Parietal-frontal pathway controls relapse of fear memory in a novel context

Bitna Joo, Shijie Xu, Hyungju Park, Kipom Kim, Jong-Cheol Rah, Ja Wook Koo

 PII:
 S2667-1743(24)00028-4

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2024.100315

Reference: BPSGOS 100315

To appear in: Biological Psychiatry Global Open Science

Received Date: 28 June 2023

Revised Date: 28 February 2024

Accepted Date: 25 March 2024

Please cite this article as: Joo B., Xu S., Park H., Kim K., Rah J.-C. & Koo J.W., Parietal-frontal pathway controls relapse of fear memory in a novel context, *Biological Psychiatry Global Open Science* (2024), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsgos.2024.100315.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Society of Biological Psychiatry.



1 Title

2 Parietal-frontal pathway controls relapse of fear memory in a novel context

3

- 4 Short Title
- 5 Parietal-frontal pathway controls ABC renewal
- 6

7 Authors

8 Bitna Joo^{1,2}, Shijie Xu³, Hyungju Park^{2,4}, Kipom Kim⁵, Jong-Cheol Rah^{2,6}, and Ja Wook

9 Koo^{1,2,*}

10

11 Affiliations

- ¹Emotion, Cognition and Behavior Research Group, Korea Brain Research Institute (KBRI),
- 13 Daegu 41062, Republic of Korea
- 14 ²Department of Brain Sciences, Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology
- 15 (DGIST), Daegu 42988, Republic of Korea
- ³Medical Research Center, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Hainan Medical University, Haikou
 570312, Hainan, China
- ⁴Neurovascular Unit Research Group, Korea Brain Research Institute, Daegu 41062, Republic
- 19 of Korea
- ⁵Research Strategy Office, Korea Brain Research Institute, Daegu 41062, Republic of Korea
- ⁶Sensory & Motor Systems Neuroscience Research Group, Korea Brain Research Institute,
- 22 Daegu 41062, Republic of Korea

23

24 ***Correspondence:**

- 25 Ja Wook Koo, Ph.D. (jawook.koo@kbri.re.kr)
- 26

27 Summary

Background: Fear responses significantly affect daily life and shape our approach to uncertainty. However, the potential resurgence of fear in unfamiliar situations poses a significant challenge to exposure-based therapies for maladaptive fear responses. Nonetheless, how novel contextual stimuli are associated with the relapse of extinguished fear remains unknown.

33 *Methods:* Using a context-dependent fear renewal model, the functional circuits and 34 underlying mechanisms of the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) and anterior cingulate cortex 35 (ACC) were investigated using optogenetic, histological, *in vivo*, and *ex vivo* 36 electrophysiological and pharmacological techniques.

Results: We demonstrated that the PPC to ACC pathway govern fear relapse in a novel context. We observed enhanced populational calcium activity in the ACC neurons that received projections from the PPC (PPC \rightarrow ACC) and increased synaptic activity in the BLA-projecting PPC \rightarrow ACC neurons upon renewal in a novel context, where excitatory postsynaptic currents amplitudes increased but inhibitory postsynaptic current amplitudes decreased. In addition, we found that parvalbumin (PV)-expressing interneurons (PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV}) control novel contextdependent fear renewal, which was blocked by the chronic administration of fluoxetine.

44 **Conclusions:** Our findings highlight the PPC \rightarrow ACC pathway in mediating the relapse of

extinguished fear in novel contexts, contributing significant insights into the intricate neuralmechanisms that govern fear renewal.

47

Keywords: Posterior parietal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, fear renewal, context,
parvalbumin neuron, novel context

50

51 Introduction

Appropriate behavioral responses to environmental threat signals are important for animal survival. Disrupted fear regulation can contribute to disorders such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety disorder, and other fear-related disorders that are often characterized by an exaggerated fear response to innocuous situations or stimuli (1,2). Although strides have been made in applying extinction learning to ameliorate these disorders, it is essential to recognize that certain conditions may precipitate relapse (3).

58 Auditory fear conditioning is a valuable paradigm for investigating the intricacies of fear memory formation. Moreover, the enduring imprint left by pairing a tone (conditioned stimulus; 59 CS) with an aversive foot shock (unconditioned stimulus; US) underscores the lasting impact 60 of associative learning (4-6). Importantly, the context-independence of the initial CS-US 61 associations during retrieval (7,8) contrasts sharply with the context-dependent nature of 62 63 extinguishing this fear memory (5,9). Therefore, fear extinction transpires exclusively within the specific extinction training context and extinguished fear exhibits a proclivity to rapidly 64 resurface when subjected to a different context, a phenomenon recognized as fear renewal 65 (3,5,9). ABC renewal describes the renewal of a previously extinguished conditioned response 66

when the CS is presented in a context different from the initial pairing or extinction. It remainsunknown how novel contextual stimuli are associated with the relapse of extinguished fears.

Several studies have reported cortical networks play a critical role in predicting outcomes in 69 response to contextual changes (7,10,11). Cortical networks integrate multimodal sensory 70 information, as well as motor-related information to drive adequate behavior in response to a 71 72 given situation (12,13). Particularly, the posterior parietal cortex (PPC), a key association area reciprocally connected to several sensory areas, including the somatosensory, visual, and 73 auditory cortices, is involved in certain cognitive behaviors, including attention, intention, and 74 decision-making (14,15,24,16-23). Recent studies have demonstrated the PPC plays an 75 76 important role in memory updating in an experience-dependent manner (25) and in prediction 77 updating with new sensory inputs (26), possibly by integrating new information with ongoing activity dynamics, as in evidence-accumulation tasks (27,28). Thus, it is conceivable that the 78 79 PPC regulate the relapse of extinguished fear memories in a novel context (29). However, the neural circuits and mechanisms underlying the regulation of novel context-dependent fear 80 relapse by PPC remain unexplored. 81

The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), which has reciprocal projections to the PPC, has been extensively studied for the regulation of fear behaviors, particularly in the storage of contextual fear memory (9,14,30). A lesion study has shown that inactivation of the ACC disrupts the retrieval of remote contextual fear memories (31). The ACC inputs to the basolateral amygdala (BLA) to regulate innate and observational fear responses (32–34). However, the circuit- and cell type-specific mechanisms in the ACC underlying the abnormal information processing that produces an excessive fear response in new contexts are not well understood.

89

90 Methods

91 Animals

All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the guidelines established by
the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Korea Brain Research Institute
(IACUC-22-00028). Animals were maintained under a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 08:00)
and had *ad libitum* access to food and water. We used 5–10-week-old C57BL/6N wild-type
(Orient), PV-Cre (Pvalb^{tm1(cre)Arbr}/J, The Jackon Laboratory), and Ai9 (Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}/J, The Jackon Laboratory) mice. The mice were randomly assigned to each group.

98

99 Stereotaxic surgeries

All surgeries were conducted under anesthesia administered intraperitoneally, comprising a mixture of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). A Hamilton syringe with a 33-gauge needle (Hamilton) was used for all viral injections. The virus was injected bilaterally at a rate of 0.1 μ L/min, and a total of 0.5 μ L was administered to each hemisphere. After injection, the needle was left in place for at least 10 min to allow the diffusion of the virus at the injection site.

106

107 Fear behavioral assays

The mice were conditioned using a fear conditioning system (Panlab Harvard Apparatus). The test was performed using a methacrylate apparatus ($250 \times 250 \times 250$ mm) located inside a sound-attenuating box ($670 \times 530 \times 550$ mm). For fear conditioning (Context A), a black methacrylate wall and an electric floor grid were used. The extinction context (context B)

- 112 consisted of a white wall and metallic plate, and the novel renewal context (context C) consisted113 of black- and yellow-striped paper walls and floors.
- 114

115 Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using customized scripts in MATLAB and LabVIEW. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Prism software. Parametric and non-parametric tests were used as appropriate, and normality was assessed using the D'Agostino–Pearson and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests to verify the suitability of the following statistical analyses. The statistical tests used in this study included the *t*-test, Mann-Whitney U test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), two-way ANOVA, and two-way repeated-measures ANOVA. All data are presented as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM).

