

## RANDOM STABILITY AND HYPERSTABILITY OF MULTI-QUADRATIC MAPPINGS

CHOONKIL PARK, ABASALT BODAGHI\* AND IDHAM ARIF ALIAS

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we introduce a new quadratic functional equation. In light of this equation, we define the multi-quadratic mappings and reduce the system of  $n$  equations defining the multi-quadratic mappings to a single equation. We also obtain some stability and hyperstability results concerning multi-quadratic mappings in the setting of random normed spaces.

### 1. Introduction

The concept of stability for functional equations arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. In 1940, Ulam [28] asked the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. The famous Ulam stability problem was partially solved by Hyers [13] for the linear functional equation of Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Th. M. Rassias [22] for linear mappings with considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [12] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach. Next, many mathematicians were attracted and motivated to investigate the stability problems of functional equations in various spaces; for more information and details, we refer to some papers and books such as [2], [3], [14], [15], [17], [19], [20], [21] and [23]. In particular, the stability problem for quadratic functional equation

$$Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \tag{1.1}$$

has been studied in normed spaces. The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability theorem for (1.1) and miscellaneous versions of quadratic functional equations and their applications were proved by many authors which are available for instance in [6], [7], [16], [25] and [29] the references therein. More results on the stability of functional equations in random normed spaces can be found in [5] and [18].

For the set  $X$ , we denote  $\overbrace{X \times X \times \cdots \times X}^{n\text{-times}}$  by  $X^n$ . Let  $V$  be a commutative group,  $W$  be a linear space, and  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Recall from [11] that a mapping

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 39B52, 39B72, 39B82, 47H10.

*Keywords and phrases:* Hyers-Ulam stability, random normed space, multi-quadratic mapping.

\* Corresponding author.

$f : V^n \longrightarrow W$  is called *multi-quadratic* if it is quadratic (satisfying quadratic functional equation (1.1)) in each component. It is shown in [30] that the system of functional equations defining a multi-quadratic mappings can be unified as a single equation. Indeed, Zhao et al. proved that a mapping  $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$  is multi-quadratic if and only if the relation

$$\sum_{s \in \{-1,1\}^n} f(x_1 + sx_2) = 2^n \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \{1,2\}} f(x_{1j_1}, x_{2j_2}, \dots, x_{nj_n}) \tag{1.2}$$

holds, where  $x_j = (x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \dots, x_{nj}) \in V^n$  with  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Various versions of multi-quadratic mappings were introduced and studied in [4], [8], [9] and [24].

In this paper, we firstly show that the functional equation

$$Q(mx + y) + Q(mx - y) = Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(x) \tag{1.3}$$

is quadratic ( $m$  is a fixed integer with  $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ ) and motivated by (1.3), we define the multi-quadratic mappings and present a characterization of such mappings. Then, we study some stability results concerning multi-quadratic mappings in the setting of random normed spaces. Furthermore, we show that every multi-quadratic mapping under some conditions can be hyperstable.

### 2. Preliminaries on random normed spaces

In this section, we state the usual terminology, notations and conventions of the theory of random normed spaces, as in [26] and [27]. The set of all probability distribution functions is denoted by

$$\Delta^+ := \{F : \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \longrightarrow [0, 1] \mid F \text{ is left-continuous and nondecreasing on } \mathbb{R}; \text{ where } F(0) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}.$$

Let us define  $D^+ := \{F \in \Delta^+ \mid l^-F(+\infty) = 1\}$ , where  $l^-F(x)$  denotes the left limit of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$ . The set  $\Delta^+$  is partially ordered by the usual pointwise ordering of functions, that is,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(t) \leq G(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The maximal element for  $\Delta^+$  in this order is the distribution function  $\varepsilon_0$  given by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 2.1. ([26]) A mapping  $\tau : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be a continuous triangular norm (briefly, a continuous  $t$ -norm) if  $\tau$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\tau$  is commutative and associative;
- (ii)  $\tau$  is continuous;
- (iii)  $\tau(a, 1) = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iv)  $\tau(a, b) \leq \tau(c, d)$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for all  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

