Journal of LOW FREQUENCY **NOISE. VIBRATION** AND ACTIVE CONTROL

Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control 2022, Vol. 0(0) I-I7 © The Author(s) 2022 DOI: 10.1177/14613484221134367 journals.sagepub.com/home/lfn

(S)SAGE

Sol Ji Han[®], Dohyeong Kim[®] and Gil Ho Yoon[®]

dynamic vibration absorber

Vibration and sound pressure reduction over

a wide frequency range with an optimal

Abstract

In this study, an optimized dynamic vibration absorber (DVA) was applied to improve the sound absorption performance and simultaneously attenuate vibrations and structure-borne noise at multiple frequencies. As the size parameters and eigenfrequencies of a DVA demonstrate a nonlinear relationship, tuning the eigenfrequencies by heuristically modifying the geometric parameters of the DVA is difficult. To avoid this intricate process, geometric parameters were optimized using a gradient method solver to tune the eigenvalues of the DVA to the target frequencies. Post-processing was performed for the sake of manufacturing. To confirm the validity of the performance of the sound absorption and vibration/noise attenuation, impedance tube experiments and impact experiments were conducted using the manufactured DVA. The impedance tube experiment verified that the values of the sound absorption coefficient increased with the application of the DVA. From the impact experiments, it was verified that the values of the frequency response function and sound pressure level decreased with the application of the DVA. The present study validates the notion that optimized DVAs improve the sound absorption performance of structures and simultaneously reduce vibrations and sound pressures at multiple desired frequencies.

Keywords

Dynamic vibration absorber, sound absorber, sound absorption coefficient, size optimization

Introduction

Vibration and sound pressure reduction is an important subject in the field of engineering. To analyze structural vibrations and sound pressures, several studies have been conducted on noise sources inside electric vehicles,¹ sound emission characteristics of wheel parts,² advanced sound radiation models of tires with contact,³ and sound radiations of refrigerator noise.⁴ Studies have also analyzed sound pressures and vibrations generated by plate structures, which are widely used in engineering environments. Relevant research has been conducted on the sound radiation of rectangular orthotropic plates resulting from forced excitation⁵ and on prediction models for sound radiation of plate-like structures.⁶ Moreover, studies have investigated the sound radiation of complicated structures such as box structures, including structural-acoustic vibrations in paneled boxes,⁷ sound radiation characteristics of a box-type structure using the finite element method and boundary element method,⁸ and sound radiation of hollow box timber floors using impact excitation.⁹ To reduce sound pressures using existing engineering methods and approaches, most studies have employed sound absorbers. Methods using metamaterial beams consisting of a uniform isotropic beam and an arrayed small two-mass spring-mass damper,¹⁰ meta-surface absorbers based on the slow wave effect,¹¹ meta-surface-based perfect absorbers,¹² broadband compact acoustic absorbers,¹³ locally resonant metamaterials consisting of a subwavelength array of resonators,¹⁴ and photonic blackholes¹⁵ have been proposed to attenuate the sound pressure of structures using metamaterials. Methods using

Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

Corresponding author:

Gil Ho Yoon, Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Hanyang University, Wang Simlee Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea. Email: ghy@hanyang.ac.kr or gilho.yoon@gmail.com



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/

en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

composite structures consisting of embedded I-plates with porous polyurethane sponges¹⁶ and composite-loaded sound absorbers using a Helmholtz resonator have also been proposed to reduce sound pressures of structures using porous foams. Several innovative analyses have also considered sound absorbers using impedance-matched absorbing surfaces¹⁷ and optimized damping treatments.¹⁸

Dynamic vibration absorbers have traditionally been employed in several engineering fields for vibration attenuation. Several studies considering DVAs have aimed to reduce the vibrations of rotating cutting tools¹⁹ to effectively suppress resonant vibrations of a flexible car body²⁰ and to attenuate vibrations of vehicle suspension structures.²¹ Furthermore, a few studies have attempted to improve the performance of DVAs. Methods using multiple nonlinear vibration absorbers for the mitigation of several nonlinear resonances and x-shaped tunable and nonlinear structures have also been presented to improve the vibration performance of DVAs.^{22,23} Moreover, the power flow transmission characteristics of a system with and without DVAs have been investigated to evaluate the vibration reduction performance of DVAs.²⁴ Recently, the simultaneous reduction of sound pressure and vibration has emerged as an important issue, DVAs have received considerable attention for adjusting sound pressures in vibro-acoustic systems.^{25–28}A DVA is a practical device for suppressing vibration and noise at a specific frequency by splitting and shifting the eigenfrequencies of a structure.^{29,30} Relevant research has been conducted using DVAs to investigate the vibration and noise control of fuselage^{31,32} and distributed absorbers that attenuate passive wide-band frequency vibrations.³³ Despite several contributions of DVAs, numerous limitations still exist; several sound absorbers have been designed to only reduce pressure at a single frequency value.

