Browder <sup>p</sup>, A. Chen <sup>q</sup>, W.T. Chen <sup>q</sup>, B.G. Cheon <sup>r</sup>, I.-S. Cho <sup>s</sup>, Y. Choi <sup>t</sup>, J. Dalseno <sup>j</sup>, M. Danilov <sup>g</sup>, M. Dash <sup>u</sup>, A. Drutskoy <sup>v</sup>, S. Eidelman <sup>e</sup>, D. Epifanov <sup>e</sup>, N. Gabyshev <sup>e</sup>, B. Golob <sup>w,1</sup>, H. Ha <sup>a</sup>, J. Haba <sup>n</sup>, K. Hara <sup>ab</sup>, K. Hayasaka <sup>ab</sup>, H. Hayashii <sup>x</sup>, M. Hazumi <sup>n</sup>, Y. Horii <sup>y</sup>, Y. Hoshi <sup>z</sup>, W.-S. Hou <sup>aa</sup>, Y.B. Hsiung <sup>aa</sup>, T. Iijima <sup>ab</sup>, A. Ishikawa <sup>ac</sup>, R. Itoh <sup>n</sup>, M. Iwasaki <sup>d</sup>, Y. Iwasaki <sup>n</sup>, N.J. Joshi <sup>h</sup>, D.H. Kah <sup>ad</sup>, H. Kaji <sup>ab</sup>, J.H. Kang <sup>s</sup>, N. Katayama <sup>n</sup>, H. Kawai <sup>ae</sup>, T. Kawasaki <sup>af</sup>, H. Kichimi <sup>n</sup>, Y.J. Kim <sup>ag</sup>, S. Korpar <sup>o,1</sup>, Y. Kozakai <sup>ab</sup>, P. Križan <sup>w,1</sup>, P. Krokovny <sup>n</sup>, R. Kumar <sup>ah</sup>, C.C. Kuo <sup>q</sup>, Y. Kuroki <sup>ai</sup>, A. Kuzmin <sup>e</sup>, Y.-J. Kwon <sup>s</sup>, J.S. Lee <sup>t</sup>, M.J. Lee <sup>aj</sup>, S.E. Lee <sup>aj</sup>, T. Lesiak <sup>ak</sup>, A. Limosani <sup>j</sup>, Y. Liu <sup>ag</sup>, D. Liventsev <sup>g</sup>, F. Mandl <sup>al</sup>, S. McOnie <sup>i</sup>, H. Miyake <sup>ai</sup>, H. Miyata <sup>af</sup>, Y. Miyazaki <sup>ab</sup>, R. Mizuk <sup>g</sup>, G.R. Moloney <sup>j</sup>, T. Mori <sup>ab</sup>, E. Nakano <sup>am</sup>, M. Nakao <sup>n</sup>, H. Nakazawa <sup>q</sup>, S. Nishida <sup>n</sup>, O. Nitoh <sup>an</sup>, S. Ogawa <sup>ao</sup>, S. Okuno <sup>ap</sup>, H. Ozaki <sup>n</sup>, P. Pakhlov <sup>g</sup>, G. Pakhlova <sup>g</sup>, C.W. Park <sup>t</sup>, H. Park <sup>ad</sup>, R. Pestotnik <sup>1</sup>, L.E. Piilonen <sup>u</sup>, A. Poluektov <sup>e</sup>, Y. Sakai <sup>n</sup>, O. Schneider <sup>f</sup>, K. Senyo <sup>ab</sup>, M.E. Sevior <sup>j</sup>, M. Shapkin <sup>k</sup>, V. Shebalin <sup>e</sup>, H. Shibuya <sup>ao</sup>, J.-G. Shiu, B. Shwartz <sup>e</sup>, J.B. Singh <sup>ah</sup>, A. Sokolov <sup>k</sup>, A. Somov <sup>v</sup>, S. Stanič <sup>aq</sup>, M. Starič <sup>1</sup>, T. Sumiyoshi <sup>ar</sup>, F. Takasaki <sup>n</sup>, M. Tanaka <sup>n</sup>, G.N. Taylor <sup>j</sup>, Y. Teramoto <sup>am</sup>, I. Tikhomirov <sup>g</sup>, S. Uehara <sup>n</sup>, K. Ueno <sup>aa</sup>, T. Uglov <sup>g</sup>, Y. Unno <sup>r</sup>, S. Uno <sup>n</sup>, Y. Usov <sup>e</sup>, G. Varner <sup>p</sup>, S. Villa <sup>f</sup>, A. Vinokurova <sup>e</sup>, C.H. Wang <sup>m</sup>, P. Wang <sup>as</sup>, X.L. Wang <sup>as</sup>, Y. Watanabe <sup>ap</sup>, E. Won <sup>a</sup>, Y. Yamashita <sup>at</sup>, Z.P