Typical examples of continuous  $t$ -norms are  $\tau_P(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\tau_M(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $\tau_L(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. ([27]) A random normed space (*RN-space*, in short) is a triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, \tau)$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a vector space,  $\tau$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm, and  $\mu$  is a mapping from  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $D^+$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (RN1)  $\mu_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;  
 (RN2)  $\mu_{\alpha x}(t) = \mu_x(t/|\alpha|)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$  and all  $t \geq 0$ ;  
 (RN3)  $\mu_{x+y}(t+s) \geq \tau(\mu_x(t), \mu_y(s))$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  and all  $t, s \geq 0$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Define the mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow D^+$  via  $\mu_x(t) = \frac{t}{t+\|x\|}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, \tau_M)$  is a random normed space.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, \tau)$  be an *RN-space*.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *convergent* to a point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  if, for every  $t > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\mu_{x_n-x}(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \geq N$ ;
- (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for every  $t > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\mu_{x_n-x_m}(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \geq m \geq N$ ;
- (3) An *RN-space*  $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, \tau)$  is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{X}$  is convergent to a point in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

THEOREM 2.4. ([26]) If  $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, \tau)$  is an *RN-space* and  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{x_n}(t) = \mu_x(t)$ .

For a  $t$ -norm  $\tau$  and a given sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $[0, 1]$ , we define  $\tau_{j=1}^n a_j$  recursively by  $\tau_{j=1}^1 a_j = a_1$  and  $\tau_{j=1}^n a_j = \tau(\tau_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j, a_n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

### 3. Characterization of multi-quadratic mappings

In this chapter, we introduce the multi-quadratic mappings and then characterize them. Here, we indicate an elementary result as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the rational numbers. Then, a mapping  $Q : V \rightarrow W$  satisfies functional equation (1.1) if and only if equation (1.3) is valid for  $Q$ , where  $m$  is a fixed integer with  $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ .

*Proof.* (Necessity) Assume that  $Q$  satisfies (1.1). It is easy to check that  $Q(0) = 0$  and so  $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . It is also routine to show that  $Q(mx) = m^2Q(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $mx$  in (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(mx+y) + Q(mx-y) &= 2Q(mx) + 2Q(y) \\ &= 2m^2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \\ &= 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) + 2(m^2-1)Q(x) \\ &= Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) + 2(m^2-1)Q(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Q$  satisfies (1.3).

(Sufficiency) Putting  $y = 0$  in (1.3), we find

$$Q(mx) = m^2Q(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x \in V$ . On the other hand,  $Q(-mx) = (-m)^2Q(x) = m^2Q(x) = Q(mx)$ , and so  $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ . This means that  $Q$  is even. Interchanging  $y$  by  $my$  in (1.3) and using the evenness of  $Q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q(mx + my) + Q(mx - my) &= Q(x + my) + Q(x - my) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(x) \\ &= Q(x + my) + Q(my - x) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(x) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in V$ . Substituting  $(x, y)$  by  $(y, x)$  in (3.2) and applying (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m^2[Q(x + y) + Q(x - y)] &= Q(mx + y) + Q(mx - y) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(y) \\ &= Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(x) + 2(m^2 - 1)Q(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in V$ . It now follows from the above relation that  $Q$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1).  $\square$

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ . For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V^n$  we write  $lx := (lx_1, \dots, lx_n)$  and  $qx := (q_1x_1, \dots, q_nx_n)$ , where  $lx$  stands, as usual, for the  $l$ th power of an element  $x$  of the commutative group  $V$ .

In the sequel, let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the rational numbers,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_i^n = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in V^n$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . We shall denote  $x_i^n$  by  $x_i$  when no confusion can arise. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Put  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathfrak{N}_n = (N_1, \dots, N_n) \mid N_j \in \{x_{1j} \pm x_{2j}, x_{1j}\}\}$ , where  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Consider

$$\mathcal{M}_k^n := \{\mathfrak{N}_n \in \mathcal{M} \mid \text{Card}\{N_j : N_j = x_{1j}\} = k\}.$$

DEFINITION 3.2. A mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is said to be  $n$ -quadratic or briefly multi-quadratic if  $f$  satisfies (1.3) in each variable.