In other words, increasing the values of the sound absorption coefficient or lowering the values of the sound pressure level (SPL) at several frequencies is challenging. In this paper, an optimized dynamic vibration absorber (DVA) is presented to attenuate vibrations and sound pressures of structures at multiple desired frequencies. We adopt the mechanism of a previously developed DVA, which operates at multiple frequencies.³⁴ The parameters of the DVA were adjusted using the size optimization process to absorb vibrations and sound pressures at multiple targeted frequencies. There are several studies which are compared with the optimized DVA. Pendulum tuned mass damper commonly attenuates low frequency vibration due to the length limit of the pendulum.³⁵ Also, omnidirectional acoustic absorber using metamaterial reduced ineffectively in the frequency range bellow 1.2 kHz.³⁶ In addition, the single mass dynamic vibration absorber can only attenuate vibrations and noise at single frequency.³⁰ The double mass dynamic vibration absorber can increase the target frequencies, but it is limited to two.³⁷ In the case of parallel multi mass absorber, there is a disadvantage that the mass of the absorber increases when the number of target frequency is increased.³⁸ Compared with the other absorbers, the benefits of the optimized DVAs in this study lies in the fact that it is possible easily tune or optimize the geometric parameters of the DVAs to adjust wide-band target frequencies. It can reduce vibrations of hosting structures at multiple frequencies by utilizing their multiple modes. Figure 1 shows the effect of optimized DVA. The sound absorption coefficient is improved by attaching the optimized DVA to reduce the transmitted and reflective wave. Moreover, structure-borne noise is decreased by attaching the optimized DVA. The effects of the optimized DVA were experimentally verified by applying it to various structures. The purpose of the present research is to reduce vibrations and sound pressures at multiple targeted frequencies using an optimized DVA. Owing to the nonlinearity between the size parameters and eigenfrequencies, manually determining an optimal design with desired frequencies is challenging. Therefore, in this study, the optimization formula was formulated and solved using a numerical optimization algorithm and several constraints. A gradient-based optimizer (fmincon implemented in MATLAB) was employed to solve the size optimization problem. In the optimization formulation, the squared 2-norm of the differences between the frequencies of the DVA and the target frequencies was set as the objective function. Several parameters considering the size of the DVA were set as design variables. The optimized design variables were rounded to 0.1 mm for manufacturing during post-processing. To validate the proposed approach, several experiments were conducted with a circular plate, rectangular plate, and bottomless box structure. In the first example, the values of the sound absorption coefficient for the circular plate were improved by attaching an optimized DVA. The attached DVA affected the system of the circular plate and increased the value of the sound absorption coefficient at multiple targeted frequencies. The second and third examples were constructed to reduce vibrations and structure-borne noise using optimized DVAs. In the second example, vibrations and structure-borne noise of a rectangular plate were reduced using the optimized DVA. In the final example, vibrations and structure-borne noise of a simply supported bottomless box were attenuated using the optimized DVA. Analyses of these examples were conducted to verify the effects of optimized DVAs on increasing the values of sound absorption coefficients and attenuating the values of the frequency response function (FRF) and SPL for various structures.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides background information on dynamic vibration absorption at multiple frequencies. The advantages of the proposed DVA and size optimization procedure are also discussed. In Section 3, several optimizations and applications of the proposed DVA are presented. The experiments that were



Figure I. Schematic of vibration and sound pressure reduction with a dynamic vibration absorber: (a) improving sound absorption performance for normal incident sound and (b) reducing vibration and structure-borne noise.

conducted to validate the performance of the designed DVAs are outlined herein. Section 4 provides conclusions and suggestions for future research.

Experimental method and tools

For the impedance tube experiment, the two microphones method is applied (Bruel Kær Type 4947(1/2-INCH PRESSURE-FIELD MICROPHONE, PREPOLARIZED)). For impact experiment, a uniaxial accelerometer (PCB Piezotronics model 352C33), an ICP-type impact hammer (PCB Piezotronics model 086C03), and a DAQ (NI cDAQ-9171, NI 9234) are employed. The signal processing (FFT) is carried out with MATLAB.

DVA for multiple frequencies

A DVA is a classical mechanical system used to attenuate vibrations. The principle of the DVA is to attach a spring–mass system to a system of interest for the absorption of vibration energy, as shown in Figure 1. In this study, the DVA shown in Figure 2 was adopted,¹ and it consists of three beams. Two identical beams with $b_s \times l_s \times t_s$ support a beam with $l_m \times b_m \times t_m$ (see Figure 2). The two beams function as springs, and the last beam functions as a mass. Two bending and twisting modes are observed. The eigenmodes of DVA are shown in Figure 6, Figure 8, Figure 12 and Figure 16. One of the benefits of this DVA is that it can simultaneously utilize the first three vibration modes and eigenfrequencies. It should be noted that the eigenfrequencies of the DVA depend on the values of the size parameters.

Size optimization for DVA. Manually determining the geometric parameters of the DVA, presented in Figure 2, to maximize its performance is challenging owing to the nonlinear characteristics of the eigenfrequencies and geometric parameters. Therefore, to effectively determine the geometric parameters of the DVA, we can adopt the structural optimization scheme presented in Figure 3. To consider the nonlinearity between the geometric parameters and eigenfrequencies, the optimizer, SQP(Sequential quadratic programming), is used. In the present study, a well-developed optimization algorithm in MATLAB (SQP) was adopted to solve an optimization formulation with an objective function with several constraints. The squared 2-norm of the differences between the frequencies of the DVA and target frequencies was set as the objective function. Seven geometric parameters existed in the proposed DVA system and were used as design variables. The following optimization formulation was set up to improve the eigenfrequencies of the DVA

 l_m $t_m \downarrow b_s$ $b_m \downarrow b_s$ $d_s \downarrow l_s$ Property Units Material Density kg/m³ 8930 Young's Modulus Gpa 110 Poisson's ratio - 0.343



$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f_{obj} = \sum_{k}^{n} \left(f_k - f_{target, k} \right)^2,$$

 $k \in$ Eigen frequencies of interest

Subject to $M_{\text{absorber}} \leq 0.25 M_{\text{primary}}$

$$d_{s} + 2l_{s} \le l_{m}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = [x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{7}]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$= [b_{s}, l_{s}, t_{s}, b_{m}, l_{m}, t_{m}, d_{s}]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$x_{\min, i} \le x_{i} \le x_{\max, i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 7$$
(1)