<sup>a</sup> Korea University, Seoul, South Korea

<sup>b</sup> Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

- <sup>c</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>e</sup> Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- <sup>f</sup> École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland
- <sup>g</sup> Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- <sup>h</sup> Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
- <sup>i</sup> University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- <sup>j</sup> University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- k Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
- <sup>1</sup> J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>m</sup> National United University, Miao Li, Taiwan
- <sup>n</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan
- <sup>o</sup> University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia
- <sup>p</sup> University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, USA
- <sup>q</sup> National Central University, Chung-li, Taiwan
- <sup>r</sup> Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea
- <sup>s</sup> Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea
- <sup>t</sup> Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea
- <sup>u</sup> Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA
- <sup>v</sup> University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA
- <sup>w</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>x</sup> Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan
- <sup>y</sup> Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan
- <sup>z</sup> Tohoku Gakuin University, Tagajo, Japan
- aa Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
- <sup>ab</sup> Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- <sup>ac</sup> Saga University, Saga, Japan
- <sup>ad</sup> Kyungpook National University, Taegu, South Korea
- <sup>ae</sup> Chiba University, Chiba, Japan
- <sup>af</sup> Niigata University, Niigata, Japan
- <sup>ag</sup> The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Hayama, Japan
- <sup>ah</sup> Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
- <sup>ai</sup> Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

0370-2693/\$ – see front matter  $\,\,\odot\,$  2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.05.012

<sup>aj</sup> Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

- <sup>ak</sup> H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, Poland
- <sup>al</sup> Institute of High Energy Physics, Vienna, Austria
- <sup>am</sup> Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan
- <sup>an</sup> Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>ao</sup> Toho University, Funabashi, Japan
- <sup>ap</sup> Kanagawa University, Yokohama, Japan
- <sup>aq</sup> University of Nova Gorica, Nova Gorica, Slovenia
- <sup>ar</sup> Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
- as Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, PR China

ABSTRACT

- <sup>at</sup> Nippon Dental University, Niigata, Japan
- <sup>au</sup> University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, PR China

ARTICLE	1	N	F	0	
---------	---	---	---	---	--

Article history: Received 5 May 2008 Accepted 5 May 2008 Available online 10 May 2008 Editor: M. Doser

PACS: 11.30.Fs 13.35.Dx 14.60.Fg

#### 1. Introduction

In the Standard Model (SM), lepton-flavor-violating (LFV) decays of charged leptons are forbidden; even if neutrino mixing is taken into account, they are highly suppressed. However, LFV is expected to appear in many extensions of the SM. Some such models predict branching fractions for  $\tau$  LFV decays in the range  $10^{-8}$ – $10^{-7}$  [1–3], which can be reached at the present B-factories. An observation of LFV would provide unambiguous evidence for new physics beyond the SM.

A search for LFV  $\tau^-$  decays into neutrinoless final states with one charged lepton  $\ell^-$  ( $e^-$  or  $\mu^-$ ) and a vector meson was first performed by the CLEO Collaboration in the  $\ell^-\phi$ ,  $\ell^-K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^-\bar{K}^{*0}$ and  $\ell^-\rho^0$  final states in 1998; this search set upper limits in the range (2.0–7.5) × 10<sup>-6</sup> [4]. Later, Belle obtained upper limits in the range (2.0–7.7) × 10<sup>-7</sup> using 158 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data. In this Letter, we report an improved search for LFV  $\tau^-$  decays<sup>1</sup> into a charged lepton and a neutral vector meson ( $V^0$ ), where  $V^0$  includes  $\omega$  in addition to the  $\phi$ ,  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\bar{K}^{*0}$  and  $\rho^0$ . The analysis is based on a data sample of 543 fb<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to 4.99 × 10<sup>8</sup>  $\tau$ -pairs collected with the Belle detector [5] at the KEKB asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^$ collider [6] taken at the  $\Upsilon$ (4*S*) resonance and 60 MeV below it. These results supersede our previous published results [7].