For such mappings, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathcal{M}_k^n) &:= \sum_{\mathfrak{N}_n \in \mathcal{M}_k^n} f(\mathfrak{N}_n), \tag{3.3} \\ f(\mathcal{M}_k^n, z) &:= \sum_{\mathfrak{N}_n \in \mathcal{M}_k^n} f(\mathfrak{N}_n, z) \quad (z \in V). \end{aligned}$$

We are going to show that if a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{q \in \{-1, 1\}^n} f(mx_1 + qx_2) = \sum_{k=0}^n (2m^2 - 2)^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n), \tag{3.4}$$

where  $f(\mathcal{M}_k^n)$  is defined in (3.3) and  $m$  is a fixed integer with  $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ , then it is multi-quadratic and vice versa.

Let  $m$  be as in (1.3). We say a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  satisfies the  $r$ -power condition in the  $j$ th component if

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, mz_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n) = m^r f(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n),$$

for all  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in V^n$ . The 2-power condition is sometimes called the quadratic condition.

We remember that the binomial coefficient for all  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $n \geq r$  is defined and denoted by  $\binom{n}{r} := \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *For a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is multi-quadratic;
- (ii)  $f$  satisfies equation (3.4) and the quadratic condition in each variable.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It is easily verified that  $f$  satisfies the quadratic condition in all variables. We now prove that  $f$  satisfies equation (3.4) by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , it is trivial that  $f$  satisfies equation (1.3). If (3.4) is valid for some positive integer  $n > 1$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in \{-1,1\}^{n+1}} f(mx_1^{n+1} + qx_2^{n+1}) &= \sum_{q \in \{-1,1\}^n} f(mx_1^n + qx_2^n, x_{1,n+1} + x_{2,n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{q \in \{-1,1\}^n} f(mx_1^n + qx_2^n, x_{1,n+1} - x_{2,n+1}) \\ &\quad + 2(m^2 - 1) \sum_{q \in \{-1,1\}^n} f(mx_1^n + qx_2^n, x_{1,n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{q \in \{-1,1\}} (2m^2 - 2)^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n, x_{1,n+1} + qx_{2,n+1}) \\ &\quad + 2(m^2 - 1) \sum_{k=0}^n (2m^2 - 2)^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n, x_{1,n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (2m^2 - 2)^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that (3.4) holds for  $n + 1$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Putting  $x_{2k} = 0$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$  in the left side of (3.4) and using the assumption, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{n-1} \times m^{2(n-1)} [f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} + x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\ &\quad + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} - x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n})] \\ &= 2^{n-1} [f(mx_{11}, \dots, mx_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} + x_{2j}, mx_{1,j+1}, \dots, mx_{1n}) \\ &\quad + f(mx_{11}, \dots, mx_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} - x_{2j}, mx_{1,j+1}, \dots, mx_{1n})]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) &:= f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, x_{1j} + x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\ &\quad + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, x_{1j} - x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}). \end{aligned}$$

By the above replacements in (3.4), it follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{n-1} \times m^{2(n-1)} [f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} + x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\ &\quad + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} - x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n})] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \binom{n-1}{k} 2^{n-k-1} (2m^2 - 2)^k \right] f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \binom{n-1}{k-1} 2^{n-k} (2m^2 - 2)^k \right] f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}) + (2m^2 - 2)^n f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (2m^2 - 2 + 2)^{n-1} f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) \\
 &\quad + (2m^2 - 2) \left[ (2m^2 - 2)^{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-1}{k} 2^{n-k-1} \times (2m^2 - 2)^k \right] f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\
 &= (2m^2)^{n-1} f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) + (2m^2 - 2)(2m^2 - 2 + 2)^{n-1} f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\
 &= 2^{n-1} m^{2(n-1)} [f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) + (2m^2 - 2)f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n})]. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, relation (3.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} + x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\
 &\quad + f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1,j-1}, mx_{1j} - x_{2j}, x_{1,j+1}, \dots, x_{1n}) \\
 &= f^*(x_{1j}, x_{2j}) + (2m^2 - 2)f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $f$  is quadratic in the  $j$ th variable. Since  $j$  is arbitrary, we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

### 4. Random stability of multi-quadratic mappings

In this chapter, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-quadratic mappings in the setting of random normed spaces.