When DVA whose eigenvalues are tailored to the eigenvalues of target system, it effectively reduces the vibration and noise and increase the sound absorption coefficient. Where the target frequencies and actual eigenfrequencies of an intermediate design are denoted by $f_{targetsk}$ and f_k , respectively. The seven design variables denote the geometric parameters of the DVA. The mass of the absorber and that of the primary structure are denoted by $M_{absorber}$ and $M_{primary}$, respectively. The DVA suppresses vibration and noise at a specific frequency by splitting and shifting the eigenfrequencies of a structure.^{19,20} By increasing the mass ratio $M_{absorber}$ and $M_{primary}$ m, commonly the gap between the split eigenfrequencies becomes wider. In this research, the mass ratio between DVA and structure is set under 0.25. Note that with a higher mass ratio than 0.25, the dynamic properties such as vibration mode, mode shape and eigenfrequencies are subject to be changed by DVA. The second constraint is imposed to satisfy the geometric constraint (see Figure 2). The lower and upper bounds of the design variables are denoted as $x_{min,i}$ and $x_{max,i}$, respectively.

Figure 3 illustrates the optimization process. After initializing the design variables and the finite element model of the DVA system, the objective function and constraints are computed with respect to the given design variables. Sensitivity analysis is performed using the finite difference method. Subsequently, the gradient-based optimizer (*fmincon* implemented in MATLAB) updates the current design variables to minimize the objective function while satisfying the constraints. We assume that at least one local optimum exists for the given target frequencies. If an obtained local optimum with a sufficiently small objective value does not exist, the initial design variables are altered, and the proposed optimization procedure is reapplied to obtain a better local optimum.

Application of the proposed DVA

Further, to prove the concept of a DVA operating at multiple frequencies in an acoustic-structure interaction system, we solved several optimization problems considering DVAs operating at multiple frequencies. The objective of the optimization problem was set to the norm of the differences between the frequency and target frequency values. After obtaining local optima with sufficiently small objective values from an engineering perspective, the designed DVAs were manufactured with brass and were then experimentally tested.





Figure 3. Optimization process of the present dynamic vibration absorber.

Example 1 Improvement of sound absorption with dynamic vibration absorber

For the first example, two DVAs were designed to improve the absorption performance of circular plates, as shown in Figure 4(b). The determination of the sound absorption coefficient of a material is a well-developed acoustic theory. The sound incident on the surface of a material of interest is reflected, absorbed, and transmitted. The ratio of the reflected wave to the transmitted wave changes depending on the material of interest. To measure the absorption performance of a sound absorption material, an impedance tube can be employed, which measures the reflected sound wave based on the principle of standing waves. The impedance tube, illustrated in Figure 4(a), measures pressure values at two different locations. Subsequently, the following FRF is computed

$$H_{12} = \frac{P_2}{P_1} = \frac{e^{jkx_2} + R \times e^{-jkx_2}}{e^{jkx_1} + R \times e^{-jkx_1}},$$

$$R = \frac{H_{12} - H_I}{H_R - H_{12}} e^{jk2x_1}$$
(2)

where the acoustic pressures measured at the two microphones are denoted as P_1 and P_2 , respectively. The positions of the microphones are denoted by x_1 and x_2 , and the distance between the microphones is *s*. H_{12} represents the transfer function, which denotes the ratio of the two measured particle velocities. The reflection coefficient of the material of interest is denoted by *R*, and the wave vector is denoted by *k*. To calculate the reflection coefficient, the transfer functions of the waves are computed as follows

$$H_{I} = \frac{P_{2I}}{P_{1I}} = e^{-jks}, H_{R} = \frac{P_{2R}}{P_{1R}} = e^{-jks}$$
(3)

where H_I and H_R denote the transfer functions of the incident and reflected waves, respectively. The incident wave pressures measured at positions x_1 and x_2 are denoted as P_{1I} and P_{2I} , respectively. The reflection-wave pressures measured at positions x_1 and x_2 are denoted by P_{1R} and P_{2R} , respectively. Using the reflect2), the absorption coefficient can be derived as follows

$$\alpha = 1 - \left|R\right|^2 \tag{4}$$

where the absorption coefficient is denoted as α . Using the measured sound pressures at two different locations, the sound absorption coefficients were calculated, as shown in Figure 5. The circular plates of the first and second specimens had maximum values of 430 Hz, as shown in Figure 5(a) and 530 Hz, as shown in Figure 5(b), respectively. The circular plates were printed out using a 3d Printer (DREMEL DIGILAB 3D45). The density is 0.382 g/cm² and the infill grid pattern is set to 20%. The parameters of the circular plates were 100 mm diameter and 1 cm depth, and the connection parts are 60 mm width, 10 mm height, and 5 mm depth, respectively.

Optimization of DVA with two frequencies. In the first example, the DVA was optimized to improve the sound absorption coefficients at two target frequencies. The sound absorption coefficients of the circular plate are presented in



Figure 4. Acoustic experiment for the sound absorption coefficient: (a) experimental measurement setup and (b) circular plate.



Figure 5. Sound absorption coefficients of the circular plate: (a) 430 Hz and (b) 530 Hz.