The Belle detector is a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that consists of a silicon vertex detector, a 50-layer central drift chamber, an array of aerogel threshold Cherenkov counters, a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters, and an electromagnetic calorimeter comprised of CsI(TI) crystals located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside the coil is instrumented to detect  $K_L^0$  mesons and to identify muons. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [5]. Two inner detector configurations were used. A 2.0 cm radius beam-pipe and a 3-layer silicon vertex detector were used for the first sample of 158 fb<sup>-1</sup>, while a 1.5 cm radius beam-pipe, a 4-layer silicon detector and a

We have searched for neutrinoless  $\tau$  lepton decays into  $\ell$  and  $V^0$ , where  $\ell$  stands for an electron or muon, and  $V^0$  for a vector meson ( $\phi$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $K^{*0}$ ,  $\bar{K}^{*0}$  or  $\rho^0$ ), using 543 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data collected with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^-$  collider. No excess of signal events over the expected background has been observed, and we set upper limits on the branching fractions in the range (5.9–18) × 10<sup>-8</sup> at the 90% confidence level. These upper limits are significantly more restrictive than our previous results for the  $\ell\phi$ ,  $\ell K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell \bar{K}^{*0}$  and  $\ell \rho^0$  modes.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

small-cell inner drift chamber were used to record the remaining 385 fb<sup>-1</sup> [8].

### 2. Event selection

We search for events, in which one  $\tau$  decays to a charged lepton and two charged hadrons (3-prong decay) while the other  $\tau$  decays into one charged particle (1-prong decay) and missing neutral particle(s). We reconstruct  $\phi$  candidates from  $K^+K^-$ ,  $\omega$  from  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ,  $K^{*0}$  from  $K^-\pi^+$  and  $\rho^0$  from  $\pi^+\pi^-$ .

The selection criteria described below are optimized from studies of Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events and the experimental data distributions. The background estimation is based on MC simulations of the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  as well as  $q\bar{q}$  continuum and two-photon processes. The  $\tau^+\tau^-$  sample corresponding to 1524 fb<sup>-1</sup> is generated using the KKMC code [9]. The MC samples of  $q\bar{q}$  and two-photon processes are produced using EvtGen [10] and AAFH [11], respectively, in amounts corresponding to the luminosity of the experiment. The signal MC events are generated by KKMC assuming a phase-space distribution for  $\tau$  decay. The detector response is simulated by a GEANT3 [12] based program.

The transverse momentum for each charged track is required to be larger than 0.06 GeV/*c* in the barrel region  $(-0.6235 < \cos\theta < 0.8332)$ , where  $\theta$  is the polar angle relative to the direction opposite to that of the incident  $e^+$  beam in the laboratory frame) and 0.1 GeV/*c* in the endcap region  $(-0.8660 < \cos\theta < -0.6235)$  and 0.8332  $< \cos\theta < 0.9563$ ). The energies of photon candidates are required to be larger than 0.1 GeV in both regions.

To select the signal topology, we require four charged tracks in an event with zero net charge, and the total energy of charged tracks and photons in the center-of-mass (CM) frame to be less than 11 GeV. We also require the missing momentum in the laboratory frame to exceed 0.6 GeV/c, and to point into the detector acceptance ( $-0.8660 < \cos\theta < 0.9563$ ). Here the missing momentum is defined as the difference between the momentum of the initial  $e^+e^-$  system, and the sum of the observed momentum vectors. An event is subdivided into 3-prong and 1-prong hemispheres with respect to the thrust axis calculated from the momenta of all charged tracks and photons in the CM frame. These hemispheres are referred to as the signal and tag sides, respectively. We allow

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kenji@hepl.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp (K. Inami).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Throughout this Letter, the inclusion of the charge-conjugate decay modes is implied unless otherwise stated.

at most two photons on the tag side to take into account initial state radiation. To reduce the  $q\bar{q}$  background, not more than one photon on the signal side is allowed for the  $\ell^-\phi$ ,  $\ell^-K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^-\bar{K}^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^-\rho^0$  modes while not more than two photons in addition to  $\pi^0$  daughters are permitted for the  $\ell^-\omega$  modes. A charged particle of the type x ( $x = \mu$ , e, K or  $\pi$ ) is identified using the likelihood ratio parameter,  $P_x$ . This is defined as  $P_x = L_x/(\sum_x L_x)$ , where  $L_x$  is the likelihood for particle type x, determined from the responses of the relevant detectors [13]. For muon candidates on the signal side we require  $P_{\mu} > 0.95$  while their momentum should be greater than 1.0 GeV/*c*. The efficiency for muon identification is 92% with a 1.2% probability to misidentify a pion as a muon. Electrons on the signal side are required to have  $P_e > 0.9$  and momenta greater than 0.5 GeV/*c*. The efficiency for the electron identification is 94% while the probability to misidentify a pion as an electron is 0.1%.