From now on, for a mapping  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$ , we consider the difference operator  $\mathfrak{D}f : V^n \times V^n \rightarrow W$  by

$$\mathfrak{D}f(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{q \in \{-1, 1\}^n} f(mx_1 + qx_2) - \sum_{k=0}^n (2m^2 - 2)^k f(\mathcal{M}_k^n),$$

where  $f(\mathcal{M}_k^n)$  is defined in (3.3) and  $m$  is a fixed integer with  $m \neq 0, \pm 1$ . With this notation, we have the next stability result for functional equation (3.4).

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $V$  be a linear space,  $(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \tau_M)$  be an RN-space and  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  be a complete RN-space. Suppose that  $\psi : V^n \times V^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a mapping such that for some  $0 < \alpha < m^{2n}$ ,*

$$\Lambda_{\psi(mx,0)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\alpha\psi(x,0)}(t) \quad (x \in V^n, t > 0) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\psi(m^p x_1, m^p x_2)}(m^{2np} t) = 1 \quad (x_1, x_2 \in V^n, t > 0). \tag{4.2}$$

If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{D}f(x_1, x_2)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x_1, x_2)}(t), \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\mathcal{Q} : V^n \rightarrow W$  of (3.4) such that

$$\mu_{f(x) - \mathcal{Q}(x)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)}(2^n(m^{2n} - \alpha)t), \tag{4.4}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{Q}$  has the quadratic condition in each variable, then it is a multi-quadratic mapping.

*Proof.* Putting  $x_2 = 0$  in (4.3), we have

$$\mu \left( 2^n f(mx) - \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k} (2m^2 - 2)^k \right) f(x) \right) (t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)}(t), \tag{4.5}$$

for all  $x := x_1 \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ . An easy computation shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k} (2m^2 - 2)^k = (2m^2)^n. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$\mu(2^n f(mx) - (2m^2)^n f(x))(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)}(t), \tag{4.7}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ . Hence, relation (4.5) implies that

$$\mu \left( \frac{1}{m^{2n}} f(mx) - f(x) \right) (t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)}(2^n m^{2n} t), \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$ . Substituting  $x$  by  $m^p x$  in (4.8) and applying (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left( \frac{f(m^{p+1}x)}{m^{(p+1)2n}} - \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} \right) (t) &\geq \Lambda_{\psi(m^p x,0)}(2^n m^{2n(p+1)} t) \\ &\geq \Lambda_{\alpha^p \psi(x,0)}(2^n m^{2n(p+1)} t) \\ &\geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( 2^n m^{2n} \left( \frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha} \right)^p t \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all non-negative integers  $p$ . Using inequalities (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu \left( \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - f(x) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^j t \right) \\ &= \mu \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{f(m^{j+1}x)}{m^{(j+1)2n}} - \frac{f(m^j x)}{m^{2nj}} \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^j t \right) \\ &\geq (\tau_M)_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \mu \left( \frac{f(m^{j+1}x)}{m^{(j+1)2n}} - \frac{f(m^j x)}{m^{2nj}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^j t \right) \right) \\ &= \mu \left( \frac{1}{m^{2n}} f(mx) - f(x) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} t \right) \\ &\geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all non-negative integers  $p$ . In other words,

$$\mu \left( \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - f(x) \right) (t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^j} \right). \tag{4.10}$$

Interchanging  $x$  into  $m^l x$  in (4.10), we have

$$\mu \left( \frac{f(m^{p+l}x)}{m^{2n(p+l)}} - \frac{f(m^l x)}{m^{2nl}} \right) (t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=l}^{l+p} \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^j} \right), \tag{4.11}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all integers  $p \geq l \geq 0$ . Due to the convergence of  $\sum_{j=l}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}}\right)^j$ , we see that  $\Lambda_{\Psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=l}^{l+p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}}\right)^j}\right)$  goes to 1 as  $l$  and  $n$  tend to infinity, and so  $\left\{\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$ . The completeness of  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  as a RN-space implies that the mentioned sequence converges to some point  $\mathcal{Q}(x) \in W$ . It follows from (4.10) that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\mathcal{Q}(x)-f(x))}(t+\varepsilon) &\geq \tau_M\left(\mu_{\left(\mathcal{Q}(x)-\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}(\varepsilon), \mu_{\left(\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}-f(x)\right)}(t)\right) \\ &\geq \tau_M\left(\mu_{\left(\mathcal{Q}(x)-\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}(\varepsilon), \Lambda_{\Psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n m^{2n}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}}\right)^j}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$ . Letting  $p$  to infinity in the above inequality, we deduce that