Figure 5(a). The circular plate was placed at the right end of the tube, and a sound source and microphones were placed at the opposite end to measure the sound pressures at different locations between the sound source and circular plate (see Figure 4(a)). The experimental results revealed that the absorption coefficient was maximized at approximately 430 Hz. The maximized value of the sound absorption coefficient is determined by the eigenvalue of the circular plate.³⁹ To improve the sound-absorbing performance of the circular plate at multiple frequencies, a DVA can be attached. First, we aimed to design a DVA with eigenfrequencies of 300 Hz and 700 Hz. Consequently, an application of the DVA, with the two target eigenfrequencies, to the circular plate would allow the circular plate to achieve better sound absorption performance at both 300 Hz and 700 Hz. To achieve this, the following optimization formulation was considered

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} f_{obj} &= (f_{1} - 300 \text{ Hz})^{2} + (f_{2} - 700 \text{ Hz})^{2} \\ \text{Subject to } M_{\text{absorber}} \leq 0.25 M_{\text{primary}} \\ d_{s} + 2l_{s} \leq l_{m} \\ d_{s} + 2l_{s} \leq 0.06 \text{ m} \\ x_{\min, i} \leq x_{i} \leq x_{\max, i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 6 \\ \mathbf{x} &= [b_{s}, l_{s}, b_{m}, l_{m}, d_{s}, t_{m}]^{\text{T}} \\ x_{\max, i} &= 2x_{initial, i}, x_{\min, i} = x_{initial, i}/10 \end{split}$$
(5)

The design variables of the DVA are presented in Figure 2. The k-th eigenfrequency of the DVA is f_k . The squared 2-norm of the differences between the frequencies of the DVA and the target frequencies was set as the objective function. The constraints were set by considering the mass and geometry of the DVA. The first constraint was imposed



Figure 6. Optimization of the dynamic vibration absorber at 300 Hz and 700 Hz: (a) optimization history; (b) optimized layout; (c) design variable evolution; and (d) post-processed design for manufacturing. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and post-processed DVAs are 0.7076% and 0.8946% for 300 Hz and 700 Hz, respectively.

such that the mass ratio between the circular plate and DVA remained under 0.25, and the second constraint was imposed to maintain the configuration of the DVA, wherein two identical beams supported a single beam, as shown in Figure 2. The third constraint was imposed to ensure that the length of the DVA was less than that of the connection part of the circular plate. The fourth, fifth, and sixth constraints were imposed to set lower and upper bounds for the six design variables. The initial design variables, $x_{isinitial}$, of the optimization problem were set to (0.0150 m, 0.0150 m, 0.0150 m, 0.0150 m, 0.0010 m). The upper and lower bounds of the design variables were set to twice and one-tenth the initial values of the design variables, respectively. As a brass plate with a thickness of 0.0005 m was prepared in the present case study, t_s was set to 0.0005 m. The design variables after the optimization process are presented in Figure 6. Because the optimization process involved convergence of the objective function, as presented in Figure 6(a), it was possible to realize the design presented in Figure 6(b). The detailed convergence of the design variables is illustrated in Figure 6(c).

Table 1 The optimized layout had eigenfrequency values of 301.9867 Hz and 697.6525 Hz, which were close to the target frequencies from an engineering perspective. From a practical point of view, post-processing was performed, as shown in Figure 6(d), by rounding the values up to five decimal places. Consequently, the frequencies changed to 304.1235 Hz and 691.4113 Hz, respectively; these values are still close enough from an engineering point of view. The first and second modes of the optimized design are presented in Figure 6(d); the first mode denotes the bending mode, and the second mode represents the twisting mode.

Based on the optimized design, a DVA was manufactured and attached to the circular plate, as shown in Figure 7(a). The values of the sound absorption coefficient for the circular plate with the DVA were measured using an impedance tube, and the responses are plotted in Figure 7(b). As expected, the values of the absorption coefficient improved at the target frequencies of interest. The measured peaks appeared at 318 Hz and 675.8 Hz. The values of the sound absorption coefficient at the peak values increased by 152.2743% and 317.3748%, respectively. These results demonstrate that the sound absorption coefficients can be improved using the optimized DVA.

Optimization of DVA at three frequencies. As a second case study, the DVA was optimized to improve the values of the sound absorption coefficients for an identical circular plate at three target frequency values. The sound absorption coefficients of the circular plate are presented in Figure 5 (b). The maximized value of the sound absorption coefficient is determined by the eigenvalue of the circular plate.³⁹ This case aimed to design a DVA with eigenfrequencies of 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz. Attaching the DVA to the circular plate enabled the circular plate to achieve better sound absorption performances at 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz; the target frequency values were arbitrarily chosen. To achieve the desired optimization, the following optimization formulation was considered

Target frequency (Hz)	Absorption coefficient (α) (no DVA)	Absorption coefficient (α) (with DVA)	Increase ratio (%)	
318.0	0.171	0.432	152	
675.8	0.107	0.447	317	

Table I. Comparison of the absorption coefficient with and without Dynamic vibration absorber.



Figure 7. Experimental results at 300 Hz and 700 Hz: (a) circular plate with the optimized Dynamic vibration absorber and (b) comparison of results for the circular plate with and without the DVA. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and manufactured DVAs are 5.3027% and 3.1323% for 300 Hz and 700 Hz, respectively.

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{Min } f_{obj}} = (f_1 - 300 \text{ Hz})^2 + (f_2 - 700 \text{ Hz})^2 + (f_3 - 900 \text{ Hz})^2$$

Subject to $M_{\text{absorber}} \le 0.25 M_{\text{primary}}$

$$d_{s} + 2l_{s} \leq l_{m}$$

$$d_{s} + 2l_{s} \leq 0.06 \text{ m}$$

$$x_{\min, i} \leq x_{i} \leq x_{\max, i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 5$$

$$\mathbf{x} = [b_{s}, l_{s}, b_{m}, l_{m}, d_{s}]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

$$x_{\max, i} = 2x_{\min, i} = x_{\min, i} = x_{\min, i} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5}$$
(6)

The optimization formulation was slightly modified from that used in the first case study (for two frequencies); the objective function was modified to consider the third frequency value. For simplicity, the number of design variables was reduced to five by fixing t_m at 0.0005 m. Moreover, the upper and lower bounds of the design variables were set to two times and one-fifth of the initial values of the design variables, respectively. An identical brass plate with a thickness of 0.0005 m was prepared; thus, t_s was set to 0.0005 m. Because the optimization process involved convergence of the objective function, presented in Figure 8(a), it was possible to realize the design illustrated in Figure 8(b). The detailed convergence of the design variables is illustrated in Figure 8(c).