Candidate  $\phi$  mesons are selected from  $K^+K^-$  pairs with invariant mass in the range 1.01 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{K^+K^-} < 1.03$  GeV/ $c^2$  ( $\pm 4\sigma$ ). For both kaon daughters we require  $P_K > 0.8$ . To reduce the background from the  $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$  conversions the cut  $P_e < 0.1$  is applied.

Candidate  $\omega$  mesons are reconstructed from  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  with the invariant mass requirement 0.757 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0} <$  0.808 GeV/ $c^2$  (±3 $\sigma$ ). A  $\pi^0$  candidate is selected from  $\gamma$  pairs with invariant mass in the range 0.11 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{\gamma\gamma} <$  0.15 GeV/ $c^2$ . In order to improve the  $\omega$  mass resolution, the  $\pi^0$  mass is constrained to its world average value of 134.9766 GeV/ $c^2$  for the  $\omega$ mass reconstruction.

Candidate  $K^{*0}$  and  $\bar{K}^{*0}$  mesons are selected from  $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$  pairs with invariant mass in the range 0.827 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{K\pi} < 0.968 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  ( $\pm 3\sigma$ ), which satisfy the condition  $P_K > 0.8$  for the kaon candidate and  $P_e < 0.1$  for both daughters.

Candidate  $\rho^0$  mesons are selected from  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pairs with invariant mass in the range 0.478 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.074$  GeV/ $c^2$  ( $\pm 4\sigma$ ), requiring that the daughter pions have  $P_K < 0.1$ ,  $P_e < 0.1$  and momenta greater than 0.5 GeV/c. In addition, for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^-\rho^0$  mode, we require  $P_{\mu} < 0.5$  for daughter pions in order to reduce the two-photon background from  $ee \rightarrow ee\mu\mu$ .

Figs. 1(a)–(d) show the invariant mass distributions of the  $\phi$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $K^{*0}$  and  $\rho^0$  candidates for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \phi$ ,  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \omega$ ,  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \kappa^{*0}$  and  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0$  modes, respectively. The estimated background distributions agree with the data. The main background contribution for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \phi$  mode is due to  $q\bar{q}$  events involving  $\phi$  mesons. For the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \phi$  mode the dominant background comes from  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \omega \nu_{\tau}$  decay with the pion misidentified as a lepton. The  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$  decay is one of the main background sources for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$  and  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \rho^0$  modes. In this background source one pion is misidentified as a lepton for all modes while for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- K^{*0}$  and  $\ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$  modes one additional pion is misidentified as a kaon.

To reduce the remaining background from  $\tau^+\tau^-$  and  $q\bar{q}$ , the events from the triangular area defined by the missing momentum,  $p_{\rm miss}$  (GeV/c), and missing mass squared,  $m_{\rm miss}^2$  ((GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup>) are selected for further consideration. These requirements are summarized in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 2 by the two-dimensional plots of  $p_{\rm miss}$  (GeV/c) versus  $m_{\rm miss}^2$  ((GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup>) for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0$  mode.

For the  $\ell^-\omega$  ( $\ell^-K^{*0}$  and  $\ell^-\bar{K}^{*0}$ ) mode, we require that the opening angle between the lepton and  $\omega$  ( $K^{*0}$ ) on the signal side in the CM frame,  $\theta_{\ell\omega}^{\rm CM}$  ( $\theta_{\ell K^{*0}}^{\rm CM}$ ), satisfy  $\cos \theta_{\ell\omega}^{\rm CM} < 0.88$  ( $\cos \theta_{\ell K^{*0}}^{\rm CM} < 0.93$ ). To remove two-photon background for the  $eV^0$  modes, we further require that the opening angle,  $\alpha$ , between the direction of the total momentum of charged tracks and  $\gamma$ 's on the signal side and that on the tag side satisfy the condition  $\cos \alpha > -0.999$  for the  $e^-\phi$ ,  $\cos \alpha > -0.996$  for the  $e^-\omega$ ,  $e^-K^{*0}(\bar{K}^{*0})$  and  $\cos \alpha > -0.990$  for the  $e^-\rho^0$  mode.