$$\mu_{(\mathcal{Q}(x)-f(x))}(t+\varepsilon) \geq \Lambda_{\Psi(x,0)}(2^n(m^{2n}-\alpha)t). \tag{4.12}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (4.12), we get (4.4). Moreover, inequality (4.3) implies that

$$\mu_{\frac{1}{m^{2np}} \mathcal{D}f(m^p x_1, m^p x_2)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\Psi(m^p x_1, m^p x_2)}(m^{2np}t), \tag{4.13}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V$  and all  $t > 0$ . Once more, Letting  $p$  to infinity in (4.13), by (4.2), we observe that the mapping  $\mathcal{Q}$  satisfies (3.4). If  $\mathcal{Q} : V^n \rightarrow W$  is another mapping satisfies (3.4) and (4.4), then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}(t) &\geq \min\left\{\mu_{\left(\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \mu_{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \Lambda_{(\Psi(m^p x, 0))}(2^{n-1}m^{2np}(m^{2n}-\alpha)t) \\ &\geq \Lambda_{(\Psi(x, 0))}\left(\left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^p 2^{n-1}(m^{2n}-\alpha)t\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{Q}(x)-\mathcal{Q}(x)}(t) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}(m^p x)}{m^{2np}}\right)}(t) \\ &\geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{(\Psi(x, 0))}\left(\left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^p 2^{n-1}(m^{2n}-\alpha)t\right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The relation above shows that  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = \mathcal{Q}(x)$  for all  $x \in V^n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary is a direct consequences of Theorem 4.1 concerning the stability of (3.4).

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $V$  be a linear space,  $(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \tau_M)$  be an RN-space and  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  be a complete RN-space. Let also  $s$  be a real number such that  $s \in [0, 2n)$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping such that*

$$\mu_{\mathcal{D}f(x_1, x_2)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^s\right)z_0}(t), \tag{4.14}$$



for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\mathcal{Q} : V^n \rightarrow W$  of (3.4) satisfying

$$\mu_{f(x)-\mathcal{Q}(x)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{1j}\|^s z_0} (2^n (m^{2n} - m^s) t),$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{Q}$  has the quadratic condition in each variable, then it is a multi-quadratic mapping.

*Proof.* Putting  $\psi(x_1, x_2) := \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^s \right) z_0$  and applying Theorem 4.1 when  $\alpha = m^s$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

We have the next stability theorem which is analogous to Theorem 4.1 with somewhat different method in the proof.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $V$  be a linear space,  $(\mathcal{Z}, \Lambda, \tau_M)$  be an RN-space and  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  be a complete RN-space. Suppose that  $\psi : V^n \times V^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is a mapping such that for some  $\alpha > m^{2n}$ ,*

$$\Lambda_{\psi(m^{-1}x, 0)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x, 0)}(\alpha t) \quad (x \in V^n, t > 0) \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{m^{2np} \psi(m^p x_1, m^p x_2)}(t) = 1 \quad (x_1, x_2 \in V^n, t > 0). \tag{4.16}$$

If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping satisfying

$$m \mathfrak{D} f(x_1, x_2)(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x_1, x_2)}(t), \tag{4.17}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\mathcal{Q} : V^n \rightarrow W$  of (3.4) such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-\mathcal{Q}(x)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x, 0)} \left( \frac{\alpha - 2^n (m^{2n})}{\alpha} t \right), \tag{4.18}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $x_2 = 0$  in (4.3), we arrive at relation (4.7) and so

$$\mu_{(f(x)-m^{2n}f(\frac{x}{m}))}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(\frac{x}{m}, 0)}(2^n t), \tag{4.19}$$

for all  $x := x_1 \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ . It follows from (4.15) and (4.19) that

$$\mu_{(f(x)-m^{2n}f(\frac{x}{m}))}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x, 0)}(2^n \alpha t). \tag{4.20}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{m^p}$  in (4.20), we get

$$\mu_{(m^{2np}f(\frac{x}{m^p})-m^{2n(p+1)}f(\frac{x}{m^{p+1}}))}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x, 0)} \left( 2^n \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^{2n}} \right)^p t \right),$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$  and all non-negative integers  $p$ . Since