123

124 **Results**

125 Parietal-frontal circuit regulates fear renewal in a novel context

To understand how contextual factors influence the role of the PPC in fear memory relapse, the 126 two of fear renewal models are employed: ABA vs. ABC renewal. After the extinction phase, 127 128 the association between an auditory cue (CS) and an aversive shock (US) is weakened; however, fear memory is not entirely erased (7,9,35). In contrast to highly context-dependent fear 129 extinction (4,6,9), fear memory relapse can occur when the CS is presented outside the 130 extinction context, irrespective of whether this is the conditioning context (ABA renewal; ABA) 131 or a novel context to which mice have never been exposed before (ABC renewal; ABC) 132 133 (9,12,36). First, we examined the validation of fear renewal across different contexts (context

contexts (Supplementary Fig. 1b-c).

136

Our previous study demonstrated that the PPC play a role in ABC, but not ABA, renewal (29). 137 Nevertheless, there is currently no evidence to support the involvement of the PPC circuitry in 138 ABC renewal. The PPC predominantly projects to the ACC, but the ACC showed a relatively 139 rare projection to the PPC (14). The only suggestive information comes from a prior 140 observation that PPC projections to the ACC, an mPFC subregion associated with contextual 141 fear memory (31,37,38), have been linked to experience-dependent fear memory updating (25). 142 To investigate the contribution of the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuitry in the renewal of conditioned fear 143 144 in a novel context after extinction, that is, ABC renewal (Fig. 1a), we used an optogenetic silencing approach by expressing adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) carrying halorhodopsin 145 146 fused with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (NpHR) or enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) in the bilateral PPC and implanted optic fibers into the ACC (Fig. 1a-c). Mice 147 injected with NpHR or YFP were exposed to a novel context under multiple ON-OFF 148 optogenetic inhibitions, followed by fear conditioning and extinction (Fig 1d). We observed 149 significantly attenuated freezing in NpHR mice compared to YFP-expressing mice during the 150 light-on trial (Fig. 1d-e). Notably, there was no significant difference in freezing behavior 151 between the YFP and NpHR groups before the renewal sessions (group effect, $F_{1,13} = 0.2072$, 152 P = 0.6565; time effect, $F_{3.633,47.23} = 21.74$, ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{18,234} =$ 153 0.7911, P = 0.7100; two-way repeated-measures [RM] ANOVA). Optogenetic inhibition of the 154 PPC→ACC circuit had a selective effect on the first CS presentation (ON session) but did not 155 significantly alter subsequent responses (group effect, $F_{1,13} = 8.090$, *P = 0.0138, time effect, 156 $F_{2.594,33.73} = 8.193$, ***P = 0.0005, group × time interaction, $F_{4,52} = 2.465$, *P = 0.0564, YFP-157

Sound 1 ON vs. NpHR-Sound 1 ON: *P = 0.00138; YFP-Sound 2 OFF vs. NpHR-Sound 2 158 OFF: P = 0.4111; YFP-Sound 3 ON vs. NpHR-Sound 3 ON: P = 0.9437; YFP-Sound 4 OFF 159 vs. NpHR-Sound 4 OFF: P = 0.1761; YFP-Sound 5 ON vs. NpHR-Sound 5 ON: P = 0.9779; 160 two-way RM ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons tests). This observation implies that 161 the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit is primarily concentrated in the initial stages of fear renewal rather than 162 being continuously maintained throughout multiple CS presentations. The temporal specificity 163 of this effect highlights the importance of a new environment for PPC action. To evaluate the 164 sufficiency of PPC-ACC activity for ABC renewal, we expressed AAV vectors encoding 165 excitatory channelrhodopsin (ChR2) or YFP in the PPC and implanted an optic fiber in the 166 ACC (Fig. 1f). Activation of the PPC-ACC circuit was sufficient to evoke an enhanced fear 167 response in the ChR2 group compared to that in YFP-expressing mice (Fig. 1g-h). 168

We wondered whether ventral hippocampal (vHPC) projections to the infralimbic cortex (IL) 169 170 circuit (vHPC→IL) also mediate ABC renewal because prior research has shown that this circuit is significant for fear renewal in the conditioning context, i.e., ABA renewal (39). 171 Photoinhibition of the IL pathway by vHPCs during ABC renewal did not alter the fear 172 response (Supplementary Fig. 1a-e). In addition, no significant differences were observed 173 when PPC \rightarrow IL terminal was inhibited (Fig. 1i–l). Inactivation of the PPC \rightarrow ACC pathway did 174 175 not alter ABA renewal (Fig. 1m-q). These data are consistent with our previous report that PPC regulates ABC renewal but not ABA renewal or reinstatement or fear retrieval (29). In addition, 176 photoinhibition of the PPC during fear conditioning and extinction did not alter ABC renewal 177 (Supplementary Fig. 1f-k). Activation of the PPC did not change fear expression in the 178 extinction context (extinction retrieval; ABB), which implies that increasing the activity of the 179 PPC does not evoke fear relapse (Supplementary Fig. 11-o). Overall, it is suggested that there 180 are parallel pathways between these two renewal models: the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit for ABC 181

182 renewal and the vHPC \rightarrow IL circuit for ABA renewal.

After, we quantified the activated cells by immunostaining after exposing mice to different contextual conditions, including home cage (HC), ABB, ABA, ABC. The number of c-Fos+ cells was significantly higher in the ABC group than in the HC, ABB, and ABA groups (Fig. 1r–s). These findings are consistent with the idea that PPC reflect different contextual situations.

Next, we investigated the physiological properties of ACC-projecting PPC neurons under different behavioral conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3). Analyses of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) and spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs), intrinsic properties, and neuronal excitability revealed no significant changes across different behavioral conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3b-s). Taken together, these results suggest that the ACC is the functional output region of the PPC, and that the PPC \rightarrow ACC pathway is specifically responsible for the relapse of fear memory in a novel context.

194

195 In vivo Ca^{2+} recording during ABC renewal reveals changes in PPC \rightarrow ACC dynamics

196 To determine whether the ACC neural activity receiving inputs from the PPC is precisely locked on the fear response in a novel "C" context, we injected AAVs carrying trans-synaptic 197 Cre recombinase (Cre) into the PPC and a genetically encoded fluorescent Ca^{2+} indicator 198 (GCaMP) into the ACC, and placed optical fibers over the ACC (Fig. 2a-c) (40,41). During 199 fear conditioning, early extinction, late extinction, and extinction retrieval Ca^{2+} activity did not 200 201 differ before and after the presentation of CSs (Fig. 2d−i, n-o). However, PPC→ACC neurons showed significant responses to CSs during fear renewal in the novel context (Fig. 2i-l). In 202 addition, event frequency was enhanced during renewal (Fig. 2m). Interestingly, the Ca²⁺ signal 203 204 was activated only when the integration of tone CS with a novel context occurred, whereas the

activity was not responsive to context C without tone CS, emphasizing the importance of PPC \rightarrow ACC neurons in the integration of multisensory signals. Collectively, these results support a functional requirement for the PPC \rightarrow ACC connection in ABC fear renewal, indicating differential dynamics according to the fear state.

209

Fear states do not alter the PPC→ACC projection profile

We investigated whether fear renewal produces permanent structural changes in ACC neurons 211 that receive inputs from the PPC. The combined use of a transgenic mouse line carrying floxed-212 stop-tdTomato (Ai9) and AAV-mediated trans-synaptic Cre expression allowed the 213 visualization of postsynaptic ACC neurons that received inputs from the PPC (Fig. 3a-b). 214 Furthermore, excitatory (Ca²⁺/calmodulin-stimulated protein kinase II; CaMKII [green]) and 215 216 inhibitory (Parvalbumin; PV [magenta]) neuron markers were co-stained with PPC->ACC neurons (tdTomato+; tdT+ [red]). tdT+ PPC \rightarrow ACC cells were observed in layers 1, 2/3, and 5 217 218 and were mainly distributed in layers 2/3 and 5. No significant changes in the number of 219 PPC→ACC neurons were observed (Fig. 3c). The number of double-positive neurons did not differ significantly (Fig. 3d-e). However, CaMKII+ postsynaptic ACC cells showed more 220 connections to the PPC than to the PV+ cells in all groups (Fig. 3f). Taken together, these 221 structural characterizations suggest that the fear state does not affect the number of PPC 222 projection targets in the ACC. 223

224

PPC-driven synaptic activity in BLA-projecting ACC neurons is increased in ABC
renewal