**Fig. 1.** Invariant mass distributions of (a)  $\phi \to K^+K^-$  for  $\tau^- \to \mu^-\phi$ , (b)  $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  for  $\tau^- \to \mu^-\omega$ , (c)  $K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^-$  for  $\tau^- \to \mu^-K^{*0}$  and (d)  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  for  $\tau^- \to \mu^-\rho^0$  in the region 1.5 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{\ell V^0} < 1.95$  GeV/ $c^2$ and -0.5 GeV  $< \Delta E < 0.5$  GeV. The points with error bars are data. The open histogram shows the expected  $\tau^+\tau^-$  background MC while the filled histogram is the sum of  $q\bar{q}$  and two-photon MCs. The regions between the vertical lines are selected.



**Fig. 2.**  $p_{\rm miss}$  vs.  $m_{\rm miss}^2$  plots for signal MC and data for the  $\tau \to \mu \rho^0$  mode. The regions between the vertical lines are selected.

Table 1

Selection criteria using  $p_{miss}$  (GeV/c) and  $m_{miss}^2$  (GeV/ $c^2$ )<sup>2</sup>) where  $p_{miss}$  is missing momentum and  $m_{miss}^2$  is missing mass squared

Mode	Selection criteria		
$\tau^- \rightarrow$			
$\ell^-\phi$	$p_{\rm miss} > \frac{8}{9}m_{\rm miss}^2$	and	$m_{ m miss}^2 > -0.5$
$\ell^-\omega$	$p_{\text{miss}} > \frac{8}{3}m_{\text{miss}}^2 - \frac{8}{3}$	and	$m_{\rm miss}^2 > -0.5$
$\mu^- K^{*0}$	$p_{ m miss} > rac{8}{4.5}m_{ m miss}^2 - rac{8}{9}$	and	$p_{\rm miss} > 8 m_{\rm miss}^2$
e <sup>-</sup> K* <sup>0</sup>	$p_{\rm miss} > \frac{8}{5.5}m_{\rm miss}^2 - \frac{8}{11}$	and	$m_{\rm miss}^2 > 0$
$\mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$	$p_{ m miss} > rac{8}{6.5}m_{ m miss}^2$	and	$m_{\rm miss}^2 > -0.5$
$e^{-\bar{K}^{*0}}$	$p_{\rm miss} > \frac{6}{5}m_{\rm miss}^2$	and	$p_{\rm miss} > -\frac{8}{1.4}m_{\rm miss}^2$
$\mu^-  ho^0$	$p_{\rm miss} > -8m_{\rm miss}^2 - 4$	and	$p_{\rm miss} > 2m_{\rm miss}^2$
$e^- ho^0$	$p_{\rm miss} > -8m_{\rm miss}^2 - 4$	and	$p_{\rm miss} > 1.6 m_{\rm miss}^2$

To identify signal  $\tau$  decays, we reconstruct the  $\ell V^0$  invariant mass,  $M_{\ell V^0}$ , and the energy difference in the CM frame,  $\Delta E$ , between the sum of energies on the signal side and the beam-energy,  $E_{\text{beam}}$ . Signal events should concentrate around  $M_{\ell V^0} = m_{\tau}$  and  $\Delta E = 0$ , where  $m_{\tau}$  is the nominal  $\tau$  mass. For the  $\ell \omega$  modes,

#### Table 2

Resolutions	in	$M_{\ell V^0}$	in	$MeV/c^2$	and	$\Delta E$	in	MeV.	The	superscripts	low	and	high
indicate the	e lov	wer ar	ld h	nigher sid	les of	the	pe	ak, res	pect	ively			