$$f(x) - m^{2np} f \left( \frac{x}{m^p} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} m^{2nj} f \left( \frac{x}{m^j} \right) - m^{2n(j+1)} f \left( \frac{x}{m^{j+1}} \right),$$

we have

$$\mu_{(f(x)-m^{2np}f(\frac{x}{m^p}))} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha} \right)^j t \right) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x, 0)}(t), \tag{4.21}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ . In other words,

$$\mu_{(f^{(x)} - m^{2np} f(\frac{x}{m^p}))}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^j} \right), \tag{4.22}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and  $t > 0$ . Substituting  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{m^l}$  in (4.22), we have

$$\mu_{(m^{2nl} f(\frac{x}{m^l}) - m^{2n(p+l)} f(\frac{x}{m^{p+l}}))}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=l}^{l+p} \left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^j} \right), \tag{4.23}$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all integers  $p \geq l \geq 0$ . Since the series  $\sum_{j=l}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^j$  is convergent, we see that  $\Lambda_{\psi(x,0)} \left( \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=l}^{l+p} \left(\frac{m^{2n}}{\alpha}\right)^j} \right)$  goes to 1 as  $l$  and  $n$  tend to infinity, and so  $\{m^{2np} f(\frac{x}{m^p})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$ . The completeness of  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  as a RN-space necessitates that the last sequence converges to some point  $\mathcal{Q}(x) \in W$ . The remaining assertion goes through in a similar method to the corresponding part of Theorem 4.1. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $V$  be a linear space,  $(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda, \tau_M)$  be an RN-space and  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  be a complete RN-space. Suppose that  $s$  is a real number such that  $s \in [2n, \infty)$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ . If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping such that*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{D}f(x_1, x_2)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^s\right)z_0}(t) \tag{4.24}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $\mathcal{Q} : V^n \rightarrow W$  of (3.4) satisfying

$$\mu_{f(x) - \mathcal{Q}(x)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{1j}\|^s z_0} \left( \frac{2^n(m^s - m^{2n})}{m^s} t \right)$$

for all  $x \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\psi(x_1, x_2) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^s\right) z_0$  and applying Theorem 4.1 when  $\alpha = m^s$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

For two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , the set of all mappings from  $X$  to  $Y$  is denoted by  $Y^X$ . Let  $A$  be a nonempty set,  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $\psi \in \mathbb{R}_+^{A^n}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be operators mapping a nonempty set  $D \subset X^A$  into  $X^A$ . We say that operator equation

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathcal{F}_2 \varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \tag{4.25}$$

is  $\psi$ -hyperstable provided every  $\varphi_0 \in D$  satisfying inequality

$$d(\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi_0(a_1, \dots, a_n), \mathcal{F}_2 \varphi_0(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \leq \psi(a_1, \dots, a_n), \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in A,$$

fulfils (4.25); this definition is introduced in [10]. In other words, a functional equation  $\mathcal{F}$  is hyperstable if any mapping  $f$  satisfying the equation  $\mathcal{F}$  approximately is a true solution of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Under some mild conditions, the equation (3.4) can be hyperstable as follows.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let  $V$  be a linear space,  $(\mathcal{L}, \Lambda, \tau_M)$  be an RN-space and  $(W, \mu, \tau_M)$  be a complete RN-space. Let  $s_{ij}$  be non-negative real numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} \neq 2n$  and  $z_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $f : V^n \rightarrow W$  is a mapping such that

$$\mu_{\mathcal{D}f(x_1, x_2)}(t) \geq \Lambda_{\prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^{s_{ij} z_0}}(t)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$  and all  $t > 0$ , then  $f$  satisfies (3.4). Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{Q}$  has the quadratic condition in each variable, then it is a multi-quadratic mapping.