Next, we examined the role of ACC projections to the basolateral amygdala (BLA), a key brain 227 region in the regulation of fear responses to threats, during ABC renewal (42–44). We injected 228 retrograde inhibitory opsin Jaws into the BLA to transiently silence monosynaptic ACC 229 projections to the BLA (Fig. 4a-b) (33). Consistent with our PPC \rightarrow ACC manipulation results, 230 231 photoinhibition of ACC neurons innervating the BLA significantly blocked the return of fear in the novel context (Fig. 4c-d). These results emphasize the importance of the parietal-frontal 232 pathway, upstream of the BLA, in ABC renewal. 233

Based on these results, we wondered about the nature of PPC \rightarrow ACC \rightarrow BLA synaptic 234 transmission. We recorded ACC neurons innervating the BLA after fear behaviors. ACC 235 neurons that expressed mCherry and projected to the BLA without the concurrent expression 236 237 of ChR2 were recorded under optogenetic excitation (Fig. 4e). Photostimulation increased the excitation/inhibition ratio (E/I ratio) in the ABC group compared with that in the HC, ABB, 238 and ABA groups. To identify that the light-evoked responses are glutamatergic and GABAergic. 239 we sequentially administered +(2R)-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (AP5), 2,3-dihydroxy-6-240 nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo[f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX), and bicuculline (BIC). EPSCs 241 were abolished by treatment with AP5 and NBQX, and IPSCs were completely diminished by 242 further application of BIC (Fig. 4f). Photostimulation of ACC neurons receiving inputs from 243 the PPC modulated synaptic transmission in ACC neurons projecting to the BLA by increasing 244 the EPSC amplitude and decreasing the IPSC amplitude (Fig. 4f-i). Onset latency showed no 245 significant group differences (Fig. 4j). 246

Next, we recorded spontaneous release events. The amplitude of the sEPSCs did not differ 247 among groups (Fig. 4k-m). However, there was an increase in the frequency of sEPSCs and a 248 significant shift toward a faster frequency in the ABC group, although statistical significance 249 of the average frequency was found only between the HC and ABC groups (Fig. 4p-q). 250 Page 11

Contrastingly, no changes were detected in the amplitude or frequency of sIPSCs (Fig. 4k, no, r-s). Additionally, there were no differences in the intrinsic properties and excitability (Supplementary Fig. 4). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the local synaptic activity of the PPC-input-receiving ACC neurons that project to the BLA is significantly increased only during ABC renewal.

256

257 **PPC to ACC**^{PV} neurons switch fear state in a novel context

As PPC \rightarrow ACC activation alters network excitability in the ACC circuits, we reasoned that manipulation of the local cell population may control the fear response during ABC renewal. PV neurons are a major interneuron population that primarily target the soma of pyramidal neurons, and their circuit mechanisms have been identified (45–47). Moreover, as a subset of ACC neurons expressing PV (Fig. 3), we sought to determine whether they also contribute to the ABC renewal behavior.

To test this hypothesis, we used a viral-genetic intersectional expression strategy to 264 specifically target PV+ACC interneurons that receive PPC inputs ($PPC\rightarrow ACC^{PV}$). 265 Transsynaptic Flp was injected into the PPC, and Cre- and Flp-co-dependent constructs 266 (ConFon-NpHR or ConFon-ChR) were injected and optical fibers were implanted into the ACC 267 of PV-Cre mice (Fig. 5a–c, f). The inhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} neurons robustly induced a fear 268 response in a novel context (Fig. 5d–e). Conversely, activation of the PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} pathway 269 attenuated the relapse of extinguished CS (Fig. 5g-h). Intriguingly, these effects were not 270 detected when PV neurons were activated in the ACC (Supplementary Fig. 5), suggesting that 271 the subpopulation of PV neurons receiving input from the PPC is important. These results 272 demonstrate that $PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV}$ interneurons are necessary and sufficient for switching fear 273

states during the relapse of fear memory in a novel context.

275 Furthermore, it is questionable whether manipulation of non-PV neurons using cell type- and circuit-specific optogenetics (CoffFon-NpHR) would yield distinct results, primarily affecting 276 excitatory populations. Unlike the inhibition of PV neurons (ConFon-NpHR), the CoffFon-277 NpHR group showed a decreased fear response in the novel context (Fig. 5i-l). Additionally, 278 279 when these excitatory populations were activated (CoffFon-ChR2), the fear response increased, demonstrating a reverse behavior compared to the photostimulating PV inhibitory populations 280 (Fig. 5m-o). Further, during the light-off session, a significant difference in freezing behavior 281 was observed between the mCherry and ConFon-ChR2 groups (**P = 0.0050, two-tailed 282 unpaired *t*-test; n = 16 and 18 for YFP and ChR2, respectively). However, no difference was 283 284 observed between the mCherry and CoffFon-ChR2 groups (P = 0.063, two-tailed unpaired ttest; n = 9 and 8 for mCherry and ChR2, respectively). While we cannot completely exclude 285 286 the possibility of retrograde labeling effects, histological analysis demonstrates red fluorescence in ACC neuron somas following viral tracing from the PPC (Supplementary Fig. 287 6). Consistent with previous intersectional methods, this finding underscores the consistency 288 of our results and confirms the specificity of labeling ACC neurons without detecting 289 fluorescence in the PPC (40,48,49). 290

This result suggests that direct activation of PV neurons in the ACC does not influence the reactivation of fear memory during ABC renewal. Instead, it highlights the importance of a specific subpopulation of PV neurons that receives input from the PPC. These findings not only emphasize the interplay within neural circuits, but also highlight specific neuronal populations, particularly within PV neurons, that can be targeted to modulate fear responses with potential implications for fear-related disorders. 297

298 SSRI treatment attenuates circuit- and cell type-specific induction of fear relapse

Having established that $PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV}$ interneurons regulate ABC renewal, we next 299 investigated how the clinical drug fluoxetine, a broad-spectrum medication used for the 300 treatment of various fear-related psychiatric disorders (50–53), influences the PPC \rightarrow ACC 301 pathway in ABC renewal. Several studies have shown that chronic fluoxetine treatment 302 facilitates extinction and reduces freezing during extinction retrieval and ABA renewal (51-303 53). Moreover, chronic fluoxetine administration attenuated the PV deficit induced by the 304 combined stress; however, this effect was not observed at the SST level (54). However, the 305 306 effects of fluoxetine on ABC renewal and related circuits have yet to be explored.

To determine whether fluoxetine can influence ABC renewal induced by the photoinactivation 307 of PPC->ACC^{PV} interneurons, we infused ConFon-NpHR into PV-Cre mice, and fluoxetine 308 (Flx) or saline (Sal) was administered chronically between the periods of extinction and 309 310 renewal (Fig. 6a-c). Fluoxetine injections effectively diminished the relapse of the extinguished fear response (YFP+Sal-YFP+Flx; Fig. 6d-e). Consistent with the above results, 311 the NpHR+Sal group showed a higher level of fear response than the YFP+Sal group. 312 Importantly, fluoxetine injections effectively blocked the optogenetically-induced high levels 313 of fear response (NpHR+Sal-NpHR+Flx, Fig. 6d-e). In a parallel experiment, B6 mice 314 underwent the same procedure for ChR2 expression in the PPC \rightarrow ACC pathway. The 315 ChR2+Sal group displayed an increase in fear response, whereas the ChR2+Flx group 316 exhibited a decrease in the optogenetically-induced fear response (Fig. 6f-h). 317

Furthermore, in slice physiology experiments, fluoxetine administration resulted in a decrease in evoked EPSC and IPSC, indicating that the effects of fluoxetine extended to the synaptic

level (Fig. 6i-l). This suggests that the effects of fluoxetine on synaptic transmission maycontribute to its role in attenuating fear responses to ABC renewal.