Mode	$\sigma^{ m high}_{M_{\ell V^0}}$	$\sigma^{ m low}_{M_{\ell V^0}}$	$\sigma^{ m high}_{\Delta E}$	$\sigma^{ m low}_{\Delta E}$
$t \rightarrow$				
$\mu^-\phi$	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	$13.2\pm0.4$	$14.0\pm0.5$
$e^-\phi$	$3.7\pm0.1$	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$13.3\pm0.7$	$15.4\pm0.7$
$\mu^-\omega$	$5.9\pm0.1$	$6.2\pm0.1$	$19.3\pm0.6$	$30.3 \pm 0.8$
$e^-\omega$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	$6.5\pm0.1$	$20.4\pm0.7$	$32.5 \pm 1.3$
$\mu^- K^{*0}$	$4.5\pm0.4$	$4.5\pm0.4$	$13.8\pm0.3$	$14.4\pm0.4$
e <sup>-</sup> K* <sup>0</sup>	$4.3\pm0.1$	$5.1 \pm 0.1$	$12.9\pm0.3$	$18.0\pm0.4$
$\mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$	$4.7\pm0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$14.0\pm0.3$	$15.0\pm0.3$
$e^-\bar{K}^{*0}$	$4.6 \pm 0.1$	$4.9\pm0.1$	$12.6\pm0.6$	$17.8\pm0.5$
$\mu^-  ho^0$	$5.6 \pm 0.1$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	$13.9\pm0.4$	$15.9 \pm 0.4$
$e^- \rho^0$	$4.7\pm0.1$	$6.3\pm 0.1$	$14.5\pm0.4$	$17.4\pm0.5$

Table 3

Number of events in the background region excluding the signal region for data and  $\operatorname{MC}$ 

Mode	Data	MC
$\tau^- \rightarrow$		
$\mu^-\phi$	2	$1.72\pm1.23$
$e^-\phi$	2	$0^{+1.19}_{-0}$
$\mu^-\omega$	7	$10.46 \pm 1.91$
e <sup>-</sup> w	0	$1.07\pm0.62$
$\mu^{-}K^{*0}$	3	$3.78 \pm 1.72$
e <sup>-</sup> K* <sup>0</sup>	1	$2.26 \pm 1.26$
$\mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$	2	$1.21\pm0.92$
$e^{-\bar{K}^{*0}}$	0	$0.31\pm0.31$
$\mu^- \rho^0$	12	$4.82 \pm 1.25$
$e^-\rho^0$	0	$0.36\pm0.36$

we used the beam-energy constrained mass,  $M_{\rm bc}$ , instead of the invariant mass  $M_{\rm inv}$ , where  $M_{\rm bc} = \sqrt{E_{\rm beam}^2 - (\vec{p}_{\tau})^2}$ , in order to improve the mass resolution, which is smeared due to the  $\gamma$  energy resolution. In calculating the  $\tau$  momentum  $\vec{p}_{\tau}$ , we replace the magnitude of the  $\pi^0$  momentum with the value obtained from the beam energy, the energies of charged tracks on the signal side and the  $\pi^0$  direction measured by the calorimeter.

The resolutions in  $\Delta E$  and  $M_{\ell V^0}$ , evaluated using the signal MC, are summarized in Table 2. We define the signal region in the  $\Delta E - M_{\ell V^0}$  plane as a  $\pm 3\sigma$  ellipse. In order to avoid biases in the event selection, we blind the signal region until the analysis is finalized.

## 3. Background estimation

After all selections, a few events remain in the region  $-10\sigma_{M_{\ell V^0}}^{\text{low}} < M_{\ell V^0} < 10\sigma_{M_{\ell V^0}}^{\text{high}}$  and  $-10\sigma_{\Delta E}^{\text{low}} < \Delta E < 3\sigma_{\Delta E}^{\text{high}}$ , which we define as a background region. This region will be used to estimate the expected background and is shown in Fig. 3. Table 3 lists the numbers of events in the background region excluding the signal region. The background is efficiently suppressed by the event selection. The comparison between the data and MC shows reasonable agreement for all modes, except for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0$  mode. For this mode one of the dominant background sources is  $q\bar{q}$ , which at low multiplicity is poorly described by MC. For all other modes we estimate the number of background region using the following method.

Since for most of the modes there are single events only in the  $\Delta E - M_{lV^0}$  plane both in the data and MC, we first study the background distribution in a sideband region larger than the background region (1.5 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{\ell V^0} < 1.95$  GeV/ $c^2$  and -0.5 GeV  $< \Delta E < 0.5$  GeV) and find that the distribution of events in it is approximately flat in the background region. As confirmed by the MC



**Fig. 3.** Distributions of  $\Delta E - M_{\ell V^0}$  in the  $\pm 10\sigma$  box for (a)  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \phi$ , (b)  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \phi$ , (c)  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \omega$ , (d)  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \omega$ , (e)  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- K^{*0}$ , (f)  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- K^{*0}$ , (g)  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$ , (h)  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{K}^{*0}$ , (i)  $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \rho^0$  and (j)  $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \rho^0$  after all selections. Dots are data and filled boxes show the signal MC. The elliptical area is the  $3\sigma$  signal region.

study, the main background for the  $\phi$  and  $K^*$  modes originates from the mis-identification ( $\pi$  to K) and the  $q\bar{q}$  background, so that the  $M_{\ell V^0}$  and  $\Delta E$  values have a quite broad distribution and can strongly exceed  $M_{\ell V^0} = m_{\tau}$  and  $\Delta E = 0$ . Therefore we assume that this distribution is flat inside the background region and estimate the expected number of background events in the signal region from the number of data events in the background region times the ratio of the areas of the signal ellipse and background region. If the number of data events in the background region is zero, we assign an upper limit of 2.44 events at the 90% confidence level. The expected number of background events obtained by this method is shown in the third column of Table 4.