The optimized layout had eigenfrequency values of 307.1358 Hz, 711.3346 Hz, and 885.9111 Hz, which were close to the target frequencies from an engineering perspective. From a practical point of view, post-processing was performed, as illustrated in Figure 6(d), by rounding the values up to five decimal places. Consequently, the frequencies were changed to 293.9028, 674.8014, and 874.8355 Hz. The first, second, and third modes of the optimized design are presented in Figure 8(d). Compared to the design of two frequencies, in this case study, the width between the two supporting structures became wider, and the structure playing the role of mass became larger. The frequencies of the second and third modes differed slightly from the target frequencies by approximately 30 Hz. Although this aspect raises questions regarding the existence of a global optimum design whose frequencies are sufficiently close to the target frequencies from an engineering point of view, the present research accepts these local optima.

Based on the optimized design, the DVA was manufactured and attached to the circular plate, as shown in Figure 9(a). The values of the sound absorption coefficient for the circular plate with the DVA were measured using the impedance tube,



Figure 8. Optimization of the Dynamic vibration absorber at 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz: (a) optimization history; (b) optimized layout; (c) design variable evolution; and (d) post-processed design for manufacturing. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and post-processed DVAs are 4.3085%, 5.1359% and 1.2502% for 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz, respectively.



Figure 9. Experimental results at 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz: (a) circular plate with the optimized Dynamic vibration absorber and (b) comparison of results for the circular plate with and without the DVA. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and manufactured DVAs are 1.5837%, 4.2645% and 0.1254% for 300 Hz, 700 Hz, and 900 Hz, respectively.

Table 2. Comparison of the absorption coefficient with and without dynamic vibration absorber.

Target frequency (Hz)	Absorption coefficient (α) (no DVA)	Absorption coefficient (α) (with DVA)	Increase ratio (%)
312.0	0.217	0.487	124
681.0	0.125	0.228	82
884.8	0.078	0.344	341

and the responses are plotted in Figure 9(b). Note that the values of the sound absorption coefficient were improved at the target frequencies of interest. The measured peaks appeared at 312 Hz, 681 Hz, and 884.8 Hz. The peak values of the sound absorption coefficient increased by 124.1297%, 81.6309%, and 341.1410%, respectively. These results indicate that the frequencies of the proposed DVA can be optimized and that the sound absorption coefficients can be improved by optimizing the DVA Table 2.

Example 2 Reduction of the transmitted sound considering acoustic-structure interaction

For the next example, a DVA structure was designed to reduce the SPL in a vibro-acoustic system. When a structure is impacted, it vibrates and generates noise. The generated noise contains the vibration modes of the structure, that is, the

(a) (b) 100 Impact hammer 80 Sound pressure level (dB) Plate Accelerometer 60 40 Microphone 20 0 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 Frequency (Hz)

Figure 10. Structure-borne noise experiment for SPL: (a) impact experiment with a rectangular plate and (b) impact SPL of the rectangular plate.



Figure 11. Experimental schematic for a rectangular plate with the dynamic vibration absorber.



Figure 12. Optimization of the Dynamic vibration absorber at 71 Hz, 177 Hz and 567 Hz: (a) optimization history; (b) optimized layout; (c) design variable evolution; and (d) post-processed design for manufacturing. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and post-processed DVAs are 1.2610%, 0.6899%, and 0.3598% for 71 Hz, 177 Hz, and 567 Hz, respectively.

acoustic-structure interactions. By utilizing the suggested DVA, noise reduction from a rectangular plate is possible. To verify the effects of applying the DVA, a rectangular plate was manufactured, as shown in Figure 10(a). The SPL of the rectangular plate under impact is presented in Figure 10(b). The experimental setup is illustrated in Figure 11. The optimization formulation for the present example is as follows:



Figure 13. Experimental results of the rectangular plate at 71 Hz, 177 Hz, and 567 Hz: (a) with the optimized Dynamic vibration absorber; (b) SPL with and without the optimized DVA; and (c) FRF with and without the optimized DVA.

The optimization formulation was slightly modified from that used for the circular plate. The objective function was modified to consider frequency values of 71 Hz, 177 Hz, and 567 Hz. The three target frequencies are determined by the measurements of the structure eigenfrequencies. The first and second constraints were identically imposed to consider the mass ratio and maintenance of the configuration. The third constraint was modified by considering the change in the length of the connecting part. As in the second case study of the first example, five design variables were optimized, and their initial values were set to (0.1000 m, 0.0400 m, 0.0400 m, 0.1000 m, 0.0200 m). The upper and lower bounds of the design variables were set to five times and one-fifth of their initial values, respectively. Because a brass plate of 0.0005 m was considered in this example, t_s and t_m were set to 0.0005 m. Because the optimization process involved convergence of the objective function illustrated in Figure 12(a), it was possible to obtain the design presented in Figure 12(b). The detailed convergence of the design variables is illustrated in Figure 12(c). The optimized layout had eigenfrequency values of 70.4679 Hz, 180.4822 Hz, and 565.0612 Hz. For manufacturing, postprocessing was performed by rounding the design variable values up to five decimal places, as presented in Figure 12(d). Consequently, the frequencies were slightly changed to 69.9990 Hz, 181.8145 Hz, and 559.8805 Hz. The first, second, and third modes of the optimized design are illustrated in Figure 12(d). Based on the optimized design, the DVA was manufactured and attached to the plate, as shown in Figure 13(a). The values of SPL and FRF for the rectangular plate with DVA are plotted in Figures 13(b) and (c), respectively. Both the SPL and FRF graphs exhibit similar peak frequency values, indicating that the acoustic-structure interaction is well considered in this example. The reduction ratios of SPL and FRF are presented in Table 3. These results indicate that the values of FRF and SPL can be lowered using the optimized DVA.