322 Next, we explored the potential impact of fluoxetine on general mouse behavior to determine whether its effects are reflective of the overall state of the mice, irrespective of concurrent 323 optogenetic manipulation. To test this, we conducted a series of behavioral assays, including 324 the open field, Y-maze, and NOR test, following the administration of fluoxetine 325 (Supplementary Fig. 7a). These results indicate that fluoxetine administration did not 326 significantly influence the general state of the mice, including anxiety, locomotor activity, 327 working memory, and long-term memory. Instead, it suggests that the effect of fluoxetine is 328 linked to the specific context in which it is administered, potentially impacting specific neural 329 330 circuits targeted by optogenetic manipulation rather than exerting a broad influence on overall mouse behavior. 331

332

333 Discussion

Fear-related disorders are clinically challenging to treat because the symptoms, which are 334 characterized by the association of traumatic events with fear and generalization to a variety of 335 stimuli that are not present during the traumatic event, often persist even after ongoing 336 exposure-based therapy (3,55). Therefore, understanding fear renewal, characterized by the 337 relapse of extinguished fear responses in novel or neutral contexts after extinction, is crucial 338 for studying fear-related psychiatric disorders (12,13,56-58). The ABC renewal model 339 suggests that the reappearance of fear following exposure therapy is more likely when the 340 individual encounters the feared stimulus in a novel context, compared to the original 341 acquisition context (ABA renewal). Animal studies suggests that ABC renewal may be weaker 342

than ABA renewal, requiring stronger contextual manipulations for detection in humans (59-343 344 64). Recent studies using robust context manipulations have provided evidence for ABC renewal (59,61,63). These findings emphasize the importance of context in fear responses, 345 informing interventions to prevent fear relapse after exposure therapy. Patients are often 346 347 exposed to neutral stimuli in novel or neutral situations, triggering fear relapse through the ABC renewal mechanism rather than in the traumatic context in which fear was originally 348 acquired (56,59,61–63,65–67). Therefore, discriminating between ABC and ABA renewal 349 mechanisms is essential for developing more targeted and effective treatments for fear-related 350 psychiatric disorders, including understanding the specific neural circuits underlying each type 351 of renewal. 352

Notably, the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit plays a key role in the novel context-dependent relapse of 353 extinguished fear memory, in which target neurons in the ACC are only responsive to ABC 354 355 renewal. In contrast, previous studies have focused on the importance of the relationship between the vHPC and IL in ABA renewal. Inhibition of vHPC to the central nucleus of the 356 amygdala and vHPC→IL circuits suppresses fear renewal in a conditioning context, that is, 357 ABA renewal (8,39). Particularly, the activation of vHPC \rightarrow IL projections promotes fear 358 relapse in the extinction context, suggesting that vHPC \rightarrow IL projections suppress the expression 359 360 of extinction, leading to a relapse of extinguished fear in the extinction context (39). Together, these studies indicate that the vHPC→IL circuit bidirectionally modulates the relapse of fear 361 362 363 are only responsive to a novel context.

364 Specialized cell types and mechanisms underlying ABC renewal have not yet been identified. In an earlier study, PV interneurons were found to play a regulatory role in ABA renewal by 365 mediating vHPC-driven feed-forward inhibition of amygdala-projecting pyramidal neurons in 366 Page 16

the IL (39). Similarly, we found that PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} interneurons regulated novel context-367 dependent fear renewal. Our ex vivo experiments revealed that network synaptic activity in 368 PPC-ACC neurons significantly increased after ABC renewal, owing to the effect of 369 enhanced excitatory currents and dampened inhibitory currents. Our approach, using circuit-370 and cell type-specific optogenetics, demonstrated the necessity and sufficiency of PV cells in 371 the regulation of fear memory relapse in a novel context. However, further studies are needed 372 to provide direct evidence of behavioral state-dependent plasticity and PV-mediated regulatory 373 mechanisms for ABC renewal. However, these results revealed a previously unidentified role 374 for PV neurons in the ACC in context-dependent fear renewal. 375

Among the various pharmacological medications available for fear-related disorders, first-376 line treatments include antidepressants and anxiolytic classes, such as serotonin and other 377 monoamine reuptake inhibitors (50,64,68). Although there is growing evidence of 378 monoaminergic regulation of fear circuits, their specific actions remain unclear (50-53). 379 Despite the amount of research in this area, there is little evidence of fear renewal in ABCs. In 380 this study, chronic injections of fluoxetine successfully disrupted ABC fear renewal behavior. 381 382 Although further research is required to better understand the neural mechanisms by which fluoxetine interacts with the PPC \rightarrow ACC \rightarrow BLA circuit for ABC renewal, this study suggests 383 that this novel circuitry mechanism of fear renewal may enhance our understanding of context-384 dependent fear memory. 385

386

387 **Code availability**

388 The customized codes used to process the data presented in this manuscript are available upon

389 request.

390

391 Authors' contributions

B. J. and J. W. K. conceived the study. B.J. conducted the experiments, analyzed the data, performed the statistical analysis and figure generation, and wrote the LabVIEW and MATLAB scripts. S. X., H. P., and J.-C.R. participated in the design of the study. J. C. R. wrote MATLAB script. K. K. developed multisite fiber photometry and wrote a LabVIEW script. J. W. K. provided funding and supervised the project. B.J. wrote the original draft and J. W. K. reviewed

and edited the manuscript. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

398

399 Acknowledgments

We thank Jungmin Lee and Wuhyun Koh for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2020R1A6A3A1307717711 to B. J.), Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF-2022M3E5E8081182 to J.W.K), and the KBRI Basic Research Program (23-BR-03-03 to J.W.K).

405

406 Competing Interests

407 The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

408

409 Figure legends

410 Figure 1. Optogenetic manipulation of the PPC to PFC circuits in fear renewal.

A Schematic representation of the experimental schedule for the optogenetic manipulation of 411 the PPC \rightarrow PFC terminals during ABC renewal. **B** Representative images show the injection 412 site of AAV5-CaMK2-NpHR-eYFP in the PPC (right) and YFP immunofluorescence in the 413 ACC (left). Enlarged image showing the axon terminal expression of YFP in the ACC. Scale 414 415 bars: 500 µm and 50 µm (insets). C Schematic of the experimental design for viral infection and optic-fiber implantation for optogenetic inhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit. **D** ABC renewal 416 with optogenetic inactivation of PPC \rightarrow ACC projections (group effect, $F_{1,13} = 0.8410$, P =417 0.3758; time effect, $F_{24,312} = 21.34$, ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{24,312} = 1.201$, 418 P = 0.2382; two-way repeated-measures [RM] ANOVA). E The NpHR group showed 419 significantly reduced fear responses during optogenetic inhibition (**P = 0.0022, two-tailed 420 unpaired *t*-test; n = 7 and 8 for YFP and NpHR, respectively). F Schematic of the experimental 421 design for the photoactivation of PPC→ACC circuit with AAV5-hSyn-ChR2-eYFP. G ABC 422 renewal with optogenetic activation of the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit (group effect, $F_{1,29} = 0.1543$, P 423 = 0.6973; time effect, $F_{21,609} = 69.70$, ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{21,609} = 1.437$, 424 P = 0.0939; two-way RM ANOVA). H Optogenetic activation of PPC-ACC projections 425 significantly enhanced freezing during ABC renewal (**P = 0.0054, two-tailed unpaired *t*-test; 426 n = 16 and 15 for YFP and ChR2, respectively). I Representative images show the injection 427 site of AAV5-CaMK2-NpHR-eYFP in the PPC (right) and eYFP immunofluorescence in the 428 IL (left). Scale bar, 500 µm. J Schematic of the experimental design for the photoinhibition of 429 PPC→IL projections with AAV5-CaMK2-NpHR-eYFP. K ABC renewal with optogenetic 430 inhibition of PPC \rightarrow IL projections (group effect, $F_{1,23} = 0.3999$, P = 0.5334; time effect, $F_{21,483}$ 431 = 34.13; ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{21,483} = 0.8786$, P = 0.6197; two-way RM 432 Page 19

ANOVA). L Photoinhibition of the PPC \rightarrow IL circuit did not affect fear response (P = 0.1558, 433 two-tailed unpaired *t*-test; n = 14 and 11 for YFP and NpHR, respectively). M Schematic of the 434 experimental schedule for ABA renewal. N Representative images show the injection site of 435 AAV5-CaMK2-NpHR-eYFP in the PPC (right) and eYFP immunofluorescence in the ACC 436 (left). Scale bar, 500 μ m. O Schematic of the viral strategy for inactivation of PPC \rightarrow ACC 437 projections. **P** ABA renewal with optogenetic inhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit (group effect, 438 $F_{1,16} = 0.4714$, P = 0.5022, time effect, $F_{21,336} = 17.54$, ****P < 0.0001, group × time 439 interaction, $F_{21,336} = 1.028$, P = 0.4282, two-way RM ANOVA). **Q** Photoinhibition of the 440 PPC \rightarrow ACC projections did not change the fear response (P = 0.6018, two-tailed unpaired t-441 test; n = 8 and 10 for YFP and NpHR, respectively). **R** Confocal images from representative 442 brain slices of the PPC showing cFos+ cells in the experimental group (home cage, HC; 443 extinction retrieval, ABB; ABA renewal, ABA; ABC renewal, ABC renewal). S Quantification 444 of cFos+ cells after behavioral sessions ($F_{3, 89} = 34.10$, ****P < 0.0001, HC vs. ABA: **P =445 0.0023; HC vs. ABC: *****P* < 0.0001; ABB vs. ABC: *****P* < 0.0001; ABA vs. ABC: *****P* 446 < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test; n = 24 slices/8 mice for 447 HC, ABB, and ABA, and n = 21 slices/7 mice for ABC). Baseline; BL. 448

449

Figure 2. Populational calcium (Ca²⁺) dynamics of ACC neurons that receive projections from PPC during fear conditioning, extinction, renewal, and extinction retrieval.