For the  $\mu^-\omega$  mode, where the number of events in the background region is larger, we estimate the background contribution in the signal region using the shape of the background MC distribution normalized to the data yield in the sideband region of the background region.

For the  $\mu^-\rho^0$  mode, the background events in Fig. 3 come mostly from  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$  decay and  $q\bar{q}$  when one of the pions is misidentified as a muon. To estimate the background contribution we select a special event sample requiring  $P_{\mu} < 0.1$  for muon candidates instead of  $P_{\mu} > 0.95$ . The number of expected background events is then calculated from the product of the number of events with  $P_{\mu} < 0.1$  in the signal region and the muon fake rate.

# 4. Results

After unblinding the signal region single events only remain in some modes, see Fig. 3. The observed number of events in the signal region is consistent with the expected background. From the numbers of observed events in the signal region and the numbers of expected background events, listed in the second and third columns of Table 4, respectively, we evaluate the upper limit on the number of signal events at the 90% CL,  $s_{90}$ , with systematic uncertainties included in the Feldman-Cousins method [14] using the POLE code [15]. In the cases when we give an upper limit of the expected background ( $\tau \rightarrow e^- \omega$ ,  $e^- \bar{K}^*$  and  $e^- \rho^0$  modes), the number of background events is taken to be zero. This results in conservative upper limits. The main systematic uncertainties on the detection efficiency come from track reconstruction (1.0% per track), electron identification (2.2%), muon identification (2.0%), kaon/pion separation (1.4% for  $\phi$  reconstruction, 1.1% for  $K^{*0}$  and 1.5% for  $\rho^0$ ),  $\pi^0$  reconstruction (4.0%), statistics of the signal MC (1.3% for  $\ell^-\phi$ , 0.7% for  $\ell^-\omega$ , 0.6% for  $\ell^-K^{*0}$  and  $\ell^-\bar{K}^{*0}$ , 0.5% for  $\mu^- \rho^0$  and 0.6% for  $e^- \rho^0$ ) and uncertainties in the branching fractions for  $\phi \to K^+K^-$  and  $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  (1.2% and 0.8%). The uncertainty in the number of  $\tau$ -pair events mainly comes from the luminosity measurement (1.6%).

The upper limits on the branching fractions,  $\mathcal{B}$ , are calculated as  $\mathcal{B} < \frac{S_{90}}{2N_{\tau\tau}\epsilon}$ , where  $N_{\tau\tau} = 4.99 \times 10^8$ , is the total number of the  $\tau$ -pairs produced and  $\epsilon$  is the signal efficiency including the branching fractions of  $\phi \to K^+K^-$ ,  $\omega \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ,  $K^{*0} \to K^+\pi^$ and  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  [16]. The resulting upper limits on the branching fractions are summarized in Table 4.

## 5. Summary

We have searched for the LFV decays  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \phi$ ,  $\ell^- \omega$ ,  $\ell^- K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$  and  $\ell^- \rho^0$  using 543 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data obtained in the Belle experiment. No evidence for a signal is observed, and the upper limits on the branching fractions are set in the range (5.9–18) × 10<sup>-8</sup> at the 90% CL. The results for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \phi$ ,  $\ell^- K^{*0}$ ,  $\ell^- \bar{K}^{*0}$  and  $\ell^- \rho^0$  modes are 3–10 times more restrictive than our previous results obtained using 158 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data. The sensitivity improvement

#### Table 4

Summary of the number of observed events  $N_{obs}$ , the number of expected background events  $N_{exp}$ , detection efficiency  $\epsilon$ , total systematic error  $\Delta \epsilon / \epsilon$ , 90% CL upper limit of the number of signal events  $s_{90}$  and 90% CL upper limit of the branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}$ 