*Proof.* Putting  $\psi(x_1, x_2) := \prod_{i=1}^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|^{s_{ij} z_0}$  in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3, we obtain the result.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements.* The authors sincerely thank the anonymous reviewer for careful reading and suggesting some related references to improve the quality of the first draft of paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. AOKI, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] A. BAHYRYCZ, J. OLKO, *On stability and hyperstability of an equation characterizing multi-Cauchy-Jensen mappings*, Results Math., (2018) 73:55, doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0815-8.
- [3] A. BAHYRYCZ, K. CIEPLIŃSKI, J. OLKO, *On an equation characterizing multi Cauchy-Jensen mappings and its Hyers-Ulam stability*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **35** (2015), 1349–1358.
- [4] A. BODAGHI, *Functional inequalities for generalized multi-quadratic mappings*, J. Inequ. Appl., **2021**, 145 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-021-02682-z>.
- [5] A. BODAGHI, *Stability of a mixed type additive and quartic function equation*, Filomat, **28** (8) (2014), 1629–1640.
- [6] A. BODAGHI AND I. A. ALIAS, *Approximate ternary quadratic derivations on ternary Banach algebras and  $C^*$ -ternary rings*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2012**, Art. No. 11 (2012).
- [7] A. BODAGHI, I. A. ALIAS AND M. ESHAGHI GORDJI, *On the stability of quadratic double centralizers and quadratic multipliers: A fixed point approach*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011**, Art ID 957541, 9 pp, doi:10.1155/2011/957541.
- [8] A. BODAGHI, H. MOSHTAGH AND H. DUTTA, *Characterization and stability analysis of advanced multi-quadratic functional equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2021**, 380 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03541-3>.
- [9] A. BODAGHI, C. PARK AND S. YUN, *Almost multi-quadratic mappings in non-Archimedean spaces*, AIMS Mathematics, **5** (5) (2020), 5230–5239, doi:10.3934/math.2020336.
- [10] J. BRZDĘK AND K. CIEPLIŃSKI, *Hyperstability and superstability*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013, Article ID 401756, 13 pp.
- [11] K. CIEPLIŃSKI, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-quadratic mappings*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 3418–3426.
- [12] P. GÄVRUȚA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [13] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA., **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [14] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, 1998.
- [15] S.-M. JUNG, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Vol. 48, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [16] M. K. KANG, *Random stability of quadratic functional equations*, J. Adv. Physics, **16** (2019), 498–507.
- [17] P. KANNAPPAN, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer, 2009.

- [18] M. J. KIM, S. W. SCHIN, D. KI, J. CHANG AND J. H. KIM, *Fixed points and random stability of a generalized Apollonius type quadratic functional equation*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2011**, Art. ID 671514, 11 pp, doi:10.1155/2011/671514.
- [19] Y.-H. LEE, S.-M. JUNG AND M. TH. RASSIAS, *Uniqueness theorems on functional inequalities concerning cubic-quadratic-additive equation*, J. Math. Inequal., **12** (1) (2018), 43–61.
- [20] Y.-H. LEE, S.-M. JUNG AND M. TH. RASSIAS, *On an  $n$ -dimensional mixed type additive and quadratic functional equation*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **228** (2014), 13–16.
- [21] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [22] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (2) (1978), 297–300.
- [23] P. K. SAHOO AND P. KANNAPPAN, *Introduction to Functional Equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (2011).
- [24] S. SALIMI AND A. BODAGHI, *A fixed point application for the stability and hyperstability of multi-Jensen-quadratic mappings*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **22**:9 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-019-0738-3>.
- [25] S. W. SCHIN, D. KI, J. CHANG, M. J. KIM AND C. PARK, *Stability of quadratic functional equations in random normed spaces*, Korean J. Math. Soc., **18**, no. 2 (2010), 395–407.
- [26] B. SCHWEIZER AND A. SKLAR, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, Elsevier, North Holland, New York, 1983.
- [27] A. N. ŠESTNEV, *On the motion of a random normed space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 149 (1963) 280283.
- [28] S. M. ULAM, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science Ed., Wiley, New York, 1940.
- [29] X. YANG, *On the stability of quadratic functional equations in  $F$ -spaces*, J. Func. Spaces, vol. 2016, Article ID 5636101, 7 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/5636101>.
- [30] X. ZHAO, X. YANG AND C.-T. PANG, *Solution and stability of the multiquadratic functional equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2013) Art. ID 415053, 8 pp.

(Received May 2, 2021)

Choonkil Park  
 Research Institute for Natural Sciences  
 Hanyang University  
 Seoul 04763, Korea  
 e-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Abasalt Bodaghi  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University  
 Garmsar, Iran  
 e-mail: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com

Idham Arif Alias  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia  
 e-mail: idham\_aa@upm.edu.my