452 A Schematic representation of the behavioral schedule for the fiber photometry recordings. **B** 453 Experimental design for PPC \rightarrow ACC projection-specific Ca²⁺ imaging in ACC. Cre-dependent 454 GCaMP6s are selectively expressed in the ACC, which receives projections from the PPC. **C** 455 Representative image showing GCaMP6s-expressing PPC \rightarrow ACC neurons with the tip of an

optic-fiber placement. Scale bars: 500 μ m and 50 μ m (insets). **D** Average Z-scored PPC \rightarrow ACC 456 GCaMP6s activity on fear conditioning. E Boxplots of the area under the curve (AUC) before 457 and after presentation of CSs during fear conditioning (P = 0.1189, two-tailed paired *t*-test; *n* 458 = 35 trials/7 mice). F Average Z-scored PPC \rightarrow ACC GCaMP6s activity during early extinction. 459 **G** Boxplots of the AUC before and after the presentation of CSs during early extinction (P =460 0.5023, two-tailed paired t-test; n = 35 trials/7 mice). H Average Z-scored PPC \rightarrow ACC 461 GCaMP6s activity on late extinction. I Boxplots of the AUC before and after the presentation 462 of CSs during late extinction (P = 0.2250, two-tailed paired *t*-test; n = 35 trials/7 mice). J 463 Average Z-scored PPC→ACC GCaMP6s activity in ABC renewal. K Boxplots of the AUC 464 before and after presentation of CSs during ABC renewal (****P < 0.0001, two-tailed paired 465 *t*-test; n = 35 trials/7 mice). L Heatmap of ACC fluorescence aligned with the onset of CS 466 during ABC renewal. M event frequency was enhanced during ABC renewal (*P = 0.021, one-467 tailed paired *t*-test). N Average Z-scored PPC \rightarrow ACC GCaMP6s activity on extinction retrieval. 468 O Boxplots of the AUC before and after the presentation of CSs during extinction retrieval (P 469 = 0.2778, two-tailed paired *t*-test; n = 35 trials/7 mice). 470

471

472 Figure. 3 Structural characterizations of the projections from PPC to ACC.

473 **A** Schematic experimental design for the viral injection of trans-synaptic Cre recombinase in 474 Ai9 mice. **B** Representative images showing fluorescence from the trans-synaptic labeling of 475 PPC projections (tdT+; red) co-stained with Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase 476 (CaMKII +; green) and parvalbumin (PV+; magenta) populations in the ACC. Scale bar, 500 477 μ m (left) or 50 μ m (right). **C** Quantification of tdT+ neurons ($F_{3,60} = 0.9285$, P = 0.4326, one-478 way ANOVA; n = 16 slices/8 mice for HC, ABB, ABA, and ABC groups, respectively). **D**

479	Quantification of tdT+ CaMKII + cells ($F_{3,60} = 0.5606$, $P = 0.6431$, one-way ANOVA). E
480	Quantification of tdT+PV+ cells ($F_{3,60} = 0.7166$, $P = 0.5459$, one-way ANOVA). F The ratio
481	colocalized cells (group effect, $F_{3,120} = 0.3393$, $P = 0.7969$, cell type effect, $F_{1,120} = 444.6$,
482	**** $P < 0.0001$, group × cell type interaction, $F_{3,120} = 0.3127$, $P = 0.8162$, CaMKII-ABB vs.
483	PV-ABB: **** $P < 0.0001$; CaMKII-ABA vs. PV-ABA: **** $P < 0.0001$; CaMKII-ABC vs.
484	PV-ABC: **** $P < 0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons tests).
485	CaMKII + postsynaptic cells had more connections than PV+ cells in all the groups.

486

487 Figure. 4 PPC-driven synaptic activity in the ACC.

A Schematic of the experimental design for the optogenetic inhibition of the ACC-to-BLA 488 circuit. B Representative images showing the injection site of AAVrg-hSYN-Jaws-GFP in the 489 490 BLA (bottom) and eYFP immunofluorescence in the ACC (top). Scale bar, 500 µm. C ABC fear renewal with optogenetic inhibition of ACC-BLA projections (group effect: $F_{1,22} = 2.412$, 491 P = 0.1347; time effect: $F_{21,462} = 16.27$, ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction: $F_{21,462} = 16.27$ 492 493 1.272, P = 0.1884; two-way repeated-measures ANOVA). **D** Optogenetic activation of ACC-BLA projections significantly reduced freezing during ABC renewal (**P = 0.0026, two-tailed 494 unpaired *t*-test; n = 13 and 11 for GFP and jaw, respectively). E Schematic of experimental 495 design for ex vivo electrophysiology recording (left) mCherry-expressing ACC neurons 496 497 projecting to the BLA without the concurrent expression of ChR2 were recorded under optogenetic stimulation (middle), an example image of a recorded neuron in the ACC (right). 498 499 **F** Representative example traces of ACC pyramidal neurons in response to photostimulation by BLA-projecting ACC neurons receiving projections from the PPC. G Excitation/inhibition 500 ratio [E/I ratio] (HC vs. ABB: P = 0.9654; HC vs. ABA: P = 0.8968; HC vs. ABC: ***P = 501

502	0.0004; ABB vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.6454; ABB vs. ABC: *** <i>P</i> = 0.0009; ABA vs. ABC: **** <i>P</i> <
503	0.0001; Mann-Whitney U test, $n = 10$ cells/5 mice, 8 cells/3 mice, 8 cells/4 mice, and 17 cells/8
504	mice for HC, ABB, ABA, and ABC, respectively). H Optogenetically-evoked EPSC
505	amplitudes (HC vs. ABB: $P = 0.8968$; HC vs. ABA: $P = 0.7618$; HC vs. ABC: * $P = 0.0404$;
506	ABB vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.7209; ABB vs. ABC: * <i>P</i> = 0.0313; ABA vs. ABC: * <i>P</i> = 0.0190; Mann-
507	Whitney U test). I Optogenetically-evoked IPSC amplitudes (HC vs. ABB: $P = 0.5726$; HC vs.
508	ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.3154; HC vs. ABC: * <i>P</i> = 0.0151; ABB vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.7984; ABB vs. ABC: * <i>P</i>
509	= 0.0495; ABA vs. ABC: $*P = 0.0266$; Mann-Whitney U test). J Onset latency of evoked
510	response (group effect, $F_{3,78} = 0.6633$, $P = 0.5771$; E-I effect, $F_{1,78} = 1.153$, $P = 0.2863$; group
511	× time interaction, $F_{3,78} = 1.645$, $P = 0.1859$; two-way ANOVA). K Voltage-clamp recordings
512	of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents sEPSCs (left) and spontaneous inhibitory
513	postsynaptic currents sIPSCs (right) L Cumulative distribution of sEPSC amplitude. The
514	sEPSC amplitude and kinetics did not differ among the four groups (HC vs. ABB: $P = 0.0166$;
515	HC vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.1585; HC vs. ABC: <i>P</i> = 0.9093; ABB vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.8175; ABB vs. ABC:
516	P = 0.0809; ABA vs. ABC: $P = 0.4740$; Kolmogorov-Smirnov [KS] test). M Average sEPSC
517	amplitudes ($F_{3,46} = 0.6491$, $P = 0.5880$, one-way ANOVA; $n = 14$ cells/6 mice, 9 cells/5 mice,
518	11 cells/4 mice, and 16 cells/6 mice for the HC, ABB, ABA, and ABC groups, respectively).
519	N Cumulative distribution of sIPSC amplitudes (HC vs. ABB, $P = 0.1585$; HC vs. ABA, $P =$
520	0.1144; HC vs. ABC, <i>P</i> = 0.9997; ABB vs. ABA, <i>P</i> = 0.9941; ABB vs. ABC, <i>P</i> = 0.2864; ABA
521	vs. ABC, $P = 0.2153$; KS test). O Average sIPSC amplitudes ($F_{3,42} = 0.7337$, $P = 0.5378$, one-
522	way ANOVA; $n = 10$ cells/5 mouse, 10 cells/5 mouse, 11 cells/4 mouse, and 15 cells/6 mouse
523	for HC, ABB, ABA, and ABC groups, respectively). P Cumulative distribution of sEPSC
524	frequency (HC vs. ABB: *** P = 0.0006; HC vs. ABA: *** P = 0.0009; HC vs. ABC: *** P =
525	0.0001; ABB vs. ABA: <i>P</i> = 0.9839; ABB vs. ABC: <i>P</i> = 0.9969; ABA vs. ABC: <i>P</i> = 0.7269;