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mode} \\ \tau^- \rightarrow \end{array}$	Nobs	N <sub>exp</sub>	€ (%)	$\Delta\epsilon/\epsilon$ (%)	s <sub>90</sub>	UL on <i>B</i> (90% CL)
$\mu^-\phi$	1	$0.17\pm0.12$	3.14	5.2	4.17	$1.3  imes 10^{-7}$
$e^-\phi$	0	$0.18\pm0.12$	3.10	5.3	2.27	$7.3  imes 10^{-8}$
$\mu^-\omega$	0	$0.19\pm0.20$	2.51	6.3	2.22	$8.9 imes10^{-8}$
$e^-\omega$	1	< 0.24	2.46	6.3	4.34	$1.8  imes 10^{-7}$
$\mu^- K^{*0}$	0	$0.26\pm0.15$	3.71	4.8	2.20	$5.9 imes10^{-8}$
e <sup>-</sup> K* <sup>0</sup>	0	$0.08\pm0.08$	3.04	4.9	2.35	$7.8  imes 10^{-8}$
$\mu^- \bar{K}^{*0}$	1	$0.17\pm0.12$	4.02	4.8	4.14	$1.0  imes 10^{-7}$
$e^-\bar{K}^{*0}$	0	< 0.17	3.21	4.9	2.45	$7.7  imes 10^{-8}$
$\mu^- \rho^0$	1	$1.04\pm0.28$	4.89	4.9	3.34	$6.8  imes 10^{-8}$
$e^-  ho^0$	0	< 0.17	3.94	5.1	2.46	$6.3 imes10^{-8}$

comes from a factor of 3.4-times larger statistics and an optimized analysis. In particular, we have improved the conditions on  $p_{\text{miss}}$  and  $m_{\text{miss}}^2$  to reduce  $\tau\tau$  and  $q\bar{q}$  background as well as those on the opening angle  $\alpha$  to reduce two-photon background. As a result, better background suppression is achieved and the efficiency is improved, e.g. it increases by a factor of 2.8 for the  $\mu^-\phi$  and 2.5 for the  $e^-\phi$  mode. The new upper limits can be used to constrain the parameter space of various scenarios beyond the SM.

After this work was completed, we became aware that the BaBar Collaboration had also reported a search for the  $\tau^- \rightarrow \ell^- \omega$  mode in Ref. [17].

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the KEKB group for the excellent operation of the accelerator, the KEK cryogenics group for the efficient operation of the solenoid, and the KEK computer group and the National Institute of Informatics for valuable computing and Super-SINET network support. We acknowledge support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; the Australian Research Council and the Australian Department of Education, Science and Training; the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract Nos. 10575109 and 10775142; the Department of Science and Technology of India; the BK21 program of the Ministry of Education of Korea, the CHEP SRC program and Basic Research program (grant No. R01-2005-000-10089-0) of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, and the Pure Basic Research Group program of the Korea Research Foundation; the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research; the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and the Russian Federal Agency for Atomic Energy; the Slovenian Research Agency; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the National Science Council and the Ministry of Education of Taiwan; and the US Department of Energy.

# References

- [1] A. Ilakovac, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 036010.
- [2] A. Brignole, A. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B 701 (2004) 3.
- [3] C.-H. Chen, C.-Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 035010.
- [4] D.W. Bliss, et al., CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 5903.
- [5] A. Abashian, et al., Belle Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 479 (2002) 117.
- [6] S. Kurokawa, E. Kikutani, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 499 (2003) 1, and other papers included in this volume.
- [7] Y. Yusa, et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 640 (2006) 138.
- [8] Z. Natkaniec, et al., Belle SVD2 Group, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 560 (2006) 1.
- [9] S. Jadach, B.F.L. Ward, Z. Was, Comput. Phys. Commun. 130 (2000) 260.
- [10] D.J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 462 (2001) 152.
- [11] F.A. Berends, P.H. Daverveldt, R. Kleiss, Comput. Phys. Commun. 40 (1986) 285.

- [12] CERN Program Library Long Writeup No. W5013, 1993.
- [13] K. Hanagaki, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 485 (2002) 490; A. Abashian, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 491 (2002) 69;
- E. Nakano, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 494 (2002) 117.
   [14] G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3873.

- [15] The Highland-Cousins method for calculating upper limits with systematic errors is described in J. Conrad, et al., Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 012002.
- [16] W.-M. Yao, et al., Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G 33 (2006) 1.
   [17] B. Aubert, et al., BaBar Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 071802.