Example 3 Reduction of the transmitted sound of the bottomless box with several surfaces

As a third example, a DVA structure was designed to reduce the sound radiation of a vibro-acoustic system, as illustrated in Figure 14(a) and 15(a). With a mechanical impact force, the structure vibrates and generates noise owing to acoustic-structure interaction. The SPL in Figure 14(b) exhibits multiple resonance peaks owing to resonance. In this example, the positions of the microphone and impact were fixed, as shown in Figure 18(a). The optimization problem is formulated as follows

Target frequency (Hz)	SPL (dB) (No DVA)	SPL (dB) (With DVA)	SPL reduction ratio (%)	FRF (m/s [·] 2/N) (No DVA)	FRF (m/s [°] 2/N) (With DVA)	FRF reduction ratio (%)
71	65.019	31.791	51	5.418	1.010	81
177	42.238	34.2645	25	1.491	0.839	43
567	43.423	18.445	57	6.153	1.947	68

Table 3. Comparison of the SPL and FRF of the rectangular plate with and without the Dynamic vibration absorber.



Figure 14. Structure-borne noise experiment for a bottomless box: (a) structure-borne noise from the impact and (b) SPL from the impact.



Figure 15. Experimental schematic for the bottomless box with dynamic vibration absorbers.



Figure 16. Optimization of the optimized dynamic vibration absorber at 163 Hz and 573 Hz: (a) optimization history; (b) optimized layout; (c) design variable evolution; and (d) post-processed design for manufacturing. The differences between the eigenfrequencies of the optimized and post-processed DVAs are 0.9966% and 0.9992% for 163 and 573 Hz, respectively.



Figure 17. Frequency response function measurements to determine the positions of DVAs: (a) points of the accelerometers and (b) FRF at three different points in the bottomless box experiment.

S

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{x}} f_{obj} &= (f_1 - 163 \text{ Hz})^2 + (f_2 - 573 \text{ Hz})^2 \\ \text{Subject to } M_{\text{absorber}} \leq 0.25 M_{\text{primary}} \\ d_s + 2l_s \leq l_m \\ d_s + 2l_s \leq 0.19 \text{ m} \\ x_{\min,i} \leq x_i \leq x_{\max,i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 6 \\ \mathbf{x} &= [b_s, l_s, b_m, l_m, d_s, t_m]^{\text{T}} \\ x_{\max,i} &= 3x_{initial,i}, x_{\min,i} = x_{initial,i}/4 \\ 0.0006 \text{ m} \leq t_m \leq 0.003 \text{ m} \end{split}$$
(8)

The optimization formulation was similar to that used for the circular and rectangular plates. The two target frequencies are determined by the measurements of the structure eigenfrequencies. The objective function was modified to consider the frequency values of 163 Hz and 574 Hz, which displayed the highest SPL values at a fixed microphone position. The first and second constraints were established to consider the mass ratio and maintenance of the DVA configuration. The third constraint was modified by considering the change in the length of the connecting part. Identical to the first case study of the circular plate, six design variables were considered, and their initial values were set to (0.0500 m, 0.0300 m, 0.0500 m, 0.0700 m, 0.0100 m, 0.0010 m). Except for t_s , the upper and lower bounds of the design variables were set to three times and one-fourth of the initial values of the design variables, respectively. For t_m , the upper and lower bounds were set as 0.003 m and 0.0006 m, respectively. A brass plate of 0.0006 m was considered for this example; thus, t_s was set to 0.0006 m. Because the optimization process involved convergence of the objective function illustrated in Figure 16(a), it was possible to realize the design presented in Figure 16(b). The detailed convergence of the design variables is illustrated in Figure 16(c). The optimized layout had eigenfrequency values of 163.3967 Hz and 578.7101 Hz, which were close to the target frequencies from an engineering perspective. From a practical point of view, post-processing was performed, as illustrated in Figure 16(d), by rounding the design variable values up to five decimal places. Consequently, the frequencies were changed to 162.8574 Hz and 578.2572 Hz, respectively; these values were sufficiently close from an engineering point of view. The modes of the optimized design are presented in Figure 16(d).

Figure 17 illustrates the FRF results used to determine the positions of the DVAs. As the opposite sides of the bottomless box are symmetrical, accelerometers were attached to the center of the three sides to compare the values of the amplitudes of FRF. The FRF curve obtained from point 2 is smaller than the curves obtained from points 1 and 3. Thus, the manufactured DVAs were attached to points 1 and 3 of the bottomless box. The SPL and FRF for the bottomless box with DVAs are presented in Figures 18(a) and (b), respectively. Both the SPL and FRF graphs exhibited identical peak frequency values,



Figure 18. Experimental results of the bottomless box at 163 Hz and 573 Hz: (a) with the optimized dynamic vibration absorber; (b) sound pressure level with and without the DVA; and (c) frequency response function with and without the DVA.

 Table 4. Comparison of the sound pressure level and frequency response function of the bottomless box with and without dynamic vibration absorber.