528 frequency (HC vs. ABB, P > 0.9999; HC vs. ABA, P > 0.9999; HC vs. ABC, P = 0.9998; ABB

529 vs. ABA, P > 0.9999; ABB vs. ABC, P = 0.9839; ABA vs. ABC, P = 0.9998; KS test). S

530 Average sIPSC frequency ($F_{3,42} = 0.08907$, P = 0.9657, one-way ANOVA). Baseline; BL

531

526

527

532 Figure. 5 PPC→ACC^{PV} neurons bidirectionally modulate ABC renewal.

A Schematic representation of the experimental schedule for the optogenetic manipulation of 533 subpopulations of ACC neurons that receive projections from the PPC during ABC renewal. B 534 Representative image showing PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} neurons (red) co-stained with Ca²⁺/calmodulin-535 536 dependent protein kinase (CaMKII +; green) and parvalbumin (PV+; magenta) in the ACC. Scale bar, 500 µm (left) or 50 µm (right). C Schematic representation of viral infection and 537 optic-fiber implantation for the photoinhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} circuit. **D** ABC renewal with 538 optogenetic inhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} circuit (group effect, $F_{1,21} = 0.00297$, P = 0.8648; time 539 effect, $F_{21,441} = 38.64$, ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{21,441} = 1.297$, P = 0.1710, 540 two-way repeated-measures [RM] ANOVA). **E** Photoinhibition of the PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} neurons 541 significantly evoked the relapse of fear memory (*P = 0.0345, two-tailed unpaired *t*-test; n = 542 10 and 13 for mCherry and NpHR, respectively). F Schematic of viral injection and optic-fiber 543 implantation for the photoactivation of $PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV}$ projections. G ABC renewal with 544 optogenetic activation of PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} projections (group effect, $F_{1,23} = 0.3999$, P = 0.5334; 545 time effect, $F_{21,483} = 34.13$; ****P < 0.0001; group × time interaction, $F_{21,483} = 0.8786$, P =546 547 0.6197; two-way RM ANOVA). H The ChR2 group showed significantly reduced fear responses during ABC renewal (**P = 0.0079, two-tailed unpaired *t*-test; n = 16 and 17 for 548

mCherry and ChR2, respectively). I A representative image showing PPC \rightarrow ACC non-PV 549 neurons (red) co-stained with Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMKII+; green), 550 and parvalbumin (PV+; magenta) populations in the ACC. Scale bar, 500 µm (left) or 50 µm 551 (right). J Schematic of viral infection and optic-fiber implantation for photoinhibition of the 552 non-PV population of PPC \rightarrow PPC-ACC circuit. **K** ABC renewal with optogenetic inhibition 553 of the non-PV population of PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit (group effect, $F_{1,22} = 0.02621$, P = 0.8729, 554 time effect, $F_{21,462} = 18.05$, ****P < 0.0001, group × time interaction, $F_{21,462} = 0.8128$, P =555 0.7049, two-way repeated-measures ANOVA). L Photoinhibition of the non-PV population of 556 the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit showed decreased fear response (*P = 0.0220, two-tailed unpaired t-557 test; n = 11 and 13 for mCherry and NpHR, respectively). M Schematic of viral injection and 558 optic-fiber implantation for activation of the non-PV population of PPC→ACC circuit. N ABC 559 renewal with optogenetic activation of non-PV population of PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit (group effect, 560 $F_{1.15} = 0.9306, P = 0.3500$, time effect, $F_{21.315} = 22.41, ****P < 0.0001$, group × time 561 interaction, $F_{21,315} = 1.431$, P = 0.1014, two-way repeated-measures ANOVA). **O** 562 Photostimulation of the non-PV population of the PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit resulted in an increased 563 fear response (*P = 0.0340, two-tailed unpaired *t*-test; n = 9 and 8 for mCherry and NpHR, 564 respectively). Baseline; BL. 565

566

Figure 6. Fluoxetine treatment attenuated the optogenetically-induced relapse of fear
 memory.

569 A Schematic representation of the experimental schedule for ABC renewal with fluoxetine (Flx)

570 treatment. **B** PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV} neurons with the tip of an optic-fiber placement. Scale bar, 500 μ m.

571 C Schematic of viral infection and optic-fiber implantation for photoinhibition of

572	PPC \rightarrow ACC ^{PV} neurons. D ABC renewal with optogenetic inactivation of PPC \rightarrow ACC ^{PV} circuit
573	after chronic administration of Flx (group effect, $F_{1,75} = 0.7321$, $P = 0.3949$, drug effect, $F_{1,75}$
574	= 9.002, ** P = 0.0037, time effect, $F_{21,1575}$ = 94.26, **** P < 0.0001, group × time interaction,
575	$F_{21,1575} = 1.047, P = 0.4011, drug \times time interaction, F_{21,1575} = 3.867, ****P < 0.0001, group \times 10^{-1}$
576	drug interaction, $F_{1,75} = 0.6683$, $P = 0.4162$, group × drug × time interaction, $F_{21,1575} = 0.8622$,
577	P = 0.6419, three-way repeated-measures [RM] ANOVA). E The flx treatment significantly
578	blocked optogenetically-evoked high level of fear response in the PV mice (group effect, $F_{1,75}$
579	= 3.762, $P = 0.0562$, drug effect, $F_{1,75} = 40.14$, **** $P < 0.0001$, group × drug interaction, $F_{1,75}$
580	= 5.368, * P = 0.0232, YFP+Sal vs. YFP+Flx, * P = 0.0270, YFP+Sal vs. NpHR+Sal, * P =
581	0.0332, YFP+Sal vs. NpHR+Flx, *P = 0.0128, YFP+Flx vs. NpHR+Sal, ****P < 0.0001,
582	NpHR+Sal vs. NpHR+Flx, **** $P < 0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple
583	comparisons tests; $n = 17, 23, 16$, and 23 for YFP+Sal, YFP+Flx, NpHR+Sal, and NpHR+Flx,
584	respectively). F Schematic representation of viral infection and optic-fiber implantation for the
585	photoinhibition of PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit. G ABC renewal with optogenetic stimulation of
586	PPC \rightarrow ACC circuit after chronic administration of Flx (group effect, $F_{1,37} = 0.9185$, $P = 0.3441$,
587	drug effect, $F_{1,37} = 0.008941$, $P = 0.9252$, time effect, $F_{21,777} = 60.01$, **** $P < 0.0001$, group
588	× time interaction, $F_{21,777} = 1.728$, $P = 0.6185$, drug × time interaction, $F_{21,777} = 1.728$, * $P =$
589	0.0225, group × drug interaction, $F_{1,37} = 4.634$, * $P = 0.0379$, group × drug × time interaction,
590	$F_{21,777} = 1.113$, $P = 0.3276$, three-way RM ANOVA). H The flx treatment significantly blocked
591	optogenetically-evoked high level of fear response in the B6 mice mice (group effect, $F_{1,36}$ =
592	4.812, * $P = 0.0348$, drug effect, $F_{1,36} = 37.79$, **** $P < 0.0001$, group × drug interaction, $F_{1,36}$
593	= 3.009, P = 0.0913, YFP+Sal vs. YFP+Flx, *P = 0.0301, YFP+Sal vs. ChR2+Sal, *P = 0.0391, YFP+Sal, *P = 0.0391, Y
594	YFP+Sal vs. ChR2+Flx, *P = 0.0329, YFP+Flx vs. ChR2+Sal, ****P < 0.0001, ChR2+Sal vs.
595	ChR2+Flx, **** $P < 0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons tests; $n =$

5969, 8, 11, and 12 for YFP+Sal, YFP+Flx, ChR2+Sal, and ChR2+Flx, respectively). I Schematic597of the experimental design for *ex vivo* electrophysiology recordings. J Representative traces of598ACC pyramidal neurons in response to photostimulation and changes induced by fluoxetine599treatment. K Optogenetically-evoked EPSC amplitude (before vs. after Flx: *P = 0.0474, one-600tailed paired *t*-test). L Optogenetically-evoked IPSC amplitude (Before vs. Flx: *P = 0.0319,601one-tailed paired *t*-test). Baseline; BL, Saline; Sal.

602

603 **References**

- Desmedt A, Marighetto A, Piazza PV (2015): Abnormal fear memory as a model for
 posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 78: 290–297.
- 2. VanElzakker MB, Kathryn Dahlgren M, Caroline Davis F, Dubois S, Shin LM (2014): From
- Pavlov to PTSD: The extinction of conditioned fear in rodents, humans, and anxiety
 disorders. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 113: 3–18.
- 3. Vervliet B, Craske MG, Hermans D (2013): Fear extinction and relapse: State of the art.
 Annu Rev Clin Psychol 9: 215–248.
- 4. Ledoux JE (2000): Emotion circuits in the brain. Annu Rev Neurosci 23: 155–184.
- 5. Maren S, Quirk GJ (2004): Neuronal signalling of fear memory. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5: 844–
 852.
- 6. Fanselow MS, Poulos AM (2005): The neuroscience of mammalian associative learning. *Annu Rev Psychol* 56: 207–234.
- 7. Tovote P, Fadok JP, Lüthi A (2015): Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nat Rev Neurosci*16: 317–331.

618	8. Xu C, Krabbe S, Gründemann J, Botta P, Fadok JP, Osakada F, et al. (2016): Distinct
619	Hippocampal Pathways Mediate Dissociable Roles of Context in Memory Retrieval. Cell
620	167: 961-972.e16.
621	9. Maren S, Phan KL, Liberzon I (2013): The contextual brain: Implications for fear
622	conditioning, extinction and psychopathology. Nat Rev Neurosci 14: 417-428.
623	10. Ramanathan KR, Jin J, Giustino TF, Payne MR, Maren S (2018): Prefrontal projections to
624	the thalamic nucleus reuniens mediate fear extinction. Nat Commun 9.
625	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06970-z
626	11. Rozeske RR, Jercog D, Karalis N, Chaudun F, Khoder S, Girard D, et al. (2018): Prefrontal-
627	periaqueductal gray-projecting neurons mediate context fear discrimination. Neuron 97:
628	898-910.e6.
629	12. Bouton ME (1988): Context and ambiguity in the extinction of emotional learning:
630	Implications for exposure therapy. Behav Res Ther 26: 137–149.
631	13. Bouton ME, Westbrook RF, Corcoran KA, Maren S (2006): Contextual and temporal
632	modulation of extinction: behavioral and biological mechanisms. Biol Psychiatry 60:
633	352–360.
634	14. Oh SW, Son SJ, Morris JA, Choi JH, Lee C, Rah JC (2021): Comprehensive Analysis of

- 635 Long-Range Connectivity from and to the Posterior Parietal Cortex of the Mouse. *Cereb*636 *Cortex* 31: 356–378.
- 637 15. Lyamzin D, Benucci A (2019): The mouse posterior parietal cortex: Anatomy and functions.
 638 *Neurosci Res* 140: 14–22.
- 639 16. Harvey CD, Coen P, Tank DW (2012): Choice-specific sequences in parietal cortex during

640	a virtual-na	avigation	decision	task.	Nature	484:	62-68	3.
		~						

- 17. Hwang EJ, Dahlen JE, Mukundan M, Komiyama T (2017): History-based action selection
 bias in posterior parietal cortex. *Nat Commun* 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-01701356-z
- 18. Zhou Y, Freedman DJ (2019): Posterior parietal cortex plays a causal role in perceptual
 and categorical decisions. *Science* 365: 180–185.
- 646 19. Driscoll LN, Pettit NL, Minderer M, Chettih SN, Harvey CD (2017): Dynamic
- 647 Reorganization of Neuronal Activity Patterns in Parietal Cortex. *Cell* 170: 986-999.e16.
- 20. Raposo D, Kaufman MT, Churchland AK (2014): A category-free neural population
 supports evolving demands during decision-making. *Nat Neurosci* 17: 1784–1792.
- 650 21. Akrami A, Kopec CD, Diamond ME, Brody CD (2018): Posterior parietal cortex represents

sensory history and mediates its effects on behaviour. *Nature* 554: 368–372.

- 652 22. Freedman DJ, Ibos G (2018): An Integrative Framework for Sensory, Motor, and Cognitive
 653 Functions of the Posterior Parietal Cortex. *Neuron* 97: 1219–1234.
- 23. Synder LH, Batista AP, Andersen RA (1997): Coding of intention in the PPC. *Nature* 386:
 167–170.
- 24. Sestieri C, Shulman GL, Corbetta M (2017): The contribution of the human posterior
 parietal cortex to episodic memory. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18: 183–192.
- 658 25. Suzuki A, Kosugi S, Murayama E, Sasakawa E, Ohkawa N, Konno A, *et al.* (2022): A
 659 cortical cell ensemble in the posterior parietal cortex controls past experience-dependent
 660 memory updating. *Nat Commun* 13: 1–14.

- 661 26. Funamizu A, Kuhn B, Doya K (2016): Neural substrate of dynamic Bayesian inference in
 662 the cerebral cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 19: 1682–1689.
- 663 27. Morcos AS, Harvey CD (2016): History-dependent variability in population dynamics
 664 during evidence accumulation in cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 19: 1672–1681.
- 28. Erlich JC, Brunton BW, Duan CA, Hanks TD, Brody CD (2015): Distinct effects of
- 666 prefrontal and parietal cortex inactivations on an accumulation of evidence task in the rat.

667 *Elife* 2015. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05457.001

- 29. Joo B, Koo JW, Lee S (2020): Posterior parietal cortex mediates fear renewal in a novel
 context. *Mol Brain* 13: 1–11.
- 30. Etkin A, Egner T, Kalisch R (2011): Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial
 prefrontal cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 15: 85–93.
- 31. Frankland PW, Bontempi B, Talton LE, Kaczmarek L, Silva AJ (2004): The Involvement
 of the Anterior Cingulate Cortex in Remote Contextual Fear Memory. *Science* 304: 881–
 883.
- 32. Kim S-W, Kim M, Baek J, Latchoumane C-F, Gangadharan G, Yoon Y, *et al.* (2023):
 Hemispherically lateralized rhythmic oscillations in the cingulate-amygdala circuit drive
 affective empathy in mice. *Neuron* 111: 418–429.
- 33. Jhang J, Lee H, Kang MS, Lee HS, Park H, Han JH (2018): Anterior cingulate cortex and
 its input to the basolateral amygdala control innate fear response. *Nat Commun* 9: 1–16.
- 680 34. Allsop SA, Wichmann R, Mills F, Burgos-Robles A, Chang CJ, Felix-Ortiz AC, et al.
- 681 (2018): Corticoamygdala Transfer of Socially Derived Information Gates Observational
- 682 Learning. *Cell* 173: 1–14.

- 683 35. Maren S, Quirk GJ (2004, November): Neuronal signalling of fear memory. *Nature*684 *Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 5. pp 844–852.
- 36. Maren S (2011): Seeking a Spotless Mind: Extinction, Deconsolidation, and Erasure of
 Fear Memory. *Neuron* 70: 830–845.
- 37. de Lima MAX, Baldo MVC, Oliveira FA, Canteras NS (2022): The anterior cingulate
 cortex and its role in controlling contextual fear memory to predatory threats. *Elife* 11: 1–
 37.
- 38. Einarsson EÖ, Nader K (2012): Involvement of the anterior cingulate cortex in formation,
 consolidation, and reconsolidation of recent and remote contextual fear memory. *Learn Mem* 19: 449–452.
- 39. Marek R, Jin J, Goode TD, Giustino TF, Wang Q, Acca GM, *et al.* (2018): Hippocampusdriven feed-forward inhibition of the prefrontal cortex mediates relapse of extinguished
 fear. *Nat Neurosci* 21: 384–392.
- 40. Kitanishi T, Tashiro M, Kitanishi N, Mizuseki K (2022): Intersectional, anterograde
 transsynaptic targeting of neurons receiving monosynaptic inputs from two upstream
 regions. *Commun Biol* 5: 1–8.
- 41. Zingg B, Chou X lin, Zhang Z gang, Mesik L, Liang F, Tao HW, Zhang LI (2017): AAVMediated Anterograde Transsynaptic Tagging: Mapping Corticocollicular Input-Defined
 Neural Pathways for Defense Behaviors. *Neuron* 93: 33–47.
- 42. Isosaka T, Matsuo T, Yamaguchi T, Funabiki K, Nakanishi S, Kobayakawa R,
 Kobayakawa K (2015): Htr2a-Expressing Cells in the Central Amygdala Control the
 Hierarchy between Innate and Learned Fear. *Cell* 163: 1153–1164.