Target frequency (Hz)	SPL (dB) (No DVA)	SPL (dB) (With DVA)	SPL reduction ratio (%)	FRF (m/s [^] 2/N) (No DVA)	FRF (m/s [·] 2/N) (With DVA)	FRF reduction ratio (%)
163	47.329	29.117	38	1.680	0.668	60
573	52.343	44.741	14	4.966	4.402	

indicating that the acoustic-structure interaction was well implemented. The reduction ratios of the SPL and FRF are presented in Table 4. These results indicate that a DVA can be optimized and that multiple DVAs can be utilized to reduce both the SPL and FRF of bottomless box structures, which are employed in various acoustic-related engineering problems.

Discussion and conclusion

It is an important subject to suppress irritating vibrations and noises. This study presents a dynamic vibration absorber that attenuates the vibration and induced noises of structure at multiple frequencies. To tune the first two or three eigenfrequencies of the present DVA, the geometry parameters of the DVA are modified accordingly. However, it is an intricate process to tune the eigenfrequencies by modifying the geometry parameters of the DVA. The determination of the geometry parameters, that is, five or six design variables is done with a gradient-based optimizer. The size optimization of DVA allows the modification of eigenfrequencies close to the target frequencies. Post-processing is done after the optimization procedure for manufacturing of DVA based on the optimization results. The geometric dimensions of the DVA are rounded to 0.1 mm and alterations of eigenfrequencies are observed in this process with maximum value of 5%. Despite these alterations, the reductions of vibrations and noises are still observed at target

15

frequencies. After manufacturing DVAs, experiments considering impedance tube and impact hammer are conducted to confirm validity. The values of sound absorption coefficient are measured and analyzed in the impedance tube experiments. With the effects of the DVA, it is possible to get increased sound absorption coefficients at target frequencies. In the impedance tube experiment at the first case, the values of sound absorption coefficient are approximately increased 152% and 317% at frequencies of peak values respectively. In the impedance tube experiment at the second case, the values of sound absorption coefficient are approximately increased 124%, 82% and 317% at frequencies of peak values respectively. The values of SPL and vibration response are measured and analyzed in the impact hammer experiments. In the impact experiment considering rectangular plate, the values of FRF and SPL are approximately attenuated by 81% and 51% in the first mode, 43% and 25% in the second mode, 68% and 57% in the fourth mode of the rectangular plate, respectively. In the impact experiment of the bottomless box, the values of FRF and SPL are approximately attenuated by 60% and 38% in the first targeted frequency, 11% and 14% in the second targeted frequency, respectively. We can obtain the high repeatability for the first example improving the sound absorption coefficient of a circular plate with DVA and the second example decreasing the sound pressure level and the vibration of a rectangular plate with fixed boundary condition. In the third example, the performance of DVA for a bottomless box is tested. In this example, the contact condition of the box significantly influences the experiment results. It is observed that the in-plane vibrations orthogonal to the direction of gravity and the associated sound propagation are sensitivity to the contact condition. In addition, due to the rigidity of the corners, the vibration modes became perplex and it is difficult to install the two DVAs in proper locations and obtain the consistent experiment results. Therefore, the two DVAs are manufactured with a high precision and the two locations are chosen by trial and error.

The benefits of the present DVAs lie in the fact that they can reduce vibrations of hosting structures at multiple frequencies by utilizing their multiple modes. It is also possible easily tune the geometric parameters of the DVAs to adjust wide-band target frequencies. In addition, this research validates through simulations and experiments that the present DVAs can reduce vibration and structure-borne sound simultaneously. It is observed that the performance in terms of vibration and sound absorption is significantly improved at target frequencies of DVA. However, vibration and noise level at other frequencies can be higher due to the law of conservation of energy. One of the novelties of the present study lies in the fact that with optimized DVA, it is possible to consider the vibrations and noises at multiple target frequencies. The performance of the optimized DVA is verified considering the acoustic-structure interaction. For future research topic, it may be possible to optimize the design variables considering the manufacturing process and the robustness. It also may be possible to optimize DVA location which is the place to reduce the sound pressure.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.2018R1A5A7025522).

ORCID iDs

Sol Ji Han ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8245-3585 Dohyeong Kim ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8355-3684 Gil Ho Yoon ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0634-8329

References

- Huang HB, Wu JH, Huang XR, et al. A novel interval analysis method to identify and reduce pure electric vehicle structure-borne noise. J Sound Vibration 2020; 475: 115258.
- 2. Thompson D and Jones C. Sound radiation from a vibrating railway wheel. J Sound Vibration 2002; 253(2): 401-419.
- 3. Kropp W, Sabiniarz P, Brick H, et al. On the sound radiation of a rolling tyre. J Sound Vibration 2012; 331(8): 1789–1805.
- 4. Jeon JY, You J, and Chang HY. Sound radiation and sound quality characteristics of refrigerator noise in real living environments. *Appl Acoustics* 2007; 68(10): 1118–1134.
- Au F and Wang M. Sound radiation from forced vibration of rectangular orthotropic plates under moving loads. J Sound Vibration 2005; 281(3–5): 1057–1075.