 44. Vazdarjanova A, Cahill L, McGaugh JL (2001): Disrupting basolateral amygdala function impairs unconditioned freezing and avoidance in rats. <i>Eur J Neurosci</i> 14: 709–718. 45. Lee J, Choi JH, Rah JC (2020): Frequency-dependent gating of feedforward inhibition in thalamofrontal synapses. <i>Mol Brain</i> 13: 1–10. 46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	705	43. Gross CT, Canteras NS (2012): The many paths to fear. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 13: 651–658.
 impairs unconditioned freezing and avoidance in rats. <i>Eur J Neurosci</i> 14: 709–718. 45. Lee J, Choi JH, Rah JC (2020): Frequency-dependent gating of feedforward inhibition in thalamofrontal synapses. <i>Mol Brain</i> 13: 1–10. 46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	706	44. Vazdarjanova A, Cahill L, McGaugh JL (2001): Disrupting basolateral amygdala function
 45. Lee J, Choi JH, Rah JC (2020): Frequency-dependent gating of feedforward inhibition in thalamofrontal synapses. <i>Mol Brain</i> 13: 1–10. 46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	707	impairs unconditioned freezing and avoidance in rats. Eur J Neurosci 14: 709–718.
 thalamofrontal synapses. <i>Mol Brain</i> 13: 1–10. 46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	708	45. Lee J, Choi JH, Rah JC (2020): Frequency-dependent gating of feedforward inhibition in
 46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	709	thalamofrontal synapses. Mol Brain 13: 1–10.
 <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 7: 687–696. 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	710	46. Wonders CP, Anderson SA (2006): The origin and specification of cortical interneurons.
 47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, <i>et al.</i> (2014): Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	711	Nat Rev Neurosci 7: 687–696.
 Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	712	47. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, et al. (2014):
 <i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96. 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	713	Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression.
 48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	714	<i>Nature</i> 505: 92–96.
 Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	715	48. Hafner G, Witte M, Guy J, Subhashini N, Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, et al. (2019):
 Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. <i>Cell Rep</i> 28: 3450-3461.e8. 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	716	Mapping Brain-Wide Afferent Inputs of Parvalbumin-Expressing GABAergic Neurons in
 49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, <i>et al.</i> (2020): Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	717	Barrel Cortex Reveals Local and Long-Range Circuit Motifs. Cell Rep 28: 3450-3461.e8.
 Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	718	49. Fenno LE, Ramakrishnan C, Kim YS, Evans KE, Lo M, Vesuna S, et al. (2020):
 Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. <i>Neuron</i> 107: 836–853. 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	719	Comprehensive Dual- and Triple-Feature Intersectional Single-Vector Delivery of
 50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, <i>et al.</i> (2019): Hippocampal mossy cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	720	Diverse Functional Payloads to Cells of Behaving Mammals. Neuron 107: 836-853.
 cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	721	50. Oh SJ, Cheng J, Jang JH, Arace J, Jeong M, Shin CH, et al. (2019): Hippocampal mossy
 treatment. <i>Mol Psychiatry</i> 25: 1215–1228. 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	722	cell involvement in behavioral and neurogenic responses to chronic antidepressant
 51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, <i>et al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	723	treatment. Mol Psychiatry 25: 1215–1228.
 <i>al.</i> (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734. 	724	51. Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, et
extinction training. <i>Science</i> 334: 1731–1734.	725	al. (2011): Fear erasure in mice requires synergy between antidepressant drugs and
	726	extinction training. Science 334: 1731–1734.

727	52. Popova D, Ágústsdóttir A, Lindholm J, Mazulis U, Akamine Y, Castrén E, Karpova NN
728	(2014): Combination of fluoxetine and extinction treatments forms a unique synaptic
729	protein profile that correlates with long-term fear reduction in adult mice. Eur
730	Neuropsychopharmacol 24: 1162–1174.
731	53. Gunduz-Cinar O, Flynn S, Brockway E, Kaugars K, Baldi R, Ramikie TS, et al. (2016):
732	Fluoxetine facilitates fear extinction through amygdala endocannabinoids.
733	Neuropsychopharmacology 41: 1598–1609.
734	54. Wang Y, Yin XY, He X, Zhou CM, Shen JC, Tong JH (2021): Parvalbumin interneuron-
735	mediated neural disruption in an animal model of postintensive care syndrome: prevention
736	by fluoxetine. <i>Aging</i> 13: 8720–8736.

- 55. Hermans D, Craske MG, Mineka S, Lovibond PF (2006): Extinction in Human Fear
 Conditioning. *Biol Psychiatry* 60: 361–368.
- 56. Shi YW, Fan BF, Xue L, Wang XG, Ou XL (2019): Fear renewal activates cyclic adenosine
- monophosphate signaling in the dentate gyrus. *Brain Behav* 9: 1–16.
- 57. Bouton ME, García-Gutiérrez A, Zilski J, Moody EW (2006): Extinction in multiple
 contexts does not necessarily make extinction less vulnerable to relapse. *Behav Res Ther*44: 983–994.
- 58. Bouton ME, Maren S, McNally GP (2021): Behavioral and neurobiological mechanisms
 of pavlovian and instrumental extinction learning. *Physiol Rev* 101: 611–681.
- 59. Balooch SB, Neumann DL, Boschen MJ (2012): Extinction treatment in multiple contexts
 attenuates ABC renewal in humans. *Behav Res Ther* 50: 604–609.
- 60. Krisch KA, Bandarian-Balooch S, Neumann DL (2018): Effects of extended extinction and

749	multiple extinction contexts on ABA renewal. <i>Learn Motiv</i> 63: 1–10.
750	61. Neumann DL, Kitlertsirivatana E (2010): Exposure to a novel context after extinction
751	causes a renewal of extinguished conditioned responses: Implications for the treatment of
752	fear. Behav Res Ther 48: 565–570.
753	62. Bouton ME, Todd TP (2014): A fundamental role for context in instrumental learning and
754	extinction. Behav Processes 104: 91–98.
755	63. Bernal-Gamboa R, Juárez Y, González-Martín G, Carranza R, Sánchez-Carrasco L, Nieto
756	J (2012): ABA, AAB and ABC Renewal in Taste Aversion Learning, vol. 33.
757	64. Deslauriers J, Toth M, Der-Avakian A, Risbrough VB (2018): Current Status of Animal
758	Models of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Behavioral and Biological Phenotypes, and
759	Future Challenges in Improving Translation. Biol Psychiatry 83: 895–907.
760	65. Üngör M, Lachnit H (2008): Dissociations among ABA, ABC, and AAB recovery effects.
761	<i>Learn Motiv</i> 39: 181–195.
762	66. Liddon CJ, Kelley ME, Rey CN, Liggett AP, Ribeiro A (2018): A translational analysis of
763	ABA and ABC renewal of operant behavior. J Appl Behav Anal 51: 819–830.
764	67. Bouton ME, Todd TP, Vurbic D, Winterbauer NE (2011): Renewal after the extinction of
765	free operant behavior. Learn Behav 39: 57-67.
766	68. Parsons RG, Ressler KJ (2013): Implications of memory modulation for post-traumatic
767	stress and fear disorders. Nat Neurosci 16: 146–153.
768	



HC ABB ABA ABC

















Summary

This study explores the renewal of fear after extinction in new environments. Using techniques to manipulate brain activity with light, we found brain circuit connecting the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) to the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (PPC \rightarrow ACC) is crucial for the return of fear memories in novel context. Certain PPC \rightarrow ACC neuron types and their connections to the amygdala become more active during fear renewal in a novel context. Notably, inhibiting specific neurons (PPC \rightarrow ACC^{PV}) reduced this fear response, enhanced by a drug commonly used for fear-related disorders. This study provides insights into the brain mechanisms behind fear reappearance in unfamiliar situations.

ournalPre