- 6. Putra A and Thompson DJ. Sound radiation from perforated plates. J Sound Vibration 2010; 329(20): 4227-4250.
- Srinivasan S and Rajamani R. Analytical modelling of the structural acoustic vibrations in a paneled box. *Math Comput Model Dynamical Syst* 1998; 4(2): 148–161.
- 8. Lin TR and Pan J. Sound radiation characteristics of a box-type structure. J Sound Vibration 2009; 325(4-5): 835-851.
- 9. Conta S and Homb A. Sound radiation of hollow box timber floors under impact excitation: An experimental parameter study. *Appl Acoust* 2020; 161: 107190.
- Pai PF, Peng H and Jiang S. Acoustic metamaterial beams based on multi-frequency vibration absorbers. *Int J Mech Sci* 2014; 79: 195–205.
- 11. Li Z, Li B, Zhao Q, et al. A metasurface absorber based on the slow-wave effect. AIP Adv 2020; 10(4): 045311.
- Li Y and Assouar BM. Acoustic metasurface-based perfect absorber with deep subwavelength thickness. *Appl Phys Lett* 2016; 108(6): 063502.
- Li Lj, Zheng B, Zhong Lm, et al. Broadband compact acoustic absorber with high-efficiency ventilation performance. *Appl Phys Lett* 2018; 113(10): 103501.
- 14. Jung J, Kim HG, Goo S, et al. Realisation of a locally resonant metamaterial on the automobile panel structure to reduce noise radiation. *Mech Syst Signal Process* 2019; 122: 206–231.
- Climente A, Torrent D and Sánchez-Dehesa J. Omnidirectional broadband acoustic absorber based on metamaterials. *Appl Phys Lett* 2012; 100(14): 144103.
- Gao N, Tang L, Deng J, et al. Design, fabrication and sound absorption test of composite porous metamaterial with embedding I-plates into porous polyurethane sponge. *Appl Acoust* 2021; 175: 107845.
- 17. Li J, Wang W, Xie Y, et al. A sound absorbing metasurface with coupled resonators. Appl Phys Lett 2016; 109(9): 091908.
- Subramanian S, Surampudi R, Thomson K, et al. Optimization of Damping Treatment for Structure Borne Noise Reduction. SAE transactions, 2003, pp. 1926–1938.
- Lee E, Nian C and Tarng Y. Design of a dynamic vibration absorber against vibrations in turning operations. J Mater Process Technol 2001; 108(3): 278–285.
- Gong D, Zhou Js and Sun Wj. On the resonant vibration of a flexible railway car body and its suppression with a dynamic vibration absorber. J Vibration Control 2013; 19(5): 649–657.
- Shen Y, Chen L, Yang X, et al. Improved design of dynamic vibration absorber by using the inerter and its application in vehicle suspension. J Sound Vibration 2016; 361: 148–158.
- 22. Raze G and Kerschen G. Multimodal vibration damping of nonlinear structures using multiple nonlinear absorbers. *Int J Non-linear Mech* 2020; 119: 103308.
- 23. Bian J and Jing X. A nonlinear X-shaped structure based tuned mass damper with multi-variable optimization (X-absorber). *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulation* 2021; 99: 105829.
- Sun H, Zhang K, Zhang P, et al. Application of dynamic vibration absorbers in floating raft system. *Appl Acoust* 2010; 71(3): 250–257.
- Nagaya K and Li L. Control of sound noise radiated from a plate using dynamic absorbers under the optimization by neural network. J Sound Vibration 1997; 208(2): 289–298.
- Michielsen J, Arteaga IL, and Nijmeijer H. LQR-based optimization of multiple tuned resonators for plate sound radiation reduction. J Sound Vibration 2016; 363: 166–180.
- 27. Huang Y and Fuller C. Vibration and noise control of the fuselage via dynamic absorbers. J Vibration Acoust 1998; 120: 496-502.
- Huang Y and Fuller C. The effects of dynamic absorbers on the forced vibration of a cylindrical shell and its coupled interior sound field. J Sound Vibration 1997; 200(4): 401–418.
- Kalehsar HE and Khodaie N. Optimization of response of a dynamic vibration absorber forming part of the main system by the fixed-point theory. KSCE J Civil Eng 2018; 22(7): 2354–2361.
- Huo G, Chen Z, Jiao Y, et al. Optimization of dynamic vibration absorbers for suppressing sound radiation of plate structures. J Vibration Acoust 2021; 143(2).
- Xu XY, Han GH and Ma ZG. Application of Dynamic Vibration Absorber in Noise Control of Whole Vehicle. In: *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. vol. 226. Trans Tech Publ; 2012. p. 423–426.
- Huang YM and Chen CC. Optimal design of dynamic absorbers on vibration and noise control of the fuselage. *Comput Structures* 2000; 76(6): 691–702.
- Azoulay M, Veprik A, Babitsky V, et al. Distributed absorber for noise and vibration control. *Shock and Vibration* 2011; 18(1–2): 181–219.
- Yoon GH, Choi H and So H. Development and optimization of a resonance-based mechanical dynamic absorber structure for multiple frequencies. J Low Frequency Noise, Vibration Active Control 2021; 40(2): 880–897.

- 35. Deraemaeker A and Soltani P. A short note on equal peak design for the pendulum tuned mass dampers. *Proc Inst Mech Eng K: J Multi-Body Dyn* 2017; 231(1): 285–291.
- 36. Elliott A, Venegas R, Groby J, et al. Omnidirectional acoustic absorber with a porous core and a metamaterial matching layer. *J Appl Phys* 2014; 115(20): 204902.
- 37. Asami T, Mizukawa Y, and Ise T. Optimal design of double-mass dynamic vibration absorbers minimizing the mobility transfer function. *J Vibration Acoust* 2018; 140(6).
- Kee KC and Singh T. Minimax design of parallel multi-mass dynamic vibration absorbers. In: Dynamic Systems and Control Conference. vol. 45318. American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 2012. p. 739–747.
- 39. Kim DH and Yoon GH. Active acoustic absorption device using additive manufacturing technique for normal incident wave. *Appl Acoust* 2021; 178: 